#### RESOLUTION

1

WHEREAS, the 1989 Georgia General Assembly enacted House Bill 215, the Georgia Planning Act, requiring all local governments to prepare a comprehensive plan in accordance with the Minimum Planning Standards and Procedures promulgated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan Update for the City of Good Hope, Georgia, was prepared in accordance with the Minimum Planning Standards and Procedures; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the **City of Good Hope** that the Comprehensive Plan Update for the City of Good Hope, Georgia dated 2022, as approved by the Georgia Department Community Affairs is hereby adopted, and furthermore, that the Northeast Georgia Regional Commission shall be notified of said adoption within seven (7) days of the adoption of this resolution.

Adopted this day of 2022.

City of Good Hope, Georgia

George "Randy" Garrett, Mayor

Clerk





# GOOD HOPE

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN As Adopted on June 22, 2022

A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE



PREPARED BY THE NORTHEAST GEORGIA REGIONAL COMMISSION

### Contents

INTRODUCTION	4
VISION, GOALS, AND POLICIES	7
NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES	9
BROADBAND SERVICES	17
LAND USE	19
COMMUNITY WORK PROGRAM	21
APPENDIX	26

## Acknowledgements

#### STEERING COMMITTEE

David Thompson, Chairman, Walton County Charna Parker, Director of Planning and Development, Walton County Shane Short, Executive Director, Development Authority of Walton County Robert Post, Mayor, Town of Between Randy Garrett, Mayor, City of Good Hope Randy Carithers, Mayor, Town of Jersey Rey Martinez, Mayor, City of Loganville Tim Prater, Planning Director, City of Loganville Robbie Schwartz, Project Specialist, City of Loganville John Howard, Mayor, City of Monroe Pat Kelley, Planning Director, City of Monroe Sadie Krawczyk, Economic Development Director, City of Monroe David Keener, Mayor, City of Social Circle Eric Taylor, Manager, City of Social Circle Barbara Schlageter, Assistant Clerk, City of Social Circle Mark Moore, Mayor, City of Walnut Grove

#### MAYOR AND COUNCIL

Randy Garrett, Mayor David Mullis, Jr., Vice Mayor and Post 5 Keith Prather, Post 1 Kelsey Malcom, Post 2 William Malcom, Post 3 John Robison, Jr, Post 4

#### NEGRC STAFF

Eva Kennedy, PGS Director Mark Beatty, Senior Community Planner Carol Flaute, Community Planner Jon McBrayer, GIS Planner Stephen Jacques, Project Specialist Sara Kaminski, Intern

## C H A P T E R 1 INTRODUCTION In city of

ORGIA

(1905) @

A Comprehensive Plan is a community's guide for growth and improvement to public services, community resources, local policies, and the built environment. The Plan represents the preferred vision for the community's future and provides a tangible list of actions that the community is committed to undertaking to achieve that vision. It is intended to provide guidance to local elected officials on land use patterns, the existing needs of facilities and services, and the protection and enhancement of quality of life within the community.

The Plan seeks to establish the ground rules for how the community will develop and invest by asking three questions:

- Where are we now?
- Where do we want to be?
- How do we get there?

By considering current needs and existing opportunities, the plan provides a foundation for decision-making in support of achieving short- and long-term goals.

#### **Process Overview**

The Comprehensive Plan process follows the Rules of the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), O.C.G.A. Chapter 110-12-1, Minimum Standards and Procedures for Local Comprehensive Planning, effective October 1, 2018. The DCA rules require that the Comprehensive Plan of Good Hope consist of the following elements:

#### Needs and Opportunities

An analysis of the community's needs and opportunities helps to determine local conditions. Public engagement was used to identify existing issues, and opportunities on which the City can capitalize to address those issues.

#### Community Vision and Goals

Through public and steering committee engagement, the City's vision, goals, and policies are developed to determine the community's future direction. As a part of this component, policies are identified to assist in making decisions that are consistent with community goals.

#### Future Land Use

This section is required for any community that has a local zoning ordinance and assigns future land use categories by parcel. The map and narrative in this section will guide local elected officials on zoning and land use policy decisions. The intention is to influence growth and development throughout the community in consideration of existing development patterns, access to utilities and services, and community needs and goals.

#### **Broadband Services**

All communities require a broadband element to analyze available services and identify potential improvements. This element is an action plan with steps for promoting reasonable and cost-effective access to broadband.

#### Community Work Program

The final element of the comprehensive plan, the Community Work Program, outlines steps and strategies for achieving the community's goals and implementing its plans. The Work Program will include a Report of Accomplishments from a previous list of projects and a Short Term Work Program that identifies priority projects, timelines for implementation, responsible parties, and funding strategies for the next five years.

#### **Public Involvement**

#### Public Input and Steering Committee

The Comprehensive Plan update incorporated public involvement throughout. The planning process began with a public hearing and community input session on July 6, 2021, where the public was invited to discuss the assets and challenges found in the city and their hopes for its future. Following the initial public meeting, several publicly available work sessions were held with a Steering Committee, a group of citizens representing various communities and interests throughout the city. This Committee provided valuable feedback, guidance, and recommendations and served an integral role in developing a plan representative of the community's vision. In addition, an online public survey was available from September 14 through October 13, 2021. The online survey allowed the local government to receive a wider range of input than otherwise would have been possible. Responses were received from three residents of Good Hope, and these responses are provided in the appendix.

A final public hearing was held on May 12, 2022, before submittal of the plan to the DCA for review.

#### NEGRC's Role

The Northeast Georgia Regional Commission (NEGRC) Planning & Government Services Division oversaw the development of this plan, including facilitating public

involvement and input meetings.

#### **Review Process**

According to the DCA's rules for comprehensive planning, effective October 1, 2018, the City must transmit the plan to the NEGRC when all required components are complete. The transmittal must also include the community's certification that it has considered both the Regional Water Plan and the Rules for Environmental Planning Criteria. Once it completes its review, the NEGRC will forward the plan to the DCA for review.

Once the plan has been found by the DCA to be in compliance with Minimum Standards and Procedures, the approved Plan must be adopted in order to maintain Qualified Local Government status.

