2019 Georgia BoS CoC Project Performance & CoC Priority Scoring Criteria Renewal Projects Only

Applicant Agency	
HUD Project Name	
HUD Project Type:	
Permanent Supportive Housing	Rapid Re-Housing

For APR data requested within the application, agencies should use data (covering the period of 5/1/2018-4/30/2019) from the HUD APR from SAGE and the HUD Data Quality Report from HMIS (submission of both reports is required). For the APR, Applicants will need to pull the data from HMIS and then upload the CSV-APR into Sage using the Test Run function in order to create the required report. Please note that renewal projects that consolidated one or more grants under the 2018 competition should run an APR in HMIS for the combined projects, so that when the CSV-APR is uploaded into Sage, it will produce an APR for the combined data. Newly awarded 2018 projects operational for less than a year will automatically receive the median points awarded for like projects on performance where applicable, and bonus points will be scored regularly. Those points will then be averaged with that project's original 2018 application score.

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score	Reviewer Notes
Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement	Pass/Fail		
the project proposed. Eligible renewing projects are considered to have met threshold unless other information is available to the contrary.			

Performance Criteria	Standard /	Points	Scoring	Data Source	Revie
	Goal	Available			w
					Score
1. ALL Projects - Spending all grant	Spending	5	95% or > spent = 5	Application	
funds awarded for last grant award	100% of		90-94% spent = 4	or report	
period.	Award or		85-89% spent = 3	from LOCCS	
[Total of all funds expended/total	Proposed		80-84% spent =2		
funds awarded by HUD for most	Project		<80% = 0*		
recently completed operating year]	Implementation		*may be subject to		
			reduction		
1-a. ALL Projects – Quarterly Draw	Funds drawn	5	90 days or less = 5	Provider	
Downs from LOCCS.	down every 90			report from	
[Funds are drawn down from LOCCS	days or less.			LOCCS or	
every 90 days or less once the				internal	
contract was executed]				database.	
2. ALL Projects – Project Utilization	Unit/Client	5	95% or > = 5	Review	
Units/Clients	Utilization 100%		90-94% = 4	Application	
[% of unit utilization for housing	Or		85-89% = 3	or APR	
programs]	Capacity to fully		80-84% =2		
	utilize program		51-79% = 0		
			Projects at 50% or less		
			= -20 (and may be		
			subject to reduction or		
			reallocation)		

3. Cost Effectiveness	TBD	N/A	N/A		
Annual number of households		,,,,,			
served/Annual Grant Amount					
Subtotal Grant / Utilization		15			
Program Performance Criteria	Standard /	Points	Scoring	Data Source	Revie
	Goal	Available			w
					Score
4. PH Programs: Housing Stability	85%	20	85% or > = 20	APR	
for clients served in the reporting			80-84% = 15	PSH: Q05a &	
period of the last APR submitted to			75-79% = 5	Q23a & 23b /	
HUD. [% PH persons who remained			Below 75% = 0	Q05a exits	
in the PH program as of end of year				or RRH:	
OR exited to PH during year]				Q23a & 23b /	
, ,				Q05 exits	
5. Length of Time between Project	TBD	N/A	N/A	APR or HMIS	
Start Date & Move-in Date: On	155	14,71	1.47.1	data	
average, days participants spend				0.0.0	
from project entry to residential					
move-in during 5/1/2018-					
4/30/2019. (Informational Purposes					
only.) Clients should be entered into					
project in HMIS when services begin.					
6. Returns to Homelessness:	15% or less	15	15% or less = 15	DCA (HMIS	
Percentage of participants who			16%-20% = 7	data)	
exited 5/1/2017-4/30/2018 to a			21% or more = 0		
permanent destination that					
returned to homelessness.					
Subtotal Housing Stability		35			
7. ALL Programs: Program has	100%	10	100% = 10	Application &	
dedicated beds/units for serving			70-99% = 7.5	verified	
persons who are chronically			50-69% = 5	w/APR/Data	
homeless. Current Projects will be			30-49% = 2.5	Quality	
scored on dedicated chronic beds			Less than 30% = 0	Report (HMIS	
and DedicatedPLUS.				data)	
7a. ALL Programs: Program serves	100%	10	100% = 10	APR/Data	
persons who are chronically			50-99% = 7.5	Quality	
homeless. <u>Current Projects</u> will be			30-49% = 4.5	Report	
scored on chronically homeless			10-29% = 2.5	(HMIS)	
served.			Less than 10% = 0		
8. ALL Programs: Program	100%	10	100% = 10	Applica., &	
targets/serves Veterans.	HHs w/adult		70-99% = 7.5	verified	
<u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on	Veteran		50-69% = 5	w/APR	
adults being served by the project.			25-49% = 2.5		
0.411.000	4000/	40	Less than 25% = 0	A 1:	
9. ALL Programs: Program	100%	10	100% = 10	Applica., &	
targets/serves Youth-headed	Youth-headed		70-99% = 7.5	verified	
Households. Current Projects will be	HHs		50-69% = 5	w/APR (HMIS	
scored on percentage of youth-			25-49% = 2.5	Data)	
			Less than 25% = 0		

