

2018 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring
NEW Projects (PSH, RRH, Joint TH-RRH, Expansion, or Other)

Reviewer Name: _____ Date: _____

Project Name: _____

HUD Project Type: PSH; RRH; Joint TH-RRH (is this for an Expansion or DV Bonus?)

Requested Amount (General Information Question 6): _____

Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve
 (Proposed Project Information, Question 4b, second chart, "Total Number of Households"): _____

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary just slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Information

Threshold Statements	Yes/No	Score
1. PSH & RRH Agencies submitting new projects had 8 requirements to meet in order to be considered for this funding (Joint TH-RRH must also meet HUD minimum standards**).	All the requirements checked or addressed = Yes One or more of the requirements not checked or addressed = No	

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score
Agency meets HUD's eligibility criteria.	Pass/Fail	
Agency demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail	
Agency does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail	
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail	
Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards**	Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail	

Threshold Statements Comments

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
<p>Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement the project proposed.</p> <p><u>(20 possible points)</u></p> <p>New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate capacity to carry out project may be rejected by the review team.</p>	<p>Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*</p> <p>Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good</p> <p>Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 5 Adequate</p> <p>Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)</p> <p>*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately demonstrated.</p>	
TOTAL (20 points maximum)		
Agency Capacity Comments		

Proposed Project Information

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
<p>2.</p> <p>PSH: Homeless and Permanent Supportive Housing Experience</p> <p>RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Experience</p> <p>Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re-housing Experience</p> <p>(Question 1a & 1b)</p> <p>(20 possible points)</p> <p>*Weighed heavily due to the importance of the experience*</p>	<p>Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20</p> <p>Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15</p> <p>Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10</p> <p>Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0</p> <p>Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0</p>	
<p>3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Experience</p> <p>(Question 1c)</p> <p>(5 possible points)</p>	<p>Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5</p> <p>Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3</p> <p>Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2</p> <p>Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0</p> <p>Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0</p>	
<p>TOTAL (25 points maximum)</p>		
<p>Agency Experience Comments</p>		

General Description	Possible Points	Score
<p>4. Program Description</p> <p>(Question 2a and 3a)</p> <p>(8 possible points)</p> <p>(Each checked applicable box = 1 point)</p> <p>Proposed Project Expansions will need to fully demonstrate need (Question 3)</p>	<p>Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1</p> <p>Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1</p> <p>Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support service needs of the participants = 1</p> <p>Response has clear proposed outcomes <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem reasonable = 1</p> <p>Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1</p> <p>Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1</p> <p>Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely manner = 1</p> <p>Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people with higher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1</p>	
<p>5. Estimated Schedule</p> <p>(Question 2b)</p> <p>(6 possible points)</p>	<p>Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the proposed activities, management plan, method for assuring an effective and timely completion of work <u>and</u> includes a plan to reach full capacity = 6</p> <p>Response gives an adequate description of proposed schedule, but does not address all points above = 4</p> <p>Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves unanswered questions= 2</p> <p>Response unclear or incomplete = 0</p>	
<p>6. Harm Reduction and Housing First/Low Barrier</p> <p>(Question 2c, 1st paragraph)</p> <p>(3 possible points)</p>	<p>Description of how the project will incorporate a Harm Reduction and Housing First model is thorough and leaves no unanswered questions = 3 points</p> <p>Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point</p> <p>Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points</p>	
<p>7. Housing First</p> <p>(Question 2d)</p> <p>(7 possible points)</p>	<p>Agencies were required to check each criteria for that there will be a policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions) <u>and</u> to provide an explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Points are not automatic; if explanation or other narratives do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.</p> <p>Award one point for each criteria that has <u>not</u> been selected.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alcohol Testing/Sobriety Requirements: no check = 1 • Drug Testing/Active Substance Abuse: no check = 1 • Employment Requirements: no check = 1 • Minimum Income Requirements: no check = 1 • Minor Criminal History (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions): no check = 1 • Refusal to Participate in Services: no check = 1 • Other requirements based on “housing readiness”: no check or satisfactory explanation = 1 	

<p>8. Housing First (Question 2e) (6 possible points)</p>	<p>Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there is a policy or practice that would cause a client to be terminated from the project. Explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Points are not automatic; if explanation or other narratives do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.</p> <p>Award one point for each factor that is <u>not</u> selected.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to participate in supportive services: no check = 1 • Failure to follow the individual service plan: no check = 1 • Failure to make progress on a service plan: no check = 1 • Loss of income or failure to improve income: no check = 1 • Failed drug and/or alcohol test: no check = 1 • Other violations of project rules (see below): no check or satisfactory explanation = 1 	
<p>9. Determinations by Project Type PSH: Prioritization of Chronically Homeless RRH and Joint TH-RRH: Leasing and Rental Assistance Procedure (Question 2f) (6 possible points)</p>	<p>PSH projects: Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that will be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6</p> <p>Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter, but leaves some unanswered questions = 4</p> <p>Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process, and leaves unanswered questions = 1</p> <p>Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0</p> <p>RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects: Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6</p> <p>Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves unanswered questions= 3</p> <p>Response unclear or incomplete = 0</p>	
<p>TOTAL (36 points maximum)</p>		
<p>General Description Comments</p>		