#### **Data & Statistics**

The facts, figures, and statistics used to develop the Plan were generated from data compiled throughout the planning process. The data and analyses were used to identify general trends, and provide a reliable quantitative context to describe existing conditions and assist in informing the recommendations and policies.



## VISION, GOALS, AND POLICIES

## VISION STATEMENT

Good Hope is a small, rural town with a heart bigger than its limits. The city welcomes conservative, quality growth guided by planning and resident engagement. A strong community bond, sense of place, and supportive City framework provide a safety net for residents to access resources when needed. The centerpieces of the community are safe and attractive streets for all users, excellent service provision, and a beautiful, historic town center.

## **Goals and Policies**

The goals and policies below are designed to help Good Hope elected officials and staff in decision-making processes. They target identified needs and opportunities from the previous section.

- Develop a comprehensive system of paths and trails for safe, healthy walking and bicycling, gathering, and community-building
- Preserve natural and cultural resources by concentrating commercial development in and around the town center and maintain large-lot residential characteristics
- Develop development guidelines to protect and coincide with existing historic resources
- Increase sense of community by creating creative outlets to educate and engage residents in local government operations and decision making
- Encourage healthy living by developing parks, playgrounds, passive and organized recreation opportunities, safe spaces for walking and bicycling, greenspace, and accessibility for all abilities and ages
- Anticipate and control impacts and opportunities associated with nearby growth, including traffic, development patterns, aesthetics, and functionality of space
- Work with other local governments throughout Walton County to achieve the vision of this plan
- Partner with Walton County to target public infrastructure in the manner favored by the community

## NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES

The following list of needs and opportunities were identified during a series of input meetings and an online survey, including both the Steering Committee and the public, as well as a professional analysis of relevant data. The list is intended to capture the most prescient needs that the community will have over the next five to ten years, in order to establish a set of goals the community can work toward achieving. Unless otherwise noted, all data are sourced from Esri's Business Analyst Software, which is based on the U.S. Census American Community Survey. Items are categorized into the following topics:

 Population, Community, and Governance • Economic Development • Planning, Land Use, and Housing • Transportation • Natural and Cultural Resources • Community Facilities and Services • Intergovernmental Coordination

Additional community statistics used in the local analysis to determine needs and opportunities and guide discussions during public input meetings can be found in the Appendix.

\*High priorities within the community are italicized.

## Population, Community, and Governance

The City of Good Hope, population 339, is a small community in the rural, eastern portion of Walton County. Although Good Hope is small, the surrounding Walton County area as a whole has grown by approximately 13,000 people every ten years since 2000, and a similar growth rate is expected over the next five years (Figure 1). Good Hope has experienced some suburban growth pressure on the northern periphery of city limits, and that may continue to be a factor throughout the rest of the city in the near future. However, the City's ordinance prohibiting residential development on lots less than two acres, combined with limits on infrastructure access, may limit the growth Good Hope experiences.

In spite of the area's growth, the median age of the area continues to increase. The median age of Walton County is expected to grow from 37.3 in 2010 to 40 by 2026 (Figure 2). An aging population can present challenges for a community including inadequate housing, transportation, and social opportunities for seniors. It can also be a sign that the community struggles to retain young people. General strategies for addressing these challenges include permitting a wider variety of housing types in




Figure 1. Walton County population over time, Census (2000 and 2010) and projected (2021 and 2016).




Figure 2. Median age of Walton County residents over time, compared with the Northeast Georgia Region and the state, Census (2010) and projected (2021 and 2026).




Figure 3. 2021 median income of Walton County, compared with the Northeast Georgia Region and the state.




Figure 4. Unemployment rates for 2021 civilian population ages 16+ in Walton County, the Northeast Georgia Region, and the state.

central city areas, building walkable neighborhoods where people can travel without a personal car, and providing attractive places for people to gather.

The area's 2021 median income is \$65,399, which is higher than the region and state median incomes (Figure 3). However, the organization United for ALICE calculates the area's poverty rate at 12% and the ALICE rate at 37% in 2018 (United for ALICE). This is in line with the state average. ALICE stands for households that are Asset Limited, Income Constrained, and Employed. These households, according to United for ALICE, "earn enough to be above the Federal Poverty Level, but not enough to afford a bare-bones household budget." People in these households often work in the service industry and many were classified as "essential workers" during the COVID-19 pandemic. Although employed, these households are still in financially precarious conditions. *Stabilizing these households can have a significant impact on lowering poverty in the community.* 

Good Hope's government is led by a Mayor and five-member City Council. City ordinances and minutes from the Council's monthly meetings are shared on the City's website, and the public may comment during the Council meetings. The City partners with Walton County for the provision of all services through the various County Departments.

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to population, community, and governance are as follows:

#### Needs

The City should seek opportunities to diversify its revenue stream; most of Good Hope's revenue comes from franchise fees and insurance programs due to the lack of municipal taxes.

#### **Opportunities**

- Use the Athens Technical College's Monroe campus to create educational attainment opportunities for residents.
- Develop a clear list of resources or an assistance network that the local government can provide for households in poverty.
- Improve citizen participation in and engagement with local government operations and events.

## **Economic Development**

According to Esri's Business Analyst, Walton County area unemployment stands at 3.9%, which is lower than the regional and state average (Figure 4). The top industries in the area are Services (39%), Retail (14%), and Manufacturing (12%,

#### NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES

Figure 5). The workforce is primarily employed in jobs categorized as Professional (17%), Management/Business/Financial (16%), Administrative Support (14%), and Services (13%, Figure 6). About 56% of the workforce, over the age of 25, has a high school diploma, diploma equivalent, or some college credit, while 12% did not finish high school; 32% of the workforce population has a college degree (Figure 7). Generally, building a diverse local employment base helps people with a variety of credentials find work. Given that over half of the workforce does not have a college degree, the City should focus workforce training and economic development efforts on creating jobs that do not require a college degree. Also, the City should focus on improving skilled workforce training through resources such as the Athens Technical College campus in Monroe.




Figure 5. Walton County's 2021 employed population, ages 16+, by industry.




