

2019 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring
Renewal Projects ONLY

Reviewer Name: _____ Date: _____

Project Name: _____ HUD Project Type: PSH or RRH

General Information

General Information	Possible Points	Score
Balance of State CoC Priority: Serving people with the highest needs and longest histories of homelessness for existing new and renewal PSH is a priority for funding.	Permanent Supportive Housing = 10 Rapid Re-Housing = 5	
TOTAL (10 points maximum)		

Project Overview and Priority Alignment

As specified in the Governance Charter, the BoS CoC has aligned itself with the HUD Strategic Plan, as well as with the USICH Plan. While much of the scored information will be taken from agency and project HMIS APR data, agencies were asked to respond to questions, addressing the various objectives of the CoC.

Project Overview and Priority Alignment	Possible Points	Score
Project Summary (3 possible total points)	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the project = 3 Response gives an adequate description of project, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 1 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about purpose of project = 0	
Objective 1-A: Increase Progress towards Ending Chronic Homelessness Assessment, Prioritization, & Acceptance into project of people with highest needs.	Agencies were required to answer “Yes” or “No” to each response and to provide an explanation to support EACH answer. Points are not automatic; if explanation is not provided and/or does not back up answer award zero points. Checklist- A-C: Yes (and response fully addresses and clearly demonstrates requirement is being met) = 3 points No (or response does not fully address or does not demonstrate requirement is being met) = 0 points Agencies were required to explain and discuss: a) process of assessing clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participation in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment), b) how the project prioritizes clients as outlined in the CoC Written Standards and Coordinated Entry Written Standards Policies and Procedures, or participation in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to prioritization of clients and project acceptance of clients through the referral process) for this program, and c) program entry requirements and restrictions for homeless	

	<p>persons to access and be accepted into this program. Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C). (9 possible total points)</p>	
<p>Objective 1-B: Serving People with the Highest Barriers to Housing (&/or Special Needs)</p> <p>HUD is looking for projects that serve those people with most need and who would be most resistant to service. Projects are expected to reduce and remove barriers to accessing services, program acceptance and entry and continued program participation, in an effort to serve that population.</p>	<p>Applicants were asked to identify a) which of the listed criteria has a bearing on whether a client was or was not accepted into their project and b) which of the listed criteria has a bearing on whether a client was or was not terminated from their project:</p> <p>a. Which criteria has a bearing on whether a client is accepted into project?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Having too little income = Yes (barrier) or No • Active or history of substance abuse = Yes (barrier) or No • Having a criminal record (with exception of state/federal mandated restrictions) = Yes (barrier) or No • History of victimization (domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse) = Yes (not allowed = barrier) or No • None of the above = No barriers <p>No barriers = 5 points 1 or 2 barriers = 2 points 3 or more barriers = 0 points (5 possible total points for A)</p> <p>b. Which criteria has a bearing on whether a client is terminated from project?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to participate in supportive services = Not a barrier once a person is stably housed. Unless explanation demonstrates project will not work with a client to avoid termination. • Failure to make progress on a service plan = Yes (barrier) or No • Loss of income or failure to improve income = Yes (barrier) or No • Domestic violence = Yes (not allowed = barrier) or No • Any other activity not covered in a typical lease agreement _____ = Yes (may be possible barrier) or No • None of the above = No barriers <p>No barriers (outside of state/federal mandated restriction) = 5 points 1 or 2 barriers = 2 points 3 or more barriers = 0 points (5 possible total points for B)</p> <p>Explanation- Agencies were required to explain responses for both “a” and “b” as to the criteria that will prohibit clients from being accepted into, or terminated from, this project. Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A or B).</p>	<p>Part 1 score (A)</p> <p>Part 2 score (B)</p>

<p>Objective 2: Increase Housing Stability</p> <p>Standard Baseline: 85%</p> <p>PSH Application answer for E [(c+d)/a x 100 = %]</p> <p>RRH Application answer for D (c/b x 100 = %)</p>	<p>Performance 85% or above meets the standard 84% or below does not meet the standard</p> <p>Explanation (6 possible points)</p> <p>Project is meeting or exceeding the standard and response on how they will continue to maintain or exceed is clear and concise = 6</p> <p>Project performance is 79%-84%, and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 4</p> <p>Project performance is 78% or lower, and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 2</p> <p>Project is not meeting the standard, and response doesn't address how they will work to improve performance = 0</p>	
--	--	--

<p>Objective 3: Increase Project Participant Income</p> <p>Standard Baselines: Total Earned Income is 20% and Total Increased Income is 54%</p> <p>Earned Income (ALL) <i>Application answer for E</i></p> <p>Increased Income (ALL) <i>Application answer for H</i></p>	<p>Applicants were asked to report on Performance for a) Earned Income and b) Increased Income</p> <p>a. Total Earned Income is at 20% or above meets the standard Total Earned Income is less than 20% does not meet the standard</p> <p>20% or higher = 2 points 15%-19% = 1 point 14% or less = 0 points</p> <p>(2 possible total points for A [Earned Income])</p> <p>b. Total Increased Income is at 54% or above meets the standard Total Increased Income is less than 54% does not meet the standard</p> <p>54% or higher = 4 points 49%-53% = 3 points 35%-48% = 2 points 34% or less = 0 points</p> <p>(4 possible total points for B [Increased Income])</p> <p>Explanation- Agencies were required to explain responses for both "a" and "b" as to the steps that agency has in place to assist participants in increasing income. Projects with zero client turnover during the reporting period should describe client progress in meeting the objective to maintain or improve income for participants. Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A or B).</p>	<p>Part 1 score (A)</p> <p>Part 2 score (B)</p>
--	--	---

Objective 4: Increase the Number of Participants Obtaining Mainstream Benefits RRH and PSH Standard Baseline: 80% <i>Application answer for C (A/B X 100 = %)</i>	Performance 80% or above meets the standard Below 80% does not meet the standard	
	Explanation (6 possible points) Project is meeting the standard and response on how they will continue to maintain or exceed is clear and concise = 6 Project performance is 50-79% and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 4 Project performance is 49% and lower and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 2 Project is not meeting the standard, and response does not address how they will work to improve performance = 0	
TOTAL (40 points maximum)		

General Information Point Total (10 possible points):

+

Project Overview and Priority Alignment (40 possible points):

=

TOTAL POINTS (50 maximum points):
