LAW OFFICES

MCCAMY, PHILLIPS, TUGGLE & FORDHAM, LLP

POST OFFICE BOX 1105 DALTON, GEORGIA 30722-1105

October 15, 2008

RECEIV

JAMES H. PHILLIPS
JAMES T. FORDHAM
DANIEL T. STRAIN
C. LEE DANIEL, III
STEPHEN A. WILLIAMS
ROBERT H. SMALLEY, III (GA. & TN.)
CURTIS KLEEM

SAMUEL L. SANDERS

OCT 16 2008

(1910 - 1993)

JOSEPH T. TUGGLE, JR.

(1940 - 1999)

411 WEST CRAWFORD STREET TELEPHONE: (706) 278-4499 FAX NUMBER: (706) 278-5002 WWW.MCCAMYLAW.COM

OCT 1 6 2008

RECEIVED

Mr. James R. Frederick, Director Office of Planning and Quality Growth Georgia Department of Community Affairs 60 Executive Park South, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231 VIA UPS NEXT DAY

RE: Whitfield County Service Delivery Strategy

Dear Mr. Frederick:

Enclosed please find an original Whitfield County Service Delivery Strategy update form properly executed by Whitfield County, the City of Dalton, the City of Tunnel Hill, and the City of Varnell. As you know, this update was required in concert with our recent submission of a new joint comprehensive plan. We have determined that no changes are currently required in our local Service Delivery Strategy.

In accordance with your letter of October 3, 2008, please confirm your receipt of this Certification and our compliance with all related requirements with respect to our Service Delivery Strategy.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me any time.

Very truly yours,

McCamy, Phillips, Tuggle & Fordham LLP

Robert H. Smalley, III

RHS/tas

Enclosure as noted

James R. Frederick, Director Office of Planning and Quality Growth October 15, 2008 Page Two

cc: Hon. Brian D. Anderson, Sr., Chairman, Whitfield County Commission

Hon. Don Henderson, Mayor, Town of Cohutta Hon. David E. Pennington, II, Mayor, City of Dalton Hon. Kenny Gowin, Mayor, City of Tunnel Hill

Hon. David Owens, Mayor pro tempore, City of Varnell

Mr. Mike Gleaton, DCA

Mr. Kevin Herrit, Whitfield County Planner



SERVICE DELIVERY STRATEGY UPDATE CERTIFICATIONS

Instructions:

This two page form must, at a minimum, be signed by an authorized representative of the following governments: 1) the county; 2) the city serving as the county seat; 3) all cities having a 2000 population of over 9,000 residing within the county; and 4) no less than 50% of all other cities with a 2000 population of between 500 and 9,000 residing within the county. Cities with a 2000 population below 500 and local authorities providing services under the strategy are not required to sign this form, but are encouraged to do so.

| | U | PDA | TED SERVICE DELIVERY STRATEGY FOR | Whitfield | COUNTY | |
|------|--|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| W | e, the | unde | ersigned authorized representatives of the jurisdictions | listed below, certify that: | | |
| 1. | We have reviewed our existing Service Delivery Strategy and have determined that: (Check only one box for question #1) | | | | | |
| | Ø | A. | Our Strategy continues to accurately reflect our prefection out of the county and no changes in our Strategy are needed at the | | ding local services throughout our | |
| | | B. | Our Strategy has been revised to reflect our preferred | arrangements for providing | local services. | |
| If (| Option | n A i | s selected, only this form, signed by the appropriate loc | al government representative | es must be provided to DCA. | |
| | Optio | n B i | s selected, this form, signed by the appropriate local go | vernment representatives, m | nust be submitted to DCA along | |
| | : | any an | updated "Summary of Service Arrangements" form (pay supporting local agreements pertaining to each of these updated service area map depicting the agreed upon servider for each service that has been revised/updated with local political boundaries. | se services that has been revi rvice area for each provider | sed/updated; and if there is more than one service | |
| 2. | resc | lutio | our governing bodies (County Commission and City County agreeing to the Service Delivery arrangements identification of our service delivery strategy (O.C.G.A. 36-7) | tified in our strategy and hav | | |
| 3. | Our and | serv resp | ice delivery strategy continues to promote the delivery onsive manner for all residents, individuals and proper | of local government service ty owners throughout the con | s in the most efficient, effective, unty (O.C.G.A. 36-70-24(1)); | |
| 4. | geo | graph | rice delivery strategy continues to provide that water or hic boundaries of a service provider are reasonable and within the geographic boundaries of the service provide | are not arbitrarily higher tha | | |
| 5. | thos | se joi nty a | rice delivery strategy continues to ensure that the cost of only funded by the county and one or more municipality re borne by the unincorporated area residents, individue 4 (3)); | ies) primarily for the benefit | of the unincorporated area of the | |
| | | | | | | |

- Our Service Delivery Strategy continues to ensure that the officially adopted County and City land use plans of all local
 governments located in the County are compatible and nonconflicting (O.C.G.A. 36-70-24 (4)(A));
- 7. Our Service Delivery Strategy continues to ensure that the provision of extraterritorial water and sewer services by any jurisdiction is consistent with all County and City land use plans and ordinances (O.C.G.A. 36-70-24 (4)(B)); and
- Our Service Delivery Strategy continues to contain an agreed upon process between the county government and each city
 located in the county to resolve land use classification disputes when the county objects to the proposed land use of an area to
 be annexed into a city within the county (O.C.G.A. 36-70-24 (4)(C))¹ and;
- DCA has been provided a copy of this certification and copies of all forms, maps and supporting agreements needed to accurately depict our agreed upon strategy (O.C.G.A. 36-70-27).