Figure 6. Walton County's 2021 employed population, ages 16+, by occupation.




Figure 7. Workforce, ages 25+, by highest level of education attained, for Walton County, the Northeast Georgia Region, and the state, 2021.

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to economic development are as follows:

#### Needs

 Good Hope retains a very low percentage of its employed population for jobs within city limits. To offer more local employment opportunities the limited presence of businesses need to be increased. The City should determine to what level this is desired.

#### **Opportunities**

- Capitalize on major private investments in the manufacturing sector underway along the Interstate 20 corridor; the proximity to this area will improve access to jobs for Good Hope residents.
- Create a unified vision, based upon the stated goals in this plan, of encouraging conservative growth in the city center that references and respects the community's natural and cultural resources.
- Participate with the Development Authority of Walton County to identify the most appropriate business types to attract for Good Hope.

## Planning, Land Use, and Housing

Good Hope's housing stock, like most communities in the region and state, is mostly comprised of single-family houses. According to ESRI's Business Analyst, 85% of the overall area's housing stock is single-family houses, 7% is "Missing Middle" housing (2–19 unit structures), and 8% is mobile homes. The average household size is 2.82 people, and the median home value is \$236,000. The area's average home values are higher than regional and state averages (Figure 8). From 2015–2019,




Figure 8. Median home values in Walton County, the Northeast Georgia Region, and the state, 2021.

median rent averaged \$744, which is higher than the median rent in the region, but lower than the state's median rent of \$804 (Figure 9). According to the Center for Neighborhood Technology's Housing + Transportation Index, the average Good Hope household spends 28% of their income on housing. A household that spends more than 30% of its income on housing is considered cost burdened. The vacancy rate stands at 7.5%, lower than the region's rate of 9.5% as well as the state's rate of 11.6%. This indicates that there is stronger demand for housing in the area than in other parts of the state, as would be expected, given the growth in Walton County. Nationally, household sizes are shrinking, and both seniors and young people may find that single-family housing does not meet their needs at a reasonable price point. Infrastructure permitting, Good Hope should consider a broader range of housing types near the town center that are sensitive to the existing historic buildings to ensure that current and future residents can meet their housing needs at an acceptable price.

Currently, new development is limited by a minimum of two acre lot sizes throughout the city, the extent of county water services, and lack of municipal sewer access. The City expects to continue their partnership with Walton County Water Department for services and do not plan to make significant amendments to the local zoning ordinance.

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to planning, land use, and housing are as follows:

#### Needs

- Regulations should be improved to require aesthetics reminiscent of historic town centers, and discourage residential tract development.
- The City should ensure that new construction avoids environmentally sensitive areas such as wetlands and floodplains.

#### **Opportunities**

- Review and amend the local zoning ordinance, as necessary.
- Address flooding issues of homes built within the 100-year floodplain.

## **Transportation**

Good Hope's development pattern generally requires a vehicle for easy access to destinations. It is a town where residents mostly commute outside of city limits for work and services, similar to Walton County as a whole. In Walton County, about 60% of workers leave the county for work, 52% commute at least 30 minutes to work, and 18% commute over 60 minutes to work. Only 8% of workers commute less than ten minutes to work. Approximately, 82% of workers drove alone to their place of employment. This type of commuting pattern increases the cost of transportation on average. The Housing and Transportation Index estimates that the




Figure 9. Median monthly rent in Walton County, the Northeast Georgia Region, and the state, 2015–2019.

average Good Hope household spends 27% of their income on transportation. This far exceeds the affordability threshold of 15%. Generally, communities can address the conditions of long commutes by substituting local destinations for regional ones and by redesigning their streets for multi-modal use. The city expects to continue to act as a bedroom community for the larger area and not expand the road network extensively.

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) reports 51 crashes and zero fatalities in Good Hope from 2013–2020. Crashes are heavily concentrated on State Route 83, the main thoroughfare of the community. Specifically, the intersection of State Routes 83 and 186 saw the highest concentration of crashes of 25 over this period. State Route 83 carries approximately 3,000–4,000 vehicles daily with local leaders stating that traffic loads continue to increase. The corridor has some sidewalks and appears to be a good candidate for multi-modal infrastructure so that residents on the periphery of city limits can have safe and comfortable multi-modal access to the heart of the city. The City of Good Hope should work with the Georgia Department of Transportation and Walton County to redesign the city's two main corridors to create safe and comfortable complete streets with lower speed limits for recreational cyclists and pedestrians.

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to transportation are as follows:

#### Needs

- Safety enhancements are needed along State Routes 83 and 186 for all user types.
- Improved signage for cyclists would be beneficial; Good Hope experiences significant recreational cycling use on weekends.

#### Opportunities

- Collaborate with GDOT and Walton County to address concerns and incorporate safety enhancements and new street designs to improve safety for all user types.
- Improve crosswalks at the city's central intersection.
- Add street design standards to the local ordinance.

## **Natural and Cultural Resources**

Good Hope has a significant portion of undeveloped land as either open pasture or woodland. If development pressure materializes, a walkable, compact development pattern in the town center can slow the conversion of this rural land into suburban development. There are a collection of historic buildings located in the town center. *The city would like to explore opportunities for a local historic resource survey and a historic preservation commission that could oversee the preservation of local history and improve resident engagement with local government operations.* City leadership stated the need to ensure new development match the character of historic buildings.

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to natural and cultural resources are as follows:

#### Needs

• City leadership noted a need to assess the city's historic resources and determine the capacity to create a local historic preservation committee.

#### Opportunities

- Ensure quality growth practices through local ordinances to protect the town's rural setting.
- Create a strategy to preserve open, undeveloped space.

## **Community Facilities and Services**

City residents rely on Walton County Parks and Recreation for recreational facilities and programs. The Mayor Jimmy Guthrie Memorial Park offers the public a playground and pavilion and it is connected to the sidewalk that runs along State Route 83.

