## 2017 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Rapid Re-Housing Projects (RRH)

Reviewer Name:	Date:
Project Name:	
Requested Amount (General Information Question 6):	
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve (Proposed Project Information, Question 4b, second chart, "Total N	lumber of Households"):

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary just slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

## **Threshold Information**

Threshold Statements	Yes/No	Score
1. Agencies submitting new projects had 8	All the requirements checked or	
requirements to meet in order to be	addressed = Yes	
considered for this funding.	One or more of the requirements not checked or addressed = No	

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score
Agency meets HUD's eligibility criteria.	Pass/Fail	30010
Agency demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail	
Agency does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail	
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail	
Threshold Statements Comments		

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are	
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no	
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*	
proposed.	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any	
(20 possible points)	concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no	
New project applicants	match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good	
must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 5 Adequate	
submit the most recent	3 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
members. New projects should also adequately describe	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full points for this criteria	
how project will reach		
full operational		
capacity. New project		
applications that do		
not demonstrate		
capacity to carry out		
project may be		
rejected by the review		
team.		
	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	
	Agency Capacity Comments	

## **Proposed Project Information**

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
2. Homeless and Rapid	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant	
Re-housing	experience of the applicant = 20	
Experience (Question 1b)	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
(20 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
*Weighed heavily due	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
to the importance of the experience*	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a Rapid Rehousing program = 0	
3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services,	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant, for all four aspects = 5	
and HMIS Experience	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3	
(Question 1c)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2	
(5 possible points)	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	
	TOTAL	
(25 points maximum)		
	Agency Experience Comments	

General Description	Possible Points	Score
4. Program	Response has a clear description of how the project meets a community need	
Description	= 1	
	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served =	
	1	
(Question 2a)	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support	
	service needs of the participants = 1	
(7 possible points)	Response has clear proposed outcomes <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem	
	reasonable = 1	
(Each checked	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
applicable box = 1	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project =	
point)	1	
	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely	
	manner = 1	

5. Estimated	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the proposed	
Schedule	activities, management plan, method for assuring an effective and timely completion of work <u>and</u> includes a plan to reach full capacity = 6	
(Question 2b)	Response gives an adequate description of proposed schedule, but does not address all points above = 4	
(6 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves unanswered questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
6. Harm Reduction	Response is clear and shows an understanding of both philosophies and	
and Housing First	agency has experience in both and will continue to utilize both for this project = 6	
	Response is clear and shows an understanding of both philosophies and	
(Question 2c)	agency has experience in one of the philosophies, and will utilize both for this	
(6 possible points)	project = 5	
(o possilio politica)	Response shows an adequate understanding of both philosophies <u>but</u> agency has no experience in either philosophies, but indicates it will utilize both for this project = 3	
	Response shows a minimal understanding of the philosophies, but leaves unanswered questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
7. Rental Assistance	Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding rental assistance = 6	
Procedure	Response gives an adequate description of the rental assistance plan, but	
(Question 2d)	leaves unanswered questions= 3	
(Question 2u)	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
(6 possible points)		
	TOTAL	
	(25 points maximum)	
	General Description Comments	
1		

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
8. Educational Liaison	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison,	
	describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are	
(job title,	enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5	
responsibilities, and services)	Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
(Question 3a)	If project is for individuals only, and no children will be served = 5	
(5 possible points)		

	<del>,</del>	-
9. Permanent Housing Stability (Question 3b)	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 5	
(5 possible points)	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
10. Increase in Employment and/or Income	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits,	
(Question 3c) (5 possible points)	leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 5  Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	

11. Supportive	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for	
Services	the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and	
	description of services and plan is clear and leaves no unanswered questions =	
	5	
(Question 3d and 3e)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for	
(5 possible points)	the participants, but description of services and plan is not clear or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the	
	participants, and description of services and plan is clear and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the	
	participants, but description of services and plan is not clear or leaves some unanswered questions = 2	
	Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(20 points maximum)	
Supportive Services Comments		

Housing Type and Location and Project	Possible Points	Score
Participants		
12. Prioritization	Response fully demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who are veterans or unaccompanied youth at 100% = 10	
(Question 4b) (10 points possible)	Response fully demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 70-99% = 7	
New projects should	Response adequately demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 50-69% = 5	
sufficiently demonstrate need, targeting, and related	Response adequately demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 30-49% = 1	
partnerships (in the size and scope proposed).	Response indicates no prioritization and a low or no percentage of subpopulations, or response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(10 points maximum)	
Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments		

Proposed Performance Measures	Possible Points	Score
13. Housing Stability	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 80% housing stability rate = 3	
(Question 5a) (3 possible points)	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 75-79% housing stability rate = 2	
HUD Goal = 80%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 70-74% housing stability rate = 1	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe #) X 100 = %	Response indicates that the project will anticipate a housing stability rate below 70% = 0	
14. Income		
(3 possible points)		
Increase in Total Income	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 54% increase in all income rate = 3	
(Question 5bi) HUD Goal = 54%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 45-53% increase in all income rate = 2	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 35-44% increase in all income rate = 1	
#) X 100 = %	Response indicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all income rate at below 35% = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(6 points maximum)	
	Proposed Performance Measures Comments	

## **Financial Information**

Budget	Possible Points	Score
15. Budget	The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts are clear, well	
	defined, and balanced, and leaves no questions = 10	
(Question 6)	The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts are clear, well	
(10 possible points)	defined, and balanced, but leaves unanswered questions = 7	
(10 possible points)	The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts is acceptable, but leaves unanswered questions = 5	
	The budget and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear,	
	balanced, and/or leaves too many unanswered questions = 0	
	TOTAL	

(10 points maximum)	
Budget Comments	

Project Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score
16. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:	
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to		
at least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project	Well defined = 5	
and administrative costs.	Acceptable = 3	
*New project applicants must attach commitments for match.	Unacceptable = 0	
17. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Leveraging (outside of match):	
The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 125% of the grant amount	150% or more = 9	
(above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies	125-149% = 6	
should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match	90-124% = 3	
resources listed above to insure no duplication.	Less than 90% = 0	
*New project applicants must attach commitments for leverage.		
TOTAL		
(14 points maximum)		
Match and Leveraging Comm	ents	

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (130 maximum points):	
--	--

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
prioritize beds as available for Veterans.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)		
	** Application**	
Project will be committed to using a	Yes = 10	
Housing First Model: Project will use a		
Housing First Model that follows a low	No = 0	
barrier approach in that it project will		
allow entry to participants that includes:	**Application & Certification**	

Approved – July 31, 2017

low or no income, current or past		
substance abuse, criminal records (with		
the exception as noted in NOFA), and		
history of domestic violence.		
(40 11.1		
(10 possible bonus points) - Points are		
not automatic & applicant must		
demonstrate full understanding and		
intent to follow this model for low		
barrier program entry.		
Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus	Yes = 10	
points available to project applications		
submitted by an agency that served as a	No = 0	
coordinator for the Annual Point in Time		
Count in January 2017.		
	TOTAL DOSSIBLE DOINTS /25 movimus	m maintal.
	TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS (25 maximu	m points):
Overall Comp	ments. Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	
	TAL APPLICATION POINTS (130 maxi	mum)
		mum)
		mum)
	TAL APPLICATION POINTS (130 maxi	+
		+

Approved – July 31, 2017 Page 9 of 9

**TOTAL POINTS (155) maximum)**