2017 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring Renewal Projects ONLY

General Information

General Information	Possible Points	Score
Balance of State CoC Priority:	Permanent Supportive Housing = 10	
Serving people with the highest needs and	Rapid Re-Housing = 5	
longest histories of homelessness for		
existing new and renewal PSH is a priority		
for funding.		
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		

Project Overview and Priority Alignment

As specified in the Governance Charter, the BoS CoC has aligned itself with the HUD Strategic Plan, as well as with the USICH "Opening Doors" plan. While much of the scored information will be taken from agency and project HMIS APR data, agencies were asked to respond to questions, addressing the various objectives of the CoC.

Project Overview and Priority Alignment	Possible Points	Score
Project Summary	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the project = 3	
(3 possible total points)	Response gives an adequate description of project, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 1	
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about purpose of project = 0	
Objective 1-A: Increase Progress towards Ending Chronic Homelessness	Agencies were required to answer "Yes" or "No" to each response and to provide an explanation to support answer. Points are not automatic; if explanation does not back up answer award zero points. Checklist-A-F:	
Using a Housing First Service Approach	Yes = 1 No = 0	
	G: Yes = 0 and No = 1	
	(7 possible total points) Explanation- Agencies were required to explain all Yes/No responses. Agencies not receiving points for the above, were supposed to provide explanations why, for each (A-G).	
	For a maximum total of 2 points, 1 point is allowed for each explanation that is clear and either gives a plan for addressing, or provides an adequate rationale.	
	(2 points possible this section, but Objective 1-A limited to 7 total points)	

	·	
Objective 1-B: Serving People with the Highest Barriers to Housing (&/or Special Needs) HUD is looking for projects that serve those people with most need and who would be most resistant to service. Projects are encouraged to have low or no barriers to entry, in an effort to serve that population.	Applicants were asked to identify which of the listed criteria has a bearing on whether a client was or was not accepted into their project. For the purpose of the following question, High Barriers consist of family or individual households who are homeless and have two or more of the following: (1) no income; (2) recent history of substance abuse or actively using drugs or alcohol; (3) serious health problems/conditions; (4) criminal background (that includes one or more felonies); and a history of domestic violence. Extreme Barriers consist of individuals with severe mental illness and/or substance abuse problems, are living on the street (or entered project from the street), and have been unable or unwilling to participate in supportive services. Applicant indicated the following criteria is used to terminate clients from project: • Failure to participate in supportive services = Yes (barrier) or No • Failure to make progress on a service plan = Yes (barrier) or No • Loss of income or failure to improve income = Yes (barrier) or No • Domestic violence = Yes (not allowed = barrier) or No • Other activity not covered in a typical lease agreement = Yes (may be possible barrier) or No No barriers = 10 points 1 or 2 barriers = 5 points 3 or more barriers = 0 points	
Objective 2: Increase Housing Stability	(10 possible total points) Performance 80% or above meets the standard 79% or below does not meet the standard	
HUD Standard: 80%	Explanation (8 possible points) Project is meeting the standard and response on how they will continue to maintain or exceed is clear and concise = 8	
	Project performance is 73%-79%, and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 6	
	Project performance is 72% or lower, and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 2	
	Project is not meeting the standard, and response is unclear = 0	

Objective 3: Increase	Performance		
Project Participant Income	Total Income is at 54% or above meets the standard		
	Total Income is less than 54% does not meet the standard		
	Explanation (6 possible points)		
HUD Standard for Total Income: 54%	Project is meeting the standard and response on how they will continue to maintain or exceed is clear and concise = 6		
Application answer (C+D)/A X 100 = %	Project does not meet HUD standard by 5% or less <u>and</u> response on how they will work to meet the standard is clear and concise = 4		
	Project does not meet HUD standard by 6-19% <u>but</u> response on how they will work to meet them is clear = 2		
	Project is not meeting the standard <u>and</u> response unclear = 0		
Objective 4: Increase	Performance		
the Number of	80% or above meets the standard		
Participants Obtaining	Below 80% does not meet the standard		
Mainstream Benefits	Explanation (6 possible points)		
	Project is meeting the standard and response on how they will continue to		
HUD Standard: 80%	maintain or exceed is clear and concise = 6		
Application answer A/B X 100 = %	Project performance is 50-79% and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 4		
	Project performance is 49% and lower and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 2		
	Project is not meeting the standard, and response is unclear = 0		
	TOTAL		
(40 points maximum)			

General Information Point Total (10 possible points):	
	+
Project Overview and Priority Alignment (40 possible points):	
	=
TOTAL POINTS (50 maximum points):	