Good Hope relies on Walton County for water services. The Walton County Water Department is responsible for all water infrastructure maintenance and development. Good Hope intends to maintain this agreement and expand services when and where necessary. Water capacity for the area will be greatly improved following the completion of the Hard Labor Creek water treatment plant in 2023. There are no sewer services available or planned within the town limits for the near future. Stormwater facilities are managed on a site-specific level and the local government has no plans to install community-wide stormwater infrastructure. All new commercial development will need to be compatible with septic systems and should require low water usage.

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to community facilities and services are as follows:

#### Needs

- The City should develop a strategy to communicate community facilities to the public.
- There is a need for enhancing park amenities available to town residents;

Good Hope Park offers limited facilities that are only catered to small children. A more diverse set of recreational facilities could add to the quality of life for town residents.

#### Opportunities

- Include community facility information on the local government website.
- Continue collaboration with the Walton County Water Department for local infrastructure maintenance.

## **Intergovernmental Coordination**

City elected officials maintain an active relationship with the other local governments throughout Walton County. Mayoral gatherings and collaboration with the various Walton County departments occur on a regular basis and are planned to continue. As with the development of this plan, Good Hope intends to provide a platform for informed decision making and effective government investment.

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to intergovernmental coordination are as follows:

#### Needs

• Increased participation and collaboration between City Council members and residents is desirable, where possible.

#### **Opportunities**

- Facilitate more local groups and committees to engage with and learn from local government operations.
- Host annual public information sessions regarding town and county services, available either virtually through the City's website or in-person.

## C H A P T E R 4 BROADBAND SERVICES

Expansion of broadband is a top priority region-wide. The Northeast Georgia Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) 2017-2021 update, crafted through key stakeholder input from the entire Northeast Georgia Region (including economic development professionals, educators, business leaders, and elected officials), prioritizes broadband expansion through specific tasks in its Action Plan (Strategy 2.a). Additionally, in 2018 the Georgia Department of Community Affairs launched the Georgia Broadband Deployment Initiative (GDBI) to coordinate and establish broadband programs to increase economic, educational, and social opportunities for Georgia citizens and businesses. The initiative provides for the expansion of broadband infrastructure and services through new state and local broadband planning policies.

\*High priorities within the community are italicized.

## **Existing Services**

The Georgia Department of Community Affairs (DCA) considers Good Hope to be "served" by broadband with the exception of a few locations (Figure 10). The DCA defines "served" as a download speed of 25 Mbps and an upload speed of 3 Mbps. This speed may be sufficient for certain kinds of digital needs, it may not meet the speeds necessary for higher demand needs like streaming content or virtual learning. Walton County has received \$3,159,215 in grant funds from the Georgia Local Fiscal Recovery Fund, originating from the American Rescue Plan Act. This grant was submitted on behalf of Windstream, a broadband provider, and will enable an expansion of gigabit-speed broadband access to 2,078 unserved locations in the Walton County areas most lacking in connectivity. The total number of impacted locations will be 4,084 within the targeted project areas in Walton County. This expansion is expected to be complete by 2026 and intends to serve Good Hope entirely, once implemented. Currently, there are no options for free, publicly accessible Wi-Fi. Residents must travel to the Monroe library to access public broadband service. The City should explore options for upgrading service and offering public Wi-Fi, as necessary.




Figure 10. Broadband availability in Walton County, according to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs.

Currently, there are no options for free, publicly accessible Wi-Fi. Residents must travel to the Monroe library to access public broadband service. *The City should explore options for upgrading service and offering public Wi-Fi, as necessary.* 

Needs and opportunities identified through stakeholder input sessions and public surveys that relate to broadband services are as follows:

#### Needs

• City officials should determine the necessary coordination with Walton County in regard to the broadband expansion initiative at the appropriate time.

#### Opportunities

• Become a Broadband Ready Community.

## C H A P T E R 5 LAND USE

The Land Use Chapter includes a description of future development categories with synchronized zoning designations and a Future Land Use Map. The "future land use" methodology was chosen for the format of land use planning in this document in lieu of the "character area" methodology. The future land use method involves assigning land use categories to each parcel with example uses associated with each category.

It is crucial to have a holistic understanding of land use patterns and existing regulations as they will have the most significant influence over future growth and development. A review of existing land use was performed to accurately inform any potential future changes. In addition, the future land use map is conceptually consistent with the local zoning ordinance so that the local government's ability to manage land use appropriately is not diminished.

## **Future Land Use Categories**

These future land use (FLU) categories correspond to the map that follows. While zoning and development regulations vary, FLU represents a standardized approach to envisioning and planning for desired scenarios. As such, it is natural that certain areas may appear to be inconsistent between the FLU and zoning maps because FLU presents a blueprint for what is to come.

#### Residential

Predominantly single-family homes. Certain civic and recreational uses are typically allowed.

#### Commercial

Retail, office space, and highway-commercial land uses, though small-scale neighborhood shops or offices may be desirable in certain places. Often restricted to nodes and arterial/major collector roads.

#### Industrial

Industrial land includes land dedicated to warehousing, wholesale trade facilities, manufacturing facilities, processing plants, factories, mining or mineral extraction facilities, or other similar uses.


#### Public/Institutional

Federal, state, local, and institutional land uses. Uses such as government offices, public safety posts, libraries, schools, religious institutions, cemeteries, and hospitals are representative.

#### Agriculture/Forestry

Farms and timberland. Residential development should maintain a rural character with single-family detached homes on large lots.

## Future Land Use Map



## COMMUNITY WORKPROGRAM

The Report of Accomplishments provides a status report of the 2017–2021 Short-Term Work Program. Subsequently, the Short-Term Work Program is updated to reflect new tangible list of projects to complete over the following five years (2022–2026). The list identifies priority projects, timelines for implementation, responsible parties, and funding strategies.

## **Report of Accomplishments (2017–2021)**

(\*Entries with an asterisk represent items carried over to the next Short-Term Work Program)

#	ACTIVITY	STATUS (COMPLETE, ONGOING, POSTPONED, CANCELLED)	NOTES
PO	PULATION		
1	Designate staff member or elected official to serve as public engagement officer to increase volunteerism and foster participation in local government activities (meeting notices, social media, etc.).	Completed	Two Council members update and post to Good Hope City and related social media accounts. Mayor maintains contact with local media and Chamber of Commerce.
2	Implement Model Councilmember program similar to City of Oxford (citizen shadows councilmember for a month).	Cancelled	Impractical with current Council make up due to day to day employment obligations – removed from new STWP.