headed households served by the					
•					
project. 10. ALL Programs: Program serves persons who have special needs or high barriers to housing. (disabilities, victims of domestic violence, and youth households (adults 18-24)	100%	10	100% = 10 70-99% = 7 40-69% = 5 Less than 40% = 0	Applica. & verified w/APR (Q13, Q14 or Q27)	
11. ALL Programs: At least one Adult per household w/previous residence that indicates literal homelessness (project eligibility). Enrollments January 1, 2016 and later.	100%	10	100% = 10 Projects 75% or less -10 (and may be subject to lower ranking or reallocation)	APR Data/HMIS Data [Q15]	
Subtotal Homeless & Special Needs		60			
12. ALL Programs: Increase in Earned Income for Adults [adults who gained or increased 'earned income' from Start to Annual Assessment or Exit/ adults	8%	10	8% or > = 10 4-7% = 4 Less than 3% = 0	APR [Q19a3]	
12a. ALL Programs: Increased Income from All Sources [% of persons age 18 or older who gained or increased total income from Start to Annual Assessment/Exit]	54%	10	54% or > = 10 49-53% = 8 40-48% = 6 35-39% = 3 Below 35% = 0	APR [Q19a3]	
12b. ALL Programs: Participants with Non-Cash Mainstream Benefit Sources RRH Projects – % leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits' at exit/total leaving adults] PSH Projects – % of stayers and leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits' at latest assessment	80% or >	10	80% or > = 10 65-79% = 7.5 50-64% = 4 49% or below = 0	APR [RRH - Q20b & PSH - Q20b]	
13. Renewal Programs: Leavers who exit housing or program to shelter, streets, or unknown [leavers exiting to 'emergency shelter', 'place not meant for human habitation', or 'don't know/refused'/all leavers]	10% or less	11	10% or < = 11 11-20% = 5 Over 20% = 0	APR [Q23a &Q23b]	

