2023 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects (PSH, RRH, Joint TH-RRH, Expansion, or Other) Annual Competition

Reviewer Name:	Date:
Organization Name:	
Project Name:	
HUD Project Type: PSH; RRH; Joint TH-RRH (is	this for an 🗌 Expansion or 🗌 DV Bonus?)
Requested Amount (General Information Question 6): _	
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve (Total number of households, Question 5b, second c	

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary just slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Information

Yes/No	Score
All the requirements checked and/or	
addressed = Yes	
One or more of the requirements not	
checked or addressed = No	
	All the requirements checked and/or addressed = Yes One or more of the requirements not

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score
Applicant meets HUD's eligibility and threshold criteria.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail	
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail	
Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards**	Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail	
Threshold Statements Comments		·

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are	
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no	
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*	
proposed. (20 possible points)	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no	
New project applicants	match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good	
must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 5 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately demonstrated.	
full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate capacity to carry out project may be	Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns from HUD, DCA, or any other governmental or foundation funder, that doesn't demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action may lose additional points or be determined not to meet threshold.	
rejected by the review		
team.		
	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	
Agency Capacity Comme	ints	

Proposed Project Information

2. Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20 PSH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Experience Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15 RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Experience Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10 Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re-housing Experience (Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d) Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 *Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for other or our aspects = 3 (Question 1e) Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (S possible points) Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 There are to the apoint services and teaves unanswered questions	Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
Permanent Supportive Housing Experience Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15 RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Experience Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10 Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 (Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d) Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience* 3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Experience Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (Spossible points) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (Spossible points) Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 (Sp points maximum) TOTAL (25 points maximum)		Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant	
Housing Experience Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15 RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re-housing Experience Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 (Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d) Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 *Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (S possible points) Response dives an adequate description of experience, but the experience = 0 Response dives an adequate description of experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	PSH: Homeless and	experience of the applicant = 20	
RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Experience Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 (Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d) Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience* 3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Experience Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response ont describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 (5 possible points) TOTAL (25 points maximum)			
Rapid Re-housing unanswered questions = 10 Experience Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Joint TH-RRH: Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless, and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 Housing, and Rapid Rehousing Experience Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless, and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 (Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d) (20 possible points) *Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience* Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 S. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Experience Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (S possible points) Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 upport services and/or HMIS = 0		experience is inflited – 15	
Joint TH-RRH: Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Rehousing Experience Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Rehousing Experience (Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d) (20 possible points) *Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience* 3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services, aupport services and PMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)			
Number homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 Homeless, Transitional homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 Homeless, Transitional Homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0 (Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d) (20 possible points) *Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience* Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (5 possible points) Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL TOTAL	Experience	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
1d) (20 possible points) *Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience*	Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re-		
Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 (5 possible points) Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)			
to the importance of experience*	(20 possible points)		
3. Leasing, Rental, Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant Support Services, experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four and HMIS aspects = 5 Experience Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the (Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (5 possible points) Imited for three or four aspects = 2 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)	to the importance of		
(Question 1e) Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 (5 possible points) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)	3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services,	experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four	
(5 possible points) Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is (5 possible points) limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)			
Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)			
support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)		Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
(25 points maximum)			
Agency Experience Comments		· · ·	
	Agency Experience Com	ments	

4. Monitoring	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns, and	
Findings or Concerns	there are no outstanding Federal debts = 4	
(Questions 1f & 1g)	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but	
	a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
(4 possible points)		
	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or	
	findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature	
	that causes capacity concerns = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(4 points maximum)	
Program Monitoring		

General Description	Possible Points	Score
5. Program Description	Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need	
	for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1	
(Question 2a and 3a)	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1	
	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support	
(8 possible points)	service needs of the participants = 1	
	Response has clear proposed outcomes, and the proposed outcomes seem	
(Each checked	reasonable = 1	
applicable box = 1	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
point)	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
Proposed Project	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely	
Expansions will need to	manner = 1	
fully demonstrate need	Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people with	
(Question 3)	higher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1	
6. Estimated Schedule	Applicant provided a complete timeline showing when the project	
	implementation milestones will occur, and they seem reasonable = 3	
(Questien 2d)	Applicant provided a timeline showing when project implementation	
(Question 2d)	milestones will occur, but is missing 1 or 2 milestones or some of the	
(3 possible points)	milestones seem unrealistic = 2	
	Implementation timeline is unclear or project has some feasibility concerns = 0	
7. Housing First Model	Description of how the project will incorporate a Housing First model	
_	of housing assistance that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization	
(Question 2e)	in permanent housing that does not have service participation	
(3 possible points)	requirements or preconditions is thorough and leaves no unanswered	
	questions = 3 points	
	Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point	
	Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points	