#	ACTIVITY	STATUS (COMPLETE, ONGOING, POSTPONED, CANCELLED)	NOTES				
ECO	CONOMIC DEVELOPMENT						
3	Identify suitable locations (if any) for commercial development that is consistent with the community's vision and seek out developers and business owners (establishing a DDA or Main Street Program could be beneficial in assisting with this).	Postponed	Limited sites available for potential commercial development – determined current need does not necessitate establishment of a DDA/Main Street manager. Monitoring of suitable locations will continue through Good Hope Planning & Zoning – removed from new STWP.				
4	Install city gateway signage.	Completed					
5	Develop downtown plan for economic development, historic preservation, complete streets connectivity, tourism, etc.	Postponed	Town center planning will be inter-related historical overlay planning. Postponed until historic district overlay is established and adequate funding available to develop plan – removed from new STWP.				
LAI	ND USE, HOUSING, AND DEVELOPMENT						
6	Review and, if appropriate, update zoning and development code to ensure that new development is compatible with the community's vision, especially regarding residential development and natural and cultural resource preservation.	Completed	Review completed, no updates are required at this time.				
7	*Develop historic district overlay for downtown area.	Postponed	Working to establish a citizens' Historic Preservation Board – revised and carried over to STWP #5				
8	Review land use ordinance for compatibility with Walton County's ordinance.	Completed	Planning & Zoning will continue to perform this on an annual basis for the foreseeable future – removed from new STWP				
NA	TURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES						
9	Establish a tree-planting program.	Completed					
со	MMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES						
10	*Research, evaluate, and implement alternative revenue sources in place of municipal tax collections.	Ongoing	This is an evolving process, bringing new and additional grants and other resources into play – intended as a continuing effort; revised and carried over to STWP #6				

(continued on next page)

#	ACTIVITY	STATUS (COMPLETE, ONGOING, POSTPONED, CANCELLED)	NOTES
11	Develop infrastructure and services master plan(s) for	Ongoing	A partner in Service Delivery Strategy with other
	water, sewerage, recreation, transportation, aging-		Cities and County – since many of these services
	related assistance, etc.		are provided by Walton County, this item will
			be accomplished through various continuing
			collaborative planning efforts between local
			government leadership; Item not carried over to new STWP
12	*Improve drainage along Jacks Creek Rd.	Ongoing	Referred to Walton county Roads and Bridges –
			City is currently in discussion with Walton County
			departments to determine mitigation strategies for
			flooding issues; carried over to STWP #8
13	Develop City Park master plan for facility and programming.	Completed	
14	Construct new walking path.	Completed	
15	Study the viability of and, if appropriate, implement	Completed	Implementation of a complementary event
	a complementary event to the Poppy Festival (at		determined to be not viable at this time.
	another time of year or in concert).		
16	Prepare for emergencies by establishing a volunteer	Completed	Good Hope residents attended Walton County EMA
	response unit and participating in countywide disaster		CERT training. Additional training opportunities will
	planning.		be made available annually and advertised by the
			City; planned as ongoing item and removed from new STWP
TRA	ANSPORTATION		
17	Develop a local complete streets and trails plan.	Completed	
18	*Develop a plan (formal or informal) to improve local	Ongoing	Currently monitoring GDOT information and
	impact on decisions regarding SR83 and SR186.		attending advertised meetings. Working to develop
			relationship with state, area, and local DOT offices -
			revised and carried over to STWP #9
19	Establish a preventive road maintenance schedule and	Ongoing	Frequent visual inspections of streets and roads
	program.		inside the city limits and referral to County or State
			for appropriate action– planned an ongoing work
			item and removed from new STWP
20	Prioritize transportation needs for inclusion in future	Ongoing	T-SPLOST voted down.
	community and regional plans (ex.: SPLOST and		Needs are to be reevaluated and identified as city
	T-SPLOST).		grows and during upcoming Northeast Georgia
			Regional Plan update process – planned as ongoing
			work item and removed from STWP

## Short-Term Work Program (2022–2026)

(\*entries with an asterisk represent carryover items from the previous Short-Term Work Program)

#	ACTIVITY	TARGET OF COMPLETION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	COST ESTI- MATE	FUNDING			
ЕC	CONOMIC DEVELOPMENT							
1	Identify suitable locations for commercial development	2024	City Council	N/A	N/A			
	that is consistent with the community's vision.							
2	Create an economic development strategy in	2023	City Council	N/A	N/A			
	coordination with the Walton County and the							
	Development Authority of Walton County.							
PL	ANNING, LAND USE, AND HOUSING							
3	Work with affected residents and Walton County	2023	City Council	N/A	N/A			
	Water Department to mitigate residential flooding							
	issues.							
NA	TURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES							
4	Conduct a historic resource survey.	2023	City Council	\$15,000	General Fund,			
					Grants			
5	*Create a local historic preservation commission or	2025	City Council	\$5,000-	General Fund,			
	historic district zoning overlay.			\$10,000	Grants			
СО	MMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES	•	•		÷			
6	*Evaluate and implement alternative revenue sources	2026	City Council	N/A	N/A			
	to municipal tax.							
7	Incorporate community facility information on the	2023	City Council	N/A	N/A			
	local government website.							
TR	ANSPORTATION							
8	*Improve drainage along Jacks Creek Rd.	2024	City Council,	TBD	County Funds			
			Walton County					
			Roads and					
			Bridges					
9	*Schedule work session with the Georgia Department	2023	City Council	N/A	N/A			
	of Transportation and Walton County to discuss traffic							
	safety enhancements for Hwy-83 and Hwy-186							
	corridors.							
10	Create a strategy for improved bicycle signage for	2024	City Council	\$5,000	Local Funds,			
	roads heavily used by recreational cyclists.				County Funds,			
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		Grants			
BR	OADBAND SERVICES							
11	Adopt the DCA model broadband ordinance.	2023	City Council	N/A	N/A			
12	Apply for Broadband Ready Community designation.	2024	City Council	N/A	N/A			
	I	1		I	1			

(continued on next page)

#	ΑCTIVITY	TARGET OF COMPLETION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	COST ESTI- MATE	FUNDING SOURCE		
INT	INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION						
	Maintain communication with Walton County Water Department and Public Works for infrastructure maintenance needs.	Annual	City Council	N/A	N/A		

## APPENDIX


The following section provides documentation of public meetings, public involvement, and data collection associated with the development of the Comprehensive Plan.