14. Coordinated Entry Participation:	Non-	10	Yes for 100% = 10	DCA HMIS	
Projects in non-Implementation	Implementation			Data	
Sites: HMIS shows participants are	Sites: VI-SPDAT				
being assessed using the VI-SPDAT	for 100%				
for prioritization	Enrollments	OR			
Projects in Implementation Sites:	Implementation	10	100% of project		
HMIS shows Projects are	Sites:		enrollments referred		
participating and accepting referrals	100%		from CES = 5 points		
from the Lead Agency	Participation		AND		
	AND 95%		95% or more CES		
	Referral		referral acceptance		
	Acceptance Rate		rate = 5 points		
15. Acceptance of Participants	70% or less have	5	70% or < = 5	DCA HMIS	
Without Income	income at entry		Over 70% = 0	Data [APR	
% of adults 18 or older entering				Q18]	
project without earned income, SSI,					
or SSDI					
16. Variance in Length of Stay	Variance in	5	Yes = 5	Length of	
Variance in length of stay for Leavers	Length of time		None or Minimal = 0	Stay, Avg &	
to reflect Written Standards	for Leaver HHs			Median	
requirement that project responsive				Length of	
to client needs and not a				Stay / APR /	
predetermined length of stay for all				Policies	
participants Subtotal Performance		61			
17. Non-Shelter Plus Care Project	No Unresolved	4	See table below	HUD	
Applicants - Monitoring – HUD	Findings or	4	See table below	Monitoring	
Findings	Concerns			Report and	
or	Concerns	or		Responses	
17a. Shelter Plus Care Project	No Unresolved	4	See table below	/DCA	
Applicants - Monitoring - DCA	Findings or			Monitoring	
Findings	Concerns			Report and	
				responses	
Subtotal Compliance		4			
18. CoC Participation: Attended BoS	Yes or No	10	Yes = 10	DCA list	
CoC Statewide or Regional Meetings					
(September 2018 or December					
2018), attended DCA's 2019 Housing					
Symposium in February, Participates					
in BoS CoC Governance (Board or					
Committee Participation), or as a Point in Time Coordinator.					
19. HMIS Data Quality:	<5%	10	5% or less = 2	HMIS HUD	
[Q2 – Q7 less than 5% per question]			> 5% = 0	Data Quality	
(Applicants that are not a current			each question	Report or	
CoC grantee & not currently using				APR [Q06a-	
	i		İ.	06f]	
HMIS may submit data from a comparable HMIS system.)				001]	

19a. Timeliness of Data Entry & Annual Assessments: [Q6 & Q4 less than 5% per question] Timely data entry for participant starts, exits, & annual assessments	100%	10	80% or more 3 days or less Start and Exit = 3 points each 50% or more 4-10 days Start and Exit = 1 point each 5% or less Annual Assessment errors = 3 points Additional point (1) if 3 days or less Start and Exit AND 5% or less annual assess errors	HMIS HUD Data Quality Report [Q6 & Q4] or APR [Q06e & Q06c]	
Subtotal: CoC Participation & HMIS Data Quality		30			
20. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources) With the exception of leasing, all agencies must demonstrate match resources equal to at least 25% of the total requested HUD funding.		5	Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3 Unacceptable = 0	Applica.	
21. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources) - The CoC goal for all leveraged resources (including match) is 150% of the grant amount. For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication		5	125% or more = 5 100-124% = 3 90-99% = 1 Less than 90% = 0	Applica.	
Subtotal: Match and Leveraging		10			
Total Points Available for Performance Criteria (listed on this form)		216			
Total Points Available for Outcome Criteria (Addendum criteria scoresheet)		50		_	
Total Possible Points**		266			
22. Bonus Points: Continuum of Care Permanent Supportive Housing Priority. Bonus points available for permanent supportive housing programs that provide housing to people who are homeless with a disability.	100%	30	Current PSH program = 30	Application Project Type	

23. Bonus Points: Housing First: Bonus points available for permanent housing projects (PSH or RRH) that are currently using, the Housing First model.	100%	10	Yes (with supporting docs) = 10 No = 0 Project previously committed to 100% where applicant indicates it will not continue as Housing First will not receive these points and may be placed at the bottom of Tier 2.	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents Addendum	
24. Bonus Points: Low Barrier Projects: Bonus points available to project applications that are low barrier projects, meaning project allows entry to program participants that includes: low or no income, current or past substance use, criminal records—with the exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state or local law or ordinance, and history of domestic violence.	100%	10	Yes (with supporting docs) = 10 No = 0 Project previously committed to 100% where applicant indicates it will not continue as Housing First will not receive these points and may be placed at the bottom of Tier 2.	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents Addendum	
25. Policies and Procedures: Rapid Placement in Housing without preconditions. — Policies have been updated to support placement into permanent housing without preconditions for income, work effort, sobriety, or any other factor (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions),	Yes or No	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	Policies & Procedures	
25. Bonus Points: Point in Time Count Coordinator – Bonus points available to project applications submitted by an agency that served as a coordinator for the Homeless Point in Time Count in January 2019.	100%	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	DCA	
26. Bonus Points: Coordinated Entry Implementation – Project is critical and currently managing the assessment, prioritization, and referral process for a Coordinated Entry implementation.	Yes or No	20	Yes = 20 No = 0	DCA	

