2022 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects (PSH, RRH, Joint TH-RRH, Expansion, or Other) Annual Competition

Reviewer Name: Date:	
Project Name:	
HUD Project Type: PSH; RRH; Doint TH-RRH (is this for an Expansion or DV Bonus?)	
Requested Amount (General Information Question 6):	
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve (Total number of households, Question 5b, second chart):	

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary just slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Information

Threshold Statements	Yes/No	Score
1. PSH & RRH Agencies submitting new projects	All the requirements checked and/or	
had 8 requirements to meet in order to be	addressed = Yes	
considered for this funding (Joint TH-RRH must	One or more of the requirements not	
also meet HUD minimum standards**).	checked or addressed = No	

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score
Applicant meets HUD's eligibility and threshold criteria.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail	
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail	
Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards**	Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail	
Threshold Statements Comments		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 1 of 12

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are	
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no	
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*	
proposed.	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any	
(20 possible points) New project applicants	concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good	
must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 5 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately demonstrated.	
full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate	Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns from HUD, DCA, or any other governmental or foundation funder, that doesn't demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action may lose additional	
capacity to carry out	points or be determined not to meet threshold.	
project may be rejected by the review		
team.		
tcani.	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	
Agency Capacity Comme		
rigency capacity comme		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 2 of 12

Proposed Project Information

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
2. PSH: Homeless and	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20	
Permanent Supportive Housing Experience	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
Experience	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0	
(Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d)		
(20 possible points)		
Weighed heavily due to the importance of the experience		
3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5	
Experience (Question 1e)	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3	
(5 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2	
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	
	TOTAL (25 points maximum)	
Agency Experience Com		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 3 of 12

4. Monitoring	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns, and	
Findings or Concerns	there are no outstanding Federal debts = 4	
(Questions 1f & 1g)	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but	
	a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
(4 possible points)		
	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or	
	findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature	
	that causes capacity concerns = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(4 points maximum)	
Program Monitoring		

General Description	Possible Points	Score
5. Program Description	Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1	
(Question 2a and 3a)	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1	
,	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support service needs of the participants = 1	
(8 possible points) (Each checked	Response has clear proposed outcomes, <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem reasonable = 1	
applicable box = 1	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
point)	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
Proposed Project	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely manner = 1	
Expansions will need to fully demonstrate need (Question 3)	Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people with higher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1	
6. Estimated Schedule	Applicant provided a complete timeline showing when the project	
	implementation milestones will occur, and they seem reasonable = 3	
(Question 2d)	Applicant provided a timeline showing when project implementation	
(3 possible points)	milestones will occur, but is missing 1 or 2 milestones or some of the milestones seem unrealistic = 2	
	Implementation timeline is unclear or project has some feasibility concerns = 0	
7. Housing First Model	Description of how the project will incorporate a Housing First model	
(Question 2e)	of housing assistance that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing that does not have service participation	
(3 possible points)	requirements or preconditions is thorough and leaves no unanswered questions = 3 points	
	Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point	
	Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 4 of 12

