2019 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects (PSH, RRH, Joint TH-RRH, Expansion, or Other)

Reviewer Name:	Date:		
Project Name:			
HUD Project Type: ☐ PSH; ☐ RRH; ☐ Joint TH-RI	RH (is this for an Expans	sion or 🗌 DV Bo	nus?)
Requested Amount (General Information Question	6):		
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to (Total number of households, Question 5b, sec			
Please read each application fully first before scorin application that applies specifically to that scoring of slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior. There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box follow reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each scoring rationale.	riteria. As the individual p to assigning a score. ving the scoring chart in ea	ooint amounts ma	ay vary just important that
Threshold Information			
Threshold Statements	Yes/No		Score
 PSH & RRH Agencies submitting new projects had 8 requirements to meet in order to be considered for this funding (Joint TH-RRH must also meet HUD minimum standards**). 	All the requirements che addressed = Yes One or more of the requ checked or addressed =	uirements not	
Project Threshold Criteria		Scoring	Reviewer Score
Agency meets HUD's eligibility and threshold criteria	l.	Pass/Fail	
Agency demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*		Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirement	S.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible population		Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.		Pass/Fail	
Agency does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.		Pass/Fail	
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.		Pass/Fail	
Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards**		Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.		Pass/Fail	
	atements Comments		

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are	
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no	
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*	
proposed.	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
(20 possible points)	applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good	
New project applicants must sufficiently	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements	
describe experience	and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local	
administering federally	volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant	
	funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity =	
funded grants, and submit the most recent	5 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience	
Form 990, and list of	or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
current board		
members. New	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full	
projects should also	points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately	
adequately describe	demonstrated.	
how project will reach		
full operational	Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns from	
capacity. New project	HUD, DCA, or any other governmental or foundation funder, that doesn't	
applications that do	demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action may lose additional	
not demonstrate	points or be determined not to meet threshold.	
capacity to carry out		
project may be		
rejected by the review		
team.		
	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	
Agency Capacity Comme	ents	

Proposed Project Information

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
2. PSH: Homeless and	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20	
Permanent Supportive Housing Experience	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
Experience	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0	
(Question 1a & 1b)		
(20 possible points)		
Weighed heavily due to the importance of the experience		
3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5	
Experience (Question 1c)	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3	
(5 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2	
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	ı
	TOTAL	
(25 points maximum) Agency Experience Comments		

Approved – July 24, 2019 Page 3 of 12

4. Monitoring	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns = 4	
Findings or Concerns		
	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but	
(Questions 1d & 1e)	a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
(4 possible points)	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or	
	findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature	
	that causes capacity concerns = 0	
TOTAL		
(4 points maximum)		
Program Monitoring		

General Description	Possible Points	Score
5. Program Description	Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need	
	for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1	
(Question 2a and 3a)	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1	
(Question za ana sa)	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support	
(8 possible points)	service needs of the participants = 1	
(6 p 333 337 p 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Response has clear proposed outcomes <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem	
(Each checked	reasonable = 1	
applicable box = 1	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
point)	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely	
Proposed Project	manner = 1	
Expansions will need to	Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people with	
fully demonstrate need	higher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1	
(Question 3)		
6. Estimated Schedule	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the proposed	
	activities, management plan, method for assuring an effective and timely	
(Overtion 2h)	completion of work <u>and</u> includes a plan to reach full capacity = 6	
(Question 2b)	Response gives an adequate description of proposed schedule, but does not	
(6 possible points)	address all points above = 4	
(o possible politis)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves unanswered	
	questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
7. Harm Reduction and	Description of how the project will incorporate a Harm Reduction and	
Housing First/Low	Housing First model is thorough and leaves no unanswered questions	
Barrier	= 3 points	
(Question 2c)	Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point	
(3 possible points)	Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points	