## **Public Hearing 1 Documentation**

July 6, 2021, Monroe, GA, during the Walton County Board of Commissioners Monthly Meeting

Walton County	Walton County
Board of Commissioners	Board of Commissioners
Monthly Meeting	Monthly Meeting
July 6, 2021	July 6, 2021
6:00 P.M.	6:00 P.M.
Printed Name Printed Name Printed Name Printed Name Printed Name Matarker (HUBBARD Keith Clay Debrz Clay Debrz Clay Tracy Carter Jealy Carter Tracy Carter Tracy Carter Miller MMHIN Reston Andrea Harl( Andrea Ha	Printed Name Judy Levell Darren Schwichs Storye Sleven Appele Metavell Joly Jakan Can Judan Can Kusser Eric Taylor John allman Joecar Port John Allman Joecar Jilly Jool Stilly



## **Public Hearing 2 Documentation**

May 12, 2022, Monroe, GA, at the Historic Walton County Courthouse, 111 South Broad Street, Monroe, GA 30655

	Walton County Joint Comprehensive Plan Update Public Hearing #2: May 12, 2022 – 6:00 p.m. Historic Walton County Courthouse, 111 South Broad Street, Monroe, GA			
NAME	TITLE	EMAIL		
Larbara Schlageter	Assistant City Clerk	bschlageter & social circle ga.gov		
Januice Permy				
Gene Perry				
ROBORT Rost	Mayor	betweengamayor egmail.com		
Dranch Gramitt	Mayon	townots oching e windstree. Not		
Dr. Monica Henson		Monica. Nerson. Monroe qa @outlook.com		
Janay + Dougtan	his resident	2100 hawk@quail.com		
MARK BEATTY	NEGICC PGS Director	mbeaty @neg-c.o-g-		
Dessa morris	Dev. Author. ty	dessa morrise gmail com		
Eri-Tay a	Ch Manager S	and Circle		

Public Hearing Advertisement - Walton Tribune, April 24, 2022

B6	B6-Classifieds-220424.exp_JCS Pages 4/22/22 10:55 AM Page 1							
B6	B6 The Walton Tribune Saturday-Sunday, April 23-24, 2022							
								_
me ru rel t Ga end dia He ou	lievers were us ardner got the ans with five in	its. Three other sed. Aiden win for the In- nnings of work. hits and struck	earned run, and seven. Russell Hunley and three runs b Jake Hegwood h and one RBI. Huu and Walker Salyy each.	y had a triple atted in and ad two hits nter Redden	Loganville's Kyle S Region 8-AAAAA c Cassie Jones   MAK Photo		t first base for the	
baen at valion Ma signe spear 2 an vari- of the trong source source source the source source source source source source g Work trimmi ple op iffs.	ing, & benings, t an r Street		properties Table for the an oracle a building the technological set of the technological technological set of technologic	and annagements will be made. Walten Caurty Plan- tige A Devident State of the st	Town of Between, City of Good Hope, the Town of Jersey, the City of Social Circle, and the City of Walnut Grove will conduct a joint Public Hearing on May 12, 2022 at 6:00p.m. at the Historic	An Automatic Gauging and a set of a get of a get 2017040.111 10100 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 1	Dinver's History Cen- trins: Zud Arest: Yes 10075	\$

## **Public Involvement**

## Public Input Meeting #1

Walton County Comprehensive Plan Meeting Attendees					
Summary					
Meeting Date	Meeting Duration	Number of A	Attendees M	eeting ID	
August 11, 2021 2:20 PM EDT	70 minutes		14 65	52-041-493	
Details					
Name	Email Address	Join Time	Le	ave Time	
Barbara Schlageter	bschlageter@socialcirclega.com		2:21 PM	3:30 PM	
Bob Post			2:23 PM	3:30 PM	
Charna Parker			2:20 PM	3:30 PM	
City of Loganville			2:23 PM	3:30 PM	
Eric Taylor			2:28 PM	3:30 PM	
JOHN HOWARD	jhoward@MONROE.local		2:20 PM	3:30 PM	
John Devine			2:26 PM	3:30 PM	
Logan Propes			2:24 PM	3:30 PM	
Mark Beatty	pgsassist@negrc.org		2:29 PM	3:30 PM	
Mayor Mark Moore	mayor@cityofwalnutgrove.com		2:22 PM	3:30 PM	
NEGRC Presentation	pgsassist@negrc.org		2:20 PM	3:30 PM	
Noah Roenitz	pgsassist@negrc.org		2:22 PM	3:30 PM	
Randy Garrett			2:25 PM	3:30 PM	
Randy Garrett			2:20 PM	2:24 PM	
Sadie krawczyk			2:49 PM	3:30 PM	

## Public Input Meeting #2

Walton County Co	GoToMeeting				
Summary					
Meeting Date	Meeting Duration	Number of Attendees	Meeting ID		
September 27, 2021 9:52 AM	I EE 87 minutes	9	610-948-333		
Details					
Name	Email Address	Join Time	Leave Time	Time in Session (minutes)	
+17703661240		10:55 AM	10:57 AM	1	
Barbara SCHLAGETER	bschlageter@socialcirclega.gov	9:57 AM	11:20 AM	82	
Bob Post		9:52 AM	11:20 AM	87	
Eric Taylor		9:59 AM	11:19 AM	80	
Mark Beatty	pgsassist@negrc.org	9:52 AM	11:20 AM	87	
Mark Moore	mark@moorebus.com	9:56 AM	11:20 AM	83	
Randy Garrett		9:52 AM	11:20 AM	87	
Sadie Krawczyk		9:57 AM	11:20 AM	82	
Stephen Jaques	pgsassist@negrc.org	9:55 AM	11:20 AM	84	

