2017 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Permanent Supportive Housing Projects (PSH)

Reviewer Name:		Date:	
Project Name:			
Requested Amount (Gen	eral Information Question 6): _		
Proposed Number of Ind (Proposed Project II		chart, "Total Number of People"):	

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary just slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Information

Threshold Statements	Yes/No	Score
1. Agencies submitting new projects had 8	All the requirements checked or	
requirements to meet in order to be	addressed = Yes	
considered for this funding.	One or more of the requirements not	
	checked or addressed = No	

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score		
Agency meets HUD's eligibility criteria.	Pass/Fail			
Agency demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail			
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail			
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail			
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail			
Agency does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail			
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail			
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail			
Threshold Statements Comments				

Approved 7/31/17 Page 1 of 10

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score	
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are		
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;		
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no		
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*		
proposed. (20 possible points)	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;		
New project applicants	applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good		
must sufficiently	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements		
describe experience	and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local		
administering federally	volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant		
funded grants, and	funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity =		
submit the most recent	5 Adequate		
financial audit, IRS			
Form 990, and list of	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience		
current board	or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)		
members. New	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full		
projects should also	points for this criteria.		
adequately describe			
how project will reach			
full operational			
capacity. New project			
applications that do			
not demonstrate			
capacity to carry out			
project may be			
rejected by the review			
team.			
TOTAL (20 points maximum)			
Agency Capacity Comments			

Approved 7/31/17 Page 2 of 10

Proposed Project Information

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score	
2. Homeless and	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant		
Permanent	experience of the applicant = 20		
Supportive Housing	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the		
Experience	experience is limited = 15		
Experience	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few		
(Question 1b)	unanswered questions = 10		
(20 possible points)	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0		
*Weighed heavily due	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a permanent supportive housing program = 0		
to the importance of the experience*	nomeless and/or managing a permanent supportive nousing program – o		
3. Leasing, Rental,	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant		
Support Services,	experience of the applicant, for all four aspects = 5		
and HMIS	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the		
Experience	experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3		
(Question 1c)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience		
,	is limited for three or four aspects = 2		
(5 possible points)	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0		
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0		
	TOTAL		
(25 points maximum)			
	Agency Experience Comments		

Approved 7/31/17 Page 3 of 10

General Description	Possible Points	Score
4. Program	Response has a clear description of how the project meets a community	
Description	need = 1	
	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served	
(Question 2a)	= 1	
	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and	
(7 possible points)	support service needs of the participants = 1	
/	Response has clear proposed outcomes <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem	
(Each checked	reasonable = 1	
applicable box = 1 point)	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full organizational capacity within six months of award = 1	
5. Harm Reduction and Housing First	Response is clear and shows an understanding of both philosophies <u>and</u> agency has experience in both and will continue to utilize both for this project = 6	
(Question 2b)	Response is clear and shows an understanding of both philosophies <u>and</u> agency has experience in one of the philosophies, and will utilize both for	
(6 possible points)	this project = 5	
	Response shows an adequate understanding of both philosophies <u>but</u> agency has no experience in either philosophies, but indicates it will utilize both for this project = 3	
	Response shows a minimal understanding of the philosophies, but leaves unanswered questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
6. Prioritization of	Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people	
Chronically Homeless	with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that will be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6	
(Question 2c)	Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used	
(6 possible points)	to engage people living on the streets and in shelter, but leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
	Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process, and leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	

Approved 7/31/17 Page 4 of 10

7. Estimated	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the proposed	
Schedule	activities, management plan, method for assuring an effective and timely	
	completion of work <u>and</u> includes a plan to reach full capacity = 6	
(Question 2d)	Response gives an adequate description of proposed schedule, but does not address all points above; but clearly describes a plan for rapid	
(6 possible points)	implementation = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves unanswered questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
TOTAL		
(25 points maximum)		
General Description Comments		

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
8. Educational Liaison (job title, responsibilities, and services)	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison, describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5	
(Question 4a)	Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3 Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
(5 possible points)	If project is for individuals only, and no children will be served = 5	
9. Permanent Housing Stability	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside	
(Question 4b) (5 possible points)	services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 5	
	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	