Agencies were required to check each criteria that there would NOT be a	
policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions) <u>and</u> to provide an explanation to	
support other requirements based on housing readiness. Projects that did not check one or more of the four barriers that would not prohibit participants from being enrolled in the project were required to provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives indicate barrier is not one mandated by an outside authority, award zero points for related criteria.	
 Award two points for each criteria that was selected for not being a barrier to project entry. Having to little or little income: check = 2 Active or history of substance abuse: check = 2 Having a criminal record with exceptions for state/federal mandated 	
 History of victimization (e.g. domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse): check = 2 None of the above: check = 0 	
 Other requirements based on "housing readiness": if satisfactory explanation can award 1 point for relevant barrier if 2 points weren't awarded 	
Applicants that did not select any of the above policies/practices as not being a barrier for accepting a client into the project should describe the rules that would prevent entry into a project.	
Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there would NOT be a policy or practice that would cause a client to be	
of the four items, that would not be a cause for termination, were	
do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.	
Award one point for each factor that was_selected and not a cause for termination.	
 Failure to participate in supportive services: check = 2 Failure to make progress on a service plan: check = 2 	
 Loss of income or failure to improve income: check = 2 Any other activity act covered in a losse acrossment typically found for 	
 Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found for unassisted persons in the project's geographic area: check = 2 None of the above (see below): check = 0 	
Applicants that did NOT select any of the above policies/practices for termination should describe rule violations that would cause a client to be terminated from the project and any corrective measures taken prior to termination.	
	 policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions) and to provide an explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Projects that did not check one or more of the four barriers that would not prohibit participants from being enrolled in the project were required to provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives indicate barrier is not one mandated by an outside authority, award zero points for related criteria. Award two points for each criteria that was selected for not being a barrier to project entry. Having to little or little income: check = 2 Active or history of substance abuse: check = 2 Having a criminal record with exceptions for state/federal mandated restrictions: check = 2 History of victimization (e.g. domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse): check = 2 None of the above: check = 0 Other requirements based on "housing readiness": if satisfactory explanation can award 1 point for relevant barrier if 2 points weren't awarded Applicants that did not select any of the above policies/practices as not being a barrier for accepting a client into the project should describe the rules that would prevent entry into a project. Projects that did not check one or more of the four items, that would not be a cause for termination, were required to provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria. Award one point for each factor that was selected and not a cause for termination. Failure to participate in supportive services: check = 2 Loss of income or failure to improve income: check = 2 Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found for unassisted persons in the project she policies/practices for termination. Failure to make progress on a service plan: check = 2 None of the above (see below): che

10. Determinations	PSH projects:	
by Project Type	Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that will	
PSH: Prioritization of	be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6	
Chronically Homeless	Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to	
RRH and Joint TH-RRH: Leasing and Rental Assistance Procedure	engage people living on the streets and in shelter, but leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
(Question 2h)	Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process, and leaves unanswered questions = 1	
(Question 21)	Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0	
(6 possible points)	RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects:	
	Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6	
	Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves unanswered questions= 3	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
11. Coordinated Entry	Agencies were required to explain and discuss:	
Participation (Question 2i)	a) plans to assess clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
Assessment, Prioritization, & Eligibility Requirements	b) how the project will work to ensure they are prioritizing people with the highest needs or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to prioritization of clients and project acceptance of clients through the referral process) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
(9 possible points)	c) participant eligibility requirements around homelessness and disability (as applicable for PSH) for homeless persons to access and be accepted into this program = 3 points if fully met and demonstrates requirement will be met	
	Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C). Projects determined <i>not</i> willing to participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry System or <i>not</i> targeted for eligible populations may not meet threshold.	
	Award three points for each criteria that fully addresses and clearly demonstrates each requirement will be met. (9 possible total points)	
	TOTAL	
	(45 points maximum)	
General Description Cor	nments	

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
12. Educational Liaison	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison,	
(job title,	describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are	
responsibilities, and	enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5	
services)	Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
(Question 4a)	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
(5 possible points)		
13. Permanent	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist	
Housing Stability	participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response should also clearly demonstrate proposed housing type	
(Question 4b)	meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance, and support to be provided to participants, and how project will	
(5 possible points)	work to help participants set goals. = 5	
	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response adequately demonstrates proposed housing type meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance and support to be provided to participants, and how project will work to help participants set goals = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
	Projects proposed to exclusively serve victims of domestic violence should also describe safety planning to address the needs of participants.	
14. Increase in Income(Question 4c and 4c- 1)(10 possible	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10	
points)	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
	Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and	

ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.	