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
<p>10. Educational Liaison (job title, responsibilities, and services) (Question 4a) (5 possible points)</p>	<p>Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison, describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5 Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3 Response is unclear or incomplete = 0 If project is for individuals only, and no children will be served = 5</p>	
<p>11. Permanent Housing Stability (Question 4b) (5 possible points)</p>	<p>Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 5 Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 4 Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3 Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2 Response unclear or incomplete = 0</p>	
<p>12. Increase in Income (Question 4c) (5 possible points)</p>	<p>Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 5 Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 4 Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3 Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions= 2 Response unclear or incomplete = 0</p>	

<p>13. Supportive Services</p> <p>(Question 4d and 4e)</p> <p>(5 possible points)</p>	<p>Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered questions = 5</p> <p>Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4</p> <p>Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3</p> <p>Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1</p> <p>Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0</p>	
<p>TOTAL (20 points maximum)</p>		
<p>Supportive Services Comments</p>		

<p>Housing Type and Location and Project Participants</p>	<p>Possible Points</p>	<p>Score</p>
<p>14. Prioritization</p> <p>(Question 5b-5c)</p> <p>(10 points possible)</p> <p>New projects should sufficiently demonstrate need, targeting, and related partnerships (in the size and scope proposed).</p>	<p>Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans or unaccompanied youth at 100% = 10</p> <p>Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 70-99% = 7</p> <p>Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 50-69% = 5</p> <p>Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 30-49% = 1</p> <p>Response indicates no dedicated units/beds for prioritization and a low or no percentage of subpopulations, or response is unclear or incomplete = 0</p>	
<p>TOTAL (10 points maximum)</p>		
<p>Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments</p>		

Proposed Performance Measures	Possible Points	Score
15. Housing Stability (Question 6a) (3 possible points) Standard Baseline = 80% $(\text{Target \#}) \div (\text{Universe \#}) \times 100 = \%$	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 80% housing stability rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 70-79% housing stability rate <u>but</u> the plan is realistic = 2 Response indicates that the project will anticipate a housing stability rate at or below 69% = 0	
16. Income (3 possible points) Increase in Total Income (Question 6bi) Standard Baseline = 54% $(\text{Target \#}) \div (\text{Universe \#}) \times 100 = \%$	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 54% increase in all income rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 45-53% increase in all income rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2 Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 35-44% increase in all income rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 Response indicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all income rate at below 35% = 0	
TOTAL (6 points maximum)		
Proposed Performance Measures Comments		

Budget	Possible Points	Score
17. Budget (Question 7) (10 possible points)	The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts are clear, well defined, and balanced, and leaves no questions = 10 The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts are clear, well defined, and balanced, but leaves unanswered questions = 7 The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts is acceptable, but leaves unanswered questions = 5 The budget and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, balanced, and/or leaves too many unanswered questions = 0	
TOTAL (10 points maximum)		
Budget Comments		

Project Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score
18. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and administrative costs. *New project applicants must attach commitments for match.	Match: Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3 Unacceptable = 0	
19. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 125% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach commitments for leverage.	Leveraging (outside of match): 125% or more = 9 100-124% = 6 90-99% = 3 Less than 90% = 0	
TOTAL (14 points maximum)		
Match and Leveraging Comments		

Program Monitoring	Possible Points	Score
20. Monitoring Findings or Concerns (Question 9a) (2 possible points)	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns = 2 Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but a response letter has not been received by applicant = 1 Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature that causes capacity concerns = 0	
21. Project Evaluation (Question 9b) (2 possible points)	Description of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, and leaves no unanswered questions = 2 Description of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 Agency shows no project and agency evaluation or description is incomplete - 0	
TOTAL (4 points maximum)		
Program Monitoring		

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (145 maximum points): _____

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points available to project applications that exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans. (5 possible points)	Yes = 5 No = 0 ** Application **	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points available to project applications that exclusively dedicate beds for youth-headed households (aged 18-24 yrs old). (5 possible points)	Yes = 5 No = 0 ** Application **	
<u>Project will be committed to using a Housing First Model:</u> Project will use a Housing First Model that follows a low barrier approach in that it will allow entry to participants that includes: low or no income, current or past substance abuse, criminal records (with the exception as noted in NOFA), and history of domestic violence. In addition, project will not terminate participants for failure to participate in supportive services, make progress on service plan, loss of income/failure to improve income, failed drug/alcohol tests, or other. (10 possible bonus points)	Yes = 10 No = 0 **Application & Certification**	
Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus points available to project applications submitted by an agency that served as a coordinator for the Annual Point in Time Count in January 2017.	Yes = 10 No = 0	

TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (30 maximum points): _____

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (145 maximum) _____

+

TOTAL BONUS POINTS (30 maximum) _____

=

TOTAL POINTS (175) maximum) _____

Overall Comments, Concerns or Recommendations