8. Housing First	Agencies were required to check each criteria that there would NOT be a	
(Question 2f)	policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions) and to provide an explanation to	
	support other requirements based on housing readiness. Projects that	
(8 possible points)	did not check one or more of the four barriers that would not prohibit	
	participants from being enrolled in the project were required to	
	provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives indicate	
	barrier is not one mandated by an outside authority, award zero points	
	for related criteria.	
	Award two points for each criteria that was selected for not being a barrier to	
	project entry.	
	Having to little or little income: check = 2	
	Active or history of substance abuse: check = 2	
	Having a criminal record with exceptions for state/federal mandated	
	restrictions: check = 2	
	History of victimization (e.g. domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood	
	abuse): check = 2	
	None of the above: check = 0	
	Other requirements based on "housing readiness": if satisfactory overlangtion can award 1 point for relevant barrier if 2 points weren't	
	explanation can award 1 point for relevant barrier if 2 points weren't awarded	
	Applicants that did not select any of the above policies/practices as not being a	
	barrier for accepting a client into the project should describe the rules that	
	would prevent entry into a project.	
9. Housing First	Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there	
	would NOT be a policy or practice that would cause a client to be	
(Question 2g)	terminated from the project. Projects that did not check one or more	
(O	of the four items, that would not be a cause for termination, were required to provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives	
(8 possible points)	do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.	
	Award one point for each factor that was_selected and not a cause for	
	termination.	
	Failure to participate in supportive services: check = 2 Failure to participate in supportive services: check = 2	
	Failure to make progress on a service plan: check = 2	
	Loss of income or failure to improve income: check = 2 Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found for	
	Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found for upassisted persons in the project's geographic area; shock = 2.	
	 unassisted persons in the project's geographic area: check = 2 None of the above (see below): check = 0 	
	Applicants that did NOT select any of the above policies/practices for	
	termination should describe rule violations that would cause a client to be	
	terminated from the project and any corrective measures taken prior to termination.	
10. Determinations	PSH projects:	
by Project Type	Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people	
,	with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that will	
PSH: Prioritization of	be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6	
Chronically Homeless		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 5 of 12

	Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the	
RRH and Joint TH-RRH:	most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to	
Leasing and Rental	engage people living on the streets and in shelter, but leaves some unanswered	
Assistance Procedure	questions = 4	
(Question 2h)	Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process, and leaves unanswered questions = 1	
	Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0	
(6 possible points)		
	RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects: Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6	
	Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves unanswered questions= 3	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
11. Coordinated Entry	Agencies were required to explain and discuss:	
Participation	a) plans to assess clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment) = 3 points	
(Question 2i)	if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
Assessment, Prioritization, & Eligibility Requirements	b) how the project will work to ensure they are prioritizing people with the highest needs or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to prioritization of clients and project acceptance of clients through the referral process) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
(9 possible points)	c) participant eligibility requirements around homelessness and disability (as applicable for PSH) for homeless persons to access and be accepted into this program = 3 points if fully met and demonstrates requirement will be met	
	Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C). Projects determined <i>not</i> willing to participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry System or <i>not</i> targeted for eligible populations may not meet threshold.	
	Award three points for each criteria that fully addresses and clearly demonstrates each requirement will be met. (9 possible total points)	
	TOTAL	
	(45 points maximum)	
General Description Cor	mments	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 6 of 12

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
12. Educational Liaison (job title, responsibilities, and services)	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison, describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5 Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
(Question 4a) (5 possible points)	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
13. Permanent Housing Stability (Question 4b) (5 possible points)	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response should also clearly demonstrate proposed housing type meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance, and support to be provided to participants, and how project will work to help participants set goals. = 5	
	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response adequately demonstrates proposed housing type meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance and support to be provided to participants, and how project will work to help participants set goals = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2 Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
	Projects proposed to exclusively serve victims of domestic violence should also describe safety planning to address the needs of participants.	
14. Increase in Income (Question 4c and 4c- 1) (10 possible	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10	
points)	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **7** of **12**

Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.	
--	--

	(25 points maximum)	
	TOTAL	
	Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
(Question 4d and 4e) (5 possible points)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
15. Supportive Services	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered questions = 5	

Housing Type and Location and Project	Possible Points	Score
Participants		
16. Prioritization	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans or unaccompanied youth at 100% = 10	
(Question 5b-5c) (10 points possible)	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 70-99% = 7	
New projects should	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 50-69% = 5	
sufficiently demonstrate need, targeting, and related	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 30-49% = 1	
partnerships (in the	Response indicates no dedicated units/beds for prioritization and a low or no	
size and scope	percentage of subpopulations, or response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
proposed).		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 8 of 12