8. Housing First	Agencies were required to check each criteria for that there will be a	
(0 0.1)	policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than	
(Question 2d)	state/federal-mandated exceptions) and to provide an explanation to	
(7 possible points)	support other requirements based on housing readiness. Points are not	
(7 possible politis)	automatic; if explanation or other narratives do not back up answer	
	award zero points for related criteria.	
	Award one point for each criteria that has <u>not</u> been selected.	
	 Alcohol Testing/Sobriety Requirements: no check = 1 	
	 Drug Testing/Active Substance Abuse: no check = 1 	
	Employment Requirements: no check = 1	
	Minimum Income Requirements: no check = 1	
	 Minor Criminal History (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions): no check = 1 	
	 Refusal to Participate in Services: no check = 1 	
	Other requirements based on "housing readiness": no check or satisfactory	
	explanation = 1	
	Applicants that selected any of the above policies/practices for not accepting a	
	client into the project should describe the rules that would prevent entry into a	
	project.	
9. Housing First	Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there is a	
	policy or practice that would cause a client to be terminated from the	
(Question 2e)	project. Explanation to support other requirements based on housing	
	readiness. Points are not automatic; if explanation or other narratives	
(6 possible points)	do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.	
	Award one point for each factor that is <u>not</u> selected.	
	Failure to participate in supportive services: award 1 point without penalty	
	for participation requirement once a person has been stably housed,	
	unless explanation demonstrates project will not work with a client to	
	avoid termination = 1	
	 Failure to follow the individual service plan: no check = 1 	
	 Failure to make progress on a service plan: no check = 1 	
	 Loss of income or failure to improve income: no check = 1 	
	 Failed drug and/or alcohol test: no check = 1 	
	 Other violations of project rules (see below): no check or satisfactory 	
	explanation = 1	
	Applicants that selected any of the above policies/practices for termination	
	should describe rule violations that would cause a client to be terminated from	
	the project and any corrective measures taken prior to termination.	
10. Determinations	PSH projects:	
by Project Type	Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people	
	with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that will	
PSH: Prioritization of	be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6	
Chronically Homeless	Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the	
	most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to	
	engage people living on the streets and in shelter, but leaves some unanswered	
	questions = 4	
1		

RRH and Joint TH-RRH:	Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people	
Leasing and Rental	with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process, and leaves unanswered questions = 1	
Assistance Procedure	·	
	Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0	
(Question 2f)	RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects:	
	Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6	
	Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves	
(6 possible points)	unanswered questions= 3	
	i i	
11. Coordinated Entry	Response unclear or incomplete = 0 Agencies were required to explain and discuss:	
Participation	Agencies were required to explain and discuss.	
Participation	a) plans to assess clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participate in a	
(Ougation 2a)	local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment) = 3 points	
(Question 2g)	if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
Assassment	b) how the project will work to ensure they are prioritizing people with the	
Assessment,	highest needs or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it	
Prioritization, &	relates to prioritization of clients and project acceptance of clients through the	
Eligibility	referral process) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement	
Requirements	will be met	
(0ibleit-)	c) participant eligibility requirements around homelessness and disability (as	
(9 possible points)	applicable for PSH) for homeless persons to access and be accepted into this	
	program = 3 points if fully met and demonstrates requirement will be met	
	Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C).	
	Projects determined <i>not</i> willing to participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry	
	System or <i>not</i> targeted for eligible populations may not meet threshold.	
	Award three points for each criteria that fully addresses and clearly	
	demonstrates each requirement will be met. (9 possible total points) TOTAL	
	(45 points maximum)	
General Description Co		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
12. Educational Liaison	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison,	
(iob +:+lo	describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are	
(job title, responsibilities, and	enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5	
services)	Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
,	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
(Question 4a)		
(5 possible points)		
13. Permanent	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist	
Housing Stability	participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the	
	target population, through both case management and accessing outside	
(O	services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination	
(Question 4b)	between landlords and service providers. = 5	
(5 possible points)	·	
, , ,	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the	
	target population, through both case management and accessing outside	
	services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a	
	clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination	
	between landlords and service providers. = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not	
	address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
14. Increase in Income	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to	
	assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes	
(Question 4c)	addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are	
(Question 10)	assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the	
(10 possible	service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream	
points)	benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10	
	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to	
	assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes	
	addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management	
	and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are	
	assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the	
	service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream	
	benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
	Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with	
	other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and	
	ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs	
	for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.	