26. Bonus Points: Increased Number	100%	10	Yes = 10	DCA	
of Beds Designated for Chronically					
Homeless – Bonus points available to			No = 0		
projects where recipient or					
subrecipient increased the number					
of beds designated for chronically					
homeless. This was dependent on					
project serving a high number of					
people who were chronically					
homeless during the 2019 PIT/HIC in					
January.					
** Timeliness of Application	<5% of total	Depends	1 Day Late = <5% total	Based on	
Submission – Late submission of the	points each	on	points available	date received	
review application, second	working day the	Submissi	2 Days Late = <10%	at DCA.	
submission package, or supporting	application is	on Date	total points available		
documents will lose points. The rate	late		3 Days Late = <15% <u>and</u>		
will be <5% of the total SCORED			application may not be		
points available in the competition			sent to review team for		
for each working day that the			ranking (required for		
application is late.			funding)		
Total Points Received					

HUD/DCA Monitoring of CoC Program (point scale)				
Score	Findings			
4	No Unresolved Monitoring Findings			
2	Unresolved Monitoring Findings, but there is a clear and feasible corrective plan			
0	Unresolved Findings with no plan or unclear plan			

Notes:

- 1. Applicants must provide sufficient information to compete with other applicants under criteria, regardless of whether or not this information is required for the final application being submitted electronically to HUD.
- 2. In the event of a tie, decisions to be based upon funds requested/number served at capacity/serving a CoC priority population (chronic, Veterans, youth).
- 3. Review Team reserves discretion to award points between high and low ranges on Capacity, Outcome, Match, and Leveraging criteria.
- 4. Note that this criteria reflects CoC priorities as well as HUD priorities outlined in the FY 2019 NOFA. As a matter of information to applicants, the Balance of State CoC will be judged competitively on CoC Coordination and Engagement (56points), Project Ranking, Review and Capacity (29 points), Homeless Management Information System (9 points), Point-In-Time Count (6 points), System Performance (60 points), and Performance and Strategic Planning (40 points) 200 total points possible.
- 5. The Balance of State CoC project renewal applications for HMIS, and Coordinated Assessment have no impact upon the HUD score for the CoC application. Because of their importance to the CoC effort

- across the State, they will not be scored by the review panel and will be placed on the priority ranking within Tier 1. The CoC Planning grant is not part of the Tier ranking and does not impact the funding available.
- 6. Project Applicants that believe they were not allowed to participate in a fair and open process and were rejected by the CoC have the right to appeal the rejection directly to HUD as outlined in the NOFA.
- 7. More information on Housing First can be found on the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness web site at: http://usich.gov/resources/uploads/asset_library/Housing_First_Checklist_FINAL.pdf. It was also was sent out by HUD through the SNAPS Weekly Focus series that was released on August 21, 2013 (https://www.hudexchange.info/news/snaps-weekly-focus-adopting-a-housing-first-approach/). The entire series can be found at: https://www.hudexchange.info/news/snaps-weekly-focus/. Competition Focus Messages for the 2017 competition can be found at: https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/fy-2018-coc-program-nofa-coc-program-competition/#nofa-and-notices. Competition information for the 2019 competition can be found in the HUD NOFA and at: https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/.
- 8. The Final Rule on Defining "Chronic Homeless" published in December 2015, can be found at: https://www.hudexchange.info/homelessness-assistance/resources-for-chronic-homelessness/.