17. Racial & Ethnic	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to		
Equity (Identification	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to		
of barriers to	eliminate identified barriers = 10		
participation)			
	Response is clear and concise and adequately describes specific plan to		
(Question 5d)	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to		
	eliminate identified barriers = 7		
(10 possible points)			
	Response includes a plan to identify barriers to participation and steps to		
	eliminate barriers, but leaves unanswered questions = 3		
	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0		
TOTAL			
(20 points maximum)			
Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments			

Proposed Performance Measures	Possible Points	Score
18. Housing Stability	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic that will help at least 85% of participants reach housing stability = 4	
(Question 6a) (4 possible points) Standard Baseline = 85% of households	Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to help 85% of participants reach housing stability = 2 Response does address or adequately describe how project will help participants reach housing stability, or narrative notes an anticipated rate less than 85% of households = 0	
19. Income (4 possible points) Increase in Total Income (Question 6b) Standard Baseline = 54% of households	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic that will help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 4 Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 2 Response does not address or adequately describe how project will help participant households increase income = 0	
Proposed Performance M	TOTAL (8 points maximum)	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 9 of 12

Budget	Possible Points	Score
20. Budget	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate,	
	and realistic, and leave no questions = 10	
(Question 8)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts complete, accurate,	
(10 nossible noints)	and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7	
(10 possible points)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, complete,	
	accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many unanswered questions = 0	
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		

Budget Comments

Project Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score	
21. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:		
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at			
least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and	Well defined = 5		
administrative costs.	Acceptable = 3		
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match	Unacceptable = 0		
(specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)		
22. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Leveraging (outside of match):		
The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount			
(above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies	75% or more = 9		
should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match	50-74% = 6		
resources listed above to insure no duplication.	40-49% = 3		
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for	Less than 40% = 0		
leverage (specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)		
TOTAL			
(14 points maximum)			

Match and Leveraging Comments

ΤΩΤΔΙ	APPLICATION	POINTS (171	mavimum	naints).	
IUIAL	AFFLICATION	LOIMIN 1717	IIIaxiiiiuiii	DOILLS1.	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **10** of **12**

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)		
, ,	** Application**	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for youth-	No = 0	
headed households (aged 18-24 yrs.		
old).	** Application**	
(5 possible points)		
Leveraging Housing Resources: PSH or		
RRH project will utilize housing subsidies	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
or subsidized housing units not funded	exist at or above 25% = 5	
through ESG or CoC.		
	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
For PSH at least 25% of the units	exist, but 25% not demonstrated = 3	
included in project are subsidized by		
Non-CoC, Non-ESG source.	No partnerships exist = 0	
For RRH at least 25% of program		
participants are served by Non-CoC,		
Non-ESG source.		
(Question 2b)		
(5 possible bonus points)		
Leveraging Healthcare Resources: PSH	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
or RRH project that will utilize	exist at or above 25% = 5	
healthcare resources to help		
participants. Value of Healthcare	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
services provided is equal to at least	exist, but 25% not demonstrated = 3	
25% of proposed funding requested.		
(Question 2c)	No partnerships exist = 0	
(C possible bonus valiate)		
(5 possible bonus points)	Vac - 10	
Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus	Yes = 10	
points available to project applications	No = 0	
submitted by an agency that served as a homeless count coordinator for the	No = 0	
Annual Point in Time Count conducted		
in February 2022. Coordinated Entry Implementation –	Yes = 20	
Project proposed is critical and proposed	162 – 20	
by applicant currently managing the	No = 0	
assessment, prioritization, and referral	140 - 0	
process for a Coordinated Entry		
implementation area.		
(20 possible bonus points)		
(12 possione defined points)		
	ı	<u> </u>

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **11** of **12**

TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (50 maximum points	5):
TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (171 maximum)	
TOTAL BONUS POINTS (50 maximum)	
TOTAL POINTS (221) maximum)	
Overall Comments, Concerns or Recommendations	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 12 of 12