'If the County does not have an Annexation/Land Use dispute resolution process with each of its cities, list the cities where no agreed upon process exists:

| SIGNATURE: | NAME: (Please print or type) | TITLE: | JURISDICTION: | DATE: |
|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| [mindul | Brian D.Anderson,Sr. | Chairman | Whitfield County | 9-23-08 |
| [M] | David E. Pennington | Mayor | City of Dalton | |
| | Don Henderson | Mayor | Town of Cohutta | |
| Konnets I. D. | Kenneth F. Gowin | Mayor | Tunnel Hill | 9-25-08 |
| Mayre for I | David Owens | Mayor Pro Tempore | City of Varnell | 9-25-08 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



SERVICE DELIVERY STRATEGY UPDATE CERTIFICATIONS

Instructions:

This two page form must, at a minimum, be signed by an authorized representative of the following governments: 1) the county; 2) the city serving as the county seat; 3) all cities having a 2000 population of over 9,000 residing within the county; and 4) no less than 50% of all other cities with a 2000 population of between 500 and 9,000 residing within the county. Cities with a 2000 population below 500 and local authorities providing services under the strategy are not required to sign this form, but are encouraged to do so.

| | UP | DAT | TED SERVICE DELIVERY STRATEGY FOR | Whitfield | COUNTY |
|------|----------|---------------------|--|--|---|
| We | e, the u | nder | signed authorized representatives of the jurisdictions l | isted below, certify that: | |
| 1. | | | reviewed our existing Service Delivery Strategy and handly one box for question #1) | ave determined that: | |
| | V | A. | Our Strategy continues to accurately reflect our prefe county and no changes in our Strategy are needed at the | | ding local services throughout our |
| | | B. | Our Strategy has been revised to reflect our preferred | arrangements for providing | local services. |
| If (| Option | A is | selected, only this form, signed by the appropriate loca | al government representativ | es must be provided to DCA. |
| If (| | B is | selected, this form, signed by the appropriate local government | vernment representatives, n | nust be submitted to DCA along |
| | • | any an u prov | apdated "Summary of Service Arrangements" form (pa supporting local agreements pertaining to each of thes apdated service area map depicting the agreed upon ser- vider for each service that has been revised/updated with acide with local political boundaries. | e services that has been revice area for each provider | ised/updated; and if there is more than one service |
| 2. | resolu | ution | ur governing bodies (County Commission and City Co as agreeing to the Service Delivery arrangements ident attation of our service delivery strategy (O.C.G.A. 36-7) | ified in our strategy and hav | |
| 3. | | | ce delivery strategy continues to promote the delivery on sive manner for all residents, individuals and property | | |
| 4. | geogr | raphi | ce delivery strategy continues to provide that water or ic boundaries of a service provider are reasonable and ithin the geographic boundaries of the service provide | are not arbitrarily higher tha | omers located outside the an the fees charged to customers |
| 5. | those | join ty are | ce delivery strategy continues to ensure that the cost of the strategy continues to ensure that the cost of the strategy country and one or more municipalities to borne by the unincorporated area residents, individual (3)); | es) primarily for the benefi | t of the unincorporated area of the |
| | | | | | |

- Our Service Delivery Strategy continues to ensure that the officially adopted County and City land use plans of all local governments located in the County are compatible and nonconflicting (O.C.G.A. 36-70-24 (4)(A));
- Our Service Delivery Strategy continues to ensure that the provision of extraterritorial water and sewer services by any
 jurisdiction is consistent with all County and City land use plans and ordinances (O.C.G.A. 36-70-24 (4)(B)); and
- Our Service Delivery Strategy continues to contain an agreed upon process between the county government and each city
 located in the county to resolve land use classification disputes when the county objects to the proposed land use of an area to
 be annexed into a city within the county (O.C.G.A. 36-70-24 (4)(C))¹ and;
- DCA has been provided a copy of this certification and copies of all forms, maps and supporting agreements needed to accurately depict our agreed upon strategy (O.C.G.A. 36-70-27).

If the County does not have an Annexation/Land Use dispute resolution process with each of its cities, list the cities where no agreed upon process exists:

| SIGNATURE: | NAME: (Please print or type) | TITLE: | JURISDICTION: | DATE: |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| This gotu | Brian D.Anderson,Sr. | Chairman | Whitfield County | 9-23-08 |
| Ster | David E. Pennington | Mayor | City of Dalton | |
| | Don Henderson | Mayor | Town of Cohutta | |
| Kemeth Fr. | Kenneth F. Gowin | Mayor | Tunnel Hill | 9-25-08 |
| Duiglies. Pages The To | David Owens | Mayor Pro Tempore | City of Varnell | 9-25-08 |
| | | | | |