## Public Involvement (cont.)

## Public Input Meeting #3

Walton County Comprehensive Plan Update					
1 0	– Mayoral Luncheon: December 1 85 M.L.K. Jr Blvd, Monroe, GA 3				
NAME	TITLE	EMAIL			
Mark Beatty	NEGRC Senior Community Planner	Mbeatty@negrc.org			
Robert Post	Mayor, Town of Between	betweengamayor@gmail.com			
Randy Garrett	Mayor, Town of Good Hope	townofgoodhope@windstream.net			
Randy Carithers	Mayor, City of Jersey	randycarithers@bellsouth.net			
Rey Martinez	Mayor, City of Loganville	rmartinez@loganville-ga.gov			
John Howard	Mayor, City of Monroe	jhoward@monroega.gov			
David Keener	Mayor, City of Social Circle	dkeener@socialcirclega.gov			
Mark Moore	Mayor, City of Walnut Grove	mayor@cityofwalnutgrove.com			

## **Public Input Meeting #4**

Walton County Comprehensive Plan Update Input Meeting #4 – Water and Sewer Infrastructure: January 5, 2022 – 10:00 a.m.		
Input Meeting	,#4 – water and sewer infrastructure: Jar Virtual Meeting	1000  a.m.
NAME	TITLE	EMAIL
Mark Beatty	NEGRC Senior Community Planner	Mbeatty@negrc.org
Robert Post	Mayor, Town of Between	betweengamayor@gmail.com
Randy Garrett	Mayor, Town of Good Hope	townofgoodhope@windstream.net
Tim Prater	Planning Director, City of Loganville	tprater@loganville-ga.gov
Robbie Schwartz	Media Relations / Project Development Administration, City of Loganville	rschwarz@loganville-ga.gov
Sadie Krawczyk	Economic Development Director, City of Monroe	SKrawczyk@monroega.gov
Barbara Schlageter	Assistant City Clerk/Planning & Zoning Administrator, Social Circle	bSchlageter@socialcirclega.gov
Eric Taylor	City Manager, Social Circle	ETaylor@socialcirclega.gov
Jay Link	Social Circle Public Works	Link@socialcirclega.gov
Mark Moore	Mayor, City of Walnut Grove	mayor@cityofwalnutgrove.com
Morris Jordan	Director, Walton County Water Department	Morris.jordan@co.walton.ga.us
Shane Short	Walton County Development Authority	shane@choosewalton.com
Charna Parker	Planning Director, Walton County	cparker@co.walton.ga.us

## Public Involvement (cont.)


## Public Input Meeting #5

Walton County Comprehensive Plan Update Input Meeting #5 – Parks and Recreation: February 4, 2022 – 10:00 a.m.					
NAME	TITLE	EMAIL			
Mark Beatty	NEGRC Senior Community Planner	Mbeatty@negrc.org			
Robert Post	Mayor, Town of Between	betweengamayor@gmail.com			
Randy Garrett	Mayor, Town of Good Hope	townofgoodhope@windstream.net			
Tim Prater	Planning Director, City of Loganville	tprater@loganville-ga.gov			
Robbie Schwartz	Media Relations / Project Development	rschwarz@loganville-ga.gov			
	Administration, City of Loganville				
Sadie Krawczyk	Economic Development Director, City of	SKrawczyk@monroega.gov			
	Monroe				
Barbara Schlageter	Assistant City Clerk/Planning & Zoning	bSchlageter@socialcirclega.gov			
	Administrator, Social Circle				
Eric Taylor	City Manager, Social Circle	ETaylor@socialcirclega.gov			
Mark Moore	Mayor, City of Walnut Grove	mayor@cityofwalnutgrove.com			
Kristi Parr	Assistant Director	kparr@co.walton.ga.us			
	Walton County Planning & Development				
Charna Parker	Director	cparker@co.walton.ga.us			
	Walton County Planning & Development				
Stephen Jacques	NEGRC Project Specialist	SJacques@negrc.org			
Carol Flaute	NEGRC Community Planner	CFlaute@negrc.org			

## Online Public Survey and Story Map: Available from 9/14/21 to 10/13/21



## **Community Data**



## Community Data (cont.)

NORTHEAST GEORGIA	Community Profile		
Regional Commission	Walton County, GA Walton County, GA (13297) Geography: County	Prepared by Est	
		Walton County	
Population Summary		C0. (0)	
2000 Total Population		60,687 83,768	
2010 Total Population 2021 Total Population		97,253	
2021 Group Quarters		791	
2026 Total Population		104,702	
2021-2026 Annual Rate		1.49%	
2021 Total Daytime Population		85,344	
Workers		32,424	
Residents Household Summary		52,920	
2000 Households		21,307	
2000 Average Household Si	e	2.82	
2010 Households		29,583	
2010 Average Household Si	e	2.81	
2021 Households 2021 Average Household Si		34,176 2.82	
2021 Average Household Si. 2026 Households	e	36,764	
2026 Average Household Siz	e	2.83	
2021-2026 Annual Rate		1.47%	
2010 Families		22,921	
2010 Average Family Size		3.19	
2021 Families		26,105	
2021 Average Family Size		3.23	
2026 Families 2026 Average Family Size		27,957 3.24	
2021-2026 Annual Rate		1.38%	
Housing Unit Summary			
2000 Housing Units		22,500	
Owner Occupied Housing Ur		72.5%	
Renter Occupied Housing Ur	its	22.2%	
Vacant Housing Units		5.3% 32,435	
2010 Housing Units Owner Occupied Housing Ur	its	68.8%	
Renter Occupied Housing Ur		22.4%	
Vacant Housing Units		8.8%	
2021 Housing Units		36,948	
Owner Occupied Housing Ur		69.4%	
Renter Occupied Housing Ur	its	23.1%	
Vacant Housing Units		7.5% 39,668	
2026 Housing Units Owner Occupied Housing Ur	its	70.3%	
Renter Occupied Housing Ur		22.3%	
Vacant Housing Units		7.3%	
Median Household Income			
2021 2026		\$65,399 \$75,656	
Median Home Value		\$73,030	
2021		\$236,432	
2026		\$268,030	
Per Capita Income		+20.25(	
2021 2026		\$29,350 \$33,143	
Median Age		\$55,145	
2010		37.3	
2021		39.3	
2026		40.0	
Persons in families include the house all persons aged 15 years and over d		Per Capita Income represents the income received by	
Source: 0.5. Census Bureau, Census	2010 Summary File 1. Esri forecasts for 2021 and 2026 Esri converted Census	s 2000 data into 2010 geography. January 20, 202	