15. Supportive	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for	
Services	the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and	
	description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered	
	questions = 5	
(Question 4d and 4e)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for	
(5 possible points)	the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the	
	participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the	
	participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable,	
	or leaves some unanswered questions = 1	
	Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the	
	participants = 0	
TOTAL		
(25 points maximum)		
Supportive Services Comments		

Housing Type and Location and Project	Possible Points	Score
Participants		
16. Prioritization	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving	
(01 -)	people who are veterans or unaccompanied youth at 100% = 10	
(Question 5b-5c)	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving	
/40	people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 70-99% = 7	
(10 points possible)		
	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for	
New projects should	serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 50-69% = 5	
sufficiently	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for	
demonstrate need,	serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 30-49% = 1	
targeting, and related		
partnerships (in the	Response indicates no dedicated units/beds for prioritization and a low or no	
size and scope	percentage of subpopulations, or response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
proposed).		
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		
Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments		

Proposed Performance	Possible Points	Score
Measures		
17. Housing Stability (Question 6a)	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 85% housing stability rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3	
(3 possible points)	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 79-84% housing stability rate <u>but</u> the plan is realistic = 2	
Standard Baseline = 85%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate a housing stability rate at or below 78% = 0	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe #) X 100 = %	01 Delow 78% – 0	
18. Income	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 54% increase in all income rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3	
(3 possible points) Increase in Total Income	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 45-53% increase in all income rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2	
(Question 6bi)	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 35-44% increase in all income rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1	
Standard Baseline = 54%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all income	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe #) X 100 = %	rate at below 35% = 0	
19. Project Evaluation	Description of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, and leaves no unanswered questions = 2	
(Question 9b)	Description of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, but leaves	
(2 possible points)	Some unanswered questions = 1 Agency shows no project and agency evaluation, or description is incomplete	
	-0	
TOTAL		
	(8 points maximum)	
Proposed Performance M	leasures Comments	

Budget	Possible Points	Score
20. Budget	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate,	
	and realistic, and leave no questions = 10	
(Question 8) (10 possible points)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts complete, accurate, and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7	
() []	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, complete,	
	accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many unanswered questions = 0	
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		

Budget Comments		
		_
Project Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score
21. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:	
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at		
least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and	Well defined = 5	
administrative costs.	Acceptable = 3	
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match	Unacceptable = 0	
(specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)	
22. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Leveraging (outside of match):	
The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 125% of the grant amount		
(above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies	125% or more = 9	
should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match	100-124% = 6	
resources listed above to insure no duplication.	90-99% = 3	
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for	Less than 90% = 0	
leverage (specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)	
TOTAL		
(14 points maximum)		
Match and Leveraging Comments		

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)		
	** Application**	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for youth-	No = 0	
headed households (aged 18-24 yrs.		
old).	** Application**	
(5 possible points)		

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (161 maximum points):

Approved – July 24, 2019 Page 10 of 12

Duning to state the control of the c	V 10	
Project will be committed to using a	Yes = 10	
Housing First Model: Project will use a		
Housing First Model that follows a low	No = 0	
barrier approach in that it will allow		
entry to participants that includes: low	**Application & Certification**	
or no income, current or past substance		
abuse, criminal records (with the		
exception as noted in NOFA), and		
history of domestic violence. In		
addition, project will not terminate		
participants for failure to participate in		
supportive services without making		
good faith attempt to work with		
participant before termination, make		
progress on service plan, loss of		
income/failure to improve income,		
failed drug/alcohol tests, or other.		
(42		
(10 possible bonus points)		
Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus	Yes = 10	
points available to project applications		
submitted by an agency that served as a	No = 0	
coordinator for the Annual Point in Time		
Count in January 2019.		
Coordinated Entry Implementation –	Yes = 20	
Project proposed is critical and proposed		
by applicant currently managing the	No = 0	
assessment, prioritization, and referral		
process for a Coordinated Entry		
implementation.		

TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (50 maximum points):	
TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (161 maximum)	
TOTAL BONUS POINTS (50 maximum)	
TOTAL POINTS (211) maximum)	

Approved – July 24, 2019 Page 11 of 12

Overall Comments, Concerns or Recommendations		
,		

Approved – July 24, 2019