Approved 7/31/17 Page 5 of 10

(20 points maximum)		
TOTAL		
	Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services and plan is not clear or leaves some unanswered questions = 2	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services and plan is clear and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
(5 possible points)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services and plan is not clear or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
(Question 4d and 4e)	description of services and plan is clear and leaves no unanswered questions = 5	
11. Supportive Services	Response unclear or incomplete = 0 Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing mainstream services. Response addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. Response does not fully discuss a plan to identify and enroll Medicaideligible participants and has a plan to include Medicaid-financed services. If there are barriers related to Medicaid, the project has a plan to leverage non-Medicaid resources. = 4	
(Question 4c) (5 possible points)	management and accessing mainstream services. Response addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. Response also clearly discusses a plan to identify and enroll Medicaideligible participants and has a plan to include Medicaid-financed services. If there are barriers related to Medicaid, the project has a plan to leverage non-Medicaid resources. = 5	
10. Increase in Employment and/or Income	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case	

Approved 7/31/17 Page 6 of 10

Supportive Services Comments		

Housing Type and Location and Project	Possible Points	Score
Participants		
12. Prioritization	Response fully demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who	
(Question 5b)	are veterans or unaccompanied youth at 100% = 10	
(10 points possible)	Response fully demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 70-99% = 7	
New projects should sufficiently	Response adequately demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 50-69% = 5	
demonstrate need, targeting, and related partnerships (in the	Response adequately demonstrates need and will prioritize serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 30-49% = 1	
size and scope	Response indicates no prioritization and a low or no percentage of	
proposed).	subpopulations, or response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		
Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments		

Proposed	Possible Points	Score
Performance		
Measures		
13. Housing Stability	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 80% housing	
(Question 6a)	stability rate = 3	
(3 possible points)	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 75-79% housing stability rate = 2	
HUD Goal = 80%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 70-74% housing stability rate = 1	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe #) X 100 = %	Response indicates that the project will anticipate a housing stability rate below 70% = 0	

Approved 7/31/17 Page 7 of 10

14. Income		
(3 possible points)		
Increase in Total Income (Question 6bi)	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 54% increase in all income rate = 3	
	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 45-53% increase in all income rate = 2	
HUD Goal = 54%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 35-44% increase in all income rate = 1	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe #) X 100 = %	Response indicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all income rate at below 35% = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(6 points maximum) Proposed Performance Measures Comments	
	Proposed Performance Weasures Comments	

Approved 7/31/17 Page 8 of 10

Financial Information

Budget	Possible Points	Score	
15. Budget	The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts are clear, well		
(Question 7)	defined, and balanced, and leaves no questions = 10		
(10 possible points)	The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts are clear, well defined, and balanced, but leaves unanswered questions = 7		
	The budget and the rationale for the requested amounts is acceptable, but leaves unanswered questions = 5		
	The budget and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear,		
	balanced, and/or leaves too many unanswered questions = 0		
TOTAL			
(10 points maximum)			
Budget Comments			

Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score	
16. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:		
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and administrative costs. *New project applicants must attach commitments for match.	Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3 Unacceptable = 0		
17. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 125% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach commitments for leverage.	Leveraging (outside of match) 150% or more = 9 125%-149% = 6 90-124%= 3 Less than 90% = 0		
TOTAL (14 points maximum)			
	Match and Leveraging Comments		

Approved 7/31/17 Page 9 of 10

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (130 maximum points):

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus	Yes = 5	
points available to project		
applications that prioritize beds as	No = 0	
for Veterans.		
(5 possible points)	** Application**	
Project will be committed to using a	Yes = 10	
Housing First Model : Project will		
use a Housing First Model when	No = 0	
housing program participants.		
(10 possible bonus points) - Points	** Application & Certification**	
are not automatic & applicant must		
demonstrate full understanding and		
intent to follow this model for low		
barrier program entry.		
Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus	Yes = 10	
points available to project		
applications submitted by an agency	No = 0	
that served as a coordinator for the		
Annual Point in Time Count in		
January 2017.		

TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (25 Possible):

Overall Comments, Concerns or Recommendations		

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (130 maximum)	
TOTAL BONUS POINTS (25 maximum)	+
TOTAL POINTS (155) maximum)	<u>=</u>

Approved 7/31/17 Page 10 of 10