Supportive Services Co	mments	
	(25 points maximum)	
	TOTAL	
	Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
(5 possible points)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
(Question 4d and 4e)	questions = 5	
Services	the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered	
15. Supportive	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for	

Housing Type and Location and Project Participants	Possible Points	Score
16. Prioritization	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans or unaccompanied youth at 100% = 10	
(Question 5b-5c) (10 points possible)	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 70-99% = 7	
New projects should	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 50-69% = 5	
sufficiently demonstrate need, targeting, and related	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 30-49% = 1	
partnerships (in the size and scope proposed).	Response indicates no dedicated units/beds for prioritization and a low or no percentage of subpopulations, or response is unclear or incomplete = 0	

ousing Type and Loca	ation and Project Participants Comments	I
TOTAL (30 points maximum)		
	these populations= 0	
	Response does not describe experience nor strategy to working with any of	
points)	populations Is limited or not present = 3	
(10 possible	Response is clear and strategies are viable but experience with the	
(Question 5e)	limited to 1 population group = 5	
	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is	
disabilities	experience is limited for two populations = 7	
populations, people living with	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the	
BIPOC, LGBTQ	populations = 10	
working with	experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all three	
18. Experience	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant	
	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
	eliminate barriers, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
(possione points)	Response includes a plan to identify barriers to participation and steps to	
(10 possible points)	eliminate identified barriers = 7	
(Question 5d)	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to	
participation)	Response is clear and concise and adequately describes specific plan to	
of barriers to	eliminate identified barriers = 10	
Equity (Identification	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to	

Proposed Performance Measures	Possible Points	Score
19. Housing Stability	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic that will help at least 85% of participants reach housing stability = 4	
(Question 6a) (4 possible points) Standard Baseline = 85% of households	Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to help 85% of participants reach housing stability = 2 Response does address or adequately describe how project will help participants reach housing stability, or narrative notes an anticipated rate less than 85% of households = 0	

20. Income	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic	
(4 possible points)	that will help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 4	
(4 possible politics)	Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to	
Increase in Total Income	help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 2	
(Question 6b)	Response does not address or adequately describe how project will help	
Standard Baseline = 54%	participant households increase income = 0	
of households		
TOTAL		
(8 points maximum)		
Proposed Performance N	leasures Comments	

Budget	Possible Point	S	Score
21. Budget	(Question 8) and realistic, and leave no questions = 10 The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts complete, accurate, and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7		
(Question 8) (10 possible points)			
(The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5		
	The budgets and rationale for the requested a accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many u		
	TOTAL		
	(10 points maximum)		
Budget Comments			
Pro	iect Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score
	ject Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score
22. Match (Cash or	In-Kind Resources)*	Possible Points Match:	Score
22. Match (Cash or New projects must dem	In-Kind Resources)* onstrate required match resources equal to at		Score
22. Match (Cash or New projects must dem	In-Kind Resources)*	Match: Well defined = 5	Score
22. Match (Cash or New projects must dem least 25% of the total re administrative costs.	In-Kind Resources)* nonstrate required match resources equal to at equested HUD funding, including project and	Match: Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3	Score
22. Match (Cash or New projects must dem least 25% of the total re administrative costs.	In-Kind Resources)* constrate required match resources equal to at equested HUD funding, including project and s must attach agency commitments for match	Match: Well defined = 5	Score

23. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Leveraging (outside of match):
The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount	
(above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies	75% or more = 9
should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match	50-74% = 6
resources listed above to insure no duplication.	40-49% = 3
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for	Less than 40% = 0
leverage (specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)

	TOTAL	
(14 g	points maximum)	
Match and Leveraging Comments		

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (181 maximum points):

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)		
	** Application **	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for youth-	No = 0	
headed households (aged 18-24 yrs.		
old).	** Application **	
(5 possible points)		
Leveraging Housing Resources: PSH or RRH project will utilize housing subsidies	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
or subsidized housing units not funded	exist at or above 25% = 5	
through ESG or CoC.		
For PSH at least 25% of the units	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
	exist, but 25% not demonstrated = 3	
included in project are subsidized by	No northerability evict - 0	
Non-CoC, Non-ESG source.	No partnerships exist = 0	
For RRH at least 25% of program		
participants are served by Non-CoC,		
Non-ESG source.		
(Question 2b)		
(5 possible bonus points)		
Leveraging Healthcare Resources: PSH	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
or RRH project that will utilize	exist at or above 25% = 5	
healthcare resources to help		
participants. Value of Healthcare	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
services provided is equal to at least	exist, but 25% not demonstrated = 3	
25% of proposed funding requested.		
(Question 2c)	No partnerships exist = 0	
(5 possible bonus points)		

Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus points available to project applications	Yes = 10	
submitted by an agency that served as a homeless count coordinator for the Annual Point in Time Count conducted	No = 0	
in February 2022. Coordinated Entry Implementation –	Yes = 20	
Project proposed is critical and proposed	163 – 20	
by applicant currently managing the assessment, prioritization, and referral process for a Coordinated Entry implementation area. (20 possible bonus points)	No = 0	

TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (50 maximum points): ______

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (181 maximum)

+

=

TOTAL BONUS POINTS (50 maximum)

TOTAL POINTS (231) maximum)

Overall Comments, Concerns or Recommendations