## Community Data (cont.)

NEGRC NORTHEAST GEORGIA	Community Profile	
Regional Commission	Walton County, GA Walton County, GA (13297) Geography: County	Prepared by Es
2010 Population by Age		Walton County
Total		83,768
0 - 4		6.9%
5 - 9		7.6%
10 - 14		7.8%
15 - 24		12.6%
25 - 34		11.8%
35 - 44		14.9%
45 - 54		14.7%
55 - 64		11.6%
65 - 74		7.1%
75 - 84		3.5%
85 +		1.4%
18 +		73.0%
2021 Population by Age		07.05
Total		97,25
0 - 4		6.1%
5 - 9		6.6%
10 - 14 15 - 24		6.9%
25 - 34		11.8%
25 - 34 35 - 44		13.09
45 - 54		13.39
45 - 54 55 - 64		13.0%
65 - 74		10.09
75 - 84		4.7%
85 +		4.79
18 +		76.4%
2026 Population by Age		, 0.17
Total		104,70
0 - 4		6.0%
5 - 9		6.5%
10 - 14		6.9%
15 - 24		11.3%
25 - 34		12.3%
35 - 44		13.5%
45 - 54		12.4%
55 - 64		12.9%
65 - 74		10.4%
75 - 84		6.1%
85 +		1.7%
18 +		76.6%
2010 Population by Sex		
Males		40,76
Females		43,00
2021 Population by Sex		
Males		47,58
Females		49,67
2026 Population by Sex		
Males		51,36
Females		53,34
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Cen	sus 2010 Summary File 1. Esri forecasts for 2021 and 2026 Esri converted Census 2000 data into 2010 geography.	
		January 20, 202

## Community Data (cont.)

2010 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Mite Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         White Alone         Black Alone         Marce/Ethnicity         Diversity Index         2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2022 Population by Race/Ethnicity	epared by Esr
Total         White Alone           White Alone         Black Alone           Black Alone         Asian Alone           Asian Alone         Asian Alone           Pacific Islander Alone         Back Alone           Some Other Race Alone         Interview of More Races           Two or More Races         Interview of More Race Alone           Diversity Index         Interview of More Races           2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Interview of More Races           Materican Indian Alone         American Indian Alone           American Indian Alone         Interview of More Races           Black Alone         Interview of More Races           Two or More Races         Interview of More Races           Bispanic Origin         Interview of More Races           Diversity Index         Interview of More Races           Total         Interview of More Races           Merican Indian Alone         Interview of More Races           Diversity Index         Interview of More Races           Total         Interview of More Races           Materican Indian Alone         Interview of More Races           Asian Alone         Interview of More Races           Black Alone         Interview of More Races           More Cases         I	ton County
White Alone         Image: Alone </td <td>02.70</td>	02.70
Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity         White Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         Total         Some Other Race Alone         Mite Alone         Black Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Asian Alone         Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         In Households         In Fornily Households <tr< td=""><td>83,768 80.1%</td></tr<>	83,768 80.1%
American Indian Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Hispanic Origin       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Diversity Index       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Total       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Other Alone Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Asian Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Total       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Total       Image: Some Other Race Alone         White Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Total       Image: Some Other Race Alone         White Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Total       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Total       Image: Some Other Race Alone         Total       Image: Some Other Race Alone </td <td>15.6%</td>	15.6%
Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity Total White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Total 2022 Population by Race/Ethnicity 2023 Population by Race/Ethnicity Total Mite Alone Black Alone American Indian Alo	0.3%
Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2025 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         Mispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2025 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Some         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total	1.1%
Some Other Race Alone         Imore Races           Two or More Races         Imispanic Origin           Diversity Index         Imispanic Origin           2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Imispanic Origin           White Alone         Imispanic Origin           White Alone         Imispanic Origin           Black Alone         Imispanic Origin           American Indian Alone         Imispanic Origin           American Indian Alone         Imispanic Origin           Pacific Islander Alone         Imispanic Origin           Two or More Races         Imispanic Origin           Two or More Races         Imispanic Origin           Diversity Index         Imispanic Origin           Total         Mite Alone           Black Alone         Imispanic Origin           Black Alone         Imispanic Origin           Multic Alone         Imispanic Origin           Pacific Islander Alone         Imispanic Origin           Two or More Races         Imispanic Origin           Diversity Index         Imispanic Origin           Diversity Index         Imispanic Origin           Diversity Index         Imispanic Origin           Total         Imispanic Origin           Imispanic Origin         Imispan	0.1%
Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity Total Total Black Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity Total Total Other Race/Ethnicity 1 White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Total Total Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2019 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total In Family Households In Family Households An enertan Indian Household Source Asian Alone Bitack Alone Mouseholds In Family Households An enertan Indian Idone An enertan Idian Idone Asian Alone Mouseholds In Family Households In Kamily Households In Kamily Households In Kamily Households In Normanily Households	1.4%
Diversity Index 2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity 2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity 2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity 2034 Adone 2034 Adone 2034 Adone 2034 Adone 2035 Adone 203	1.5%
Diversity Index           2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity           2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity           Black Alone           Black Alone           American Indian Alone           Asian Alone           Pacific Islander Alone           Some Other Race Alone           Two or More Races           Hispanic Origin           Diversity Index           2025 Population by Race/Ethnicity           Total           Vhite Alone           Black Alone           American Indian Alone           Asian Alone           Pacific Islander Alone           Black Alone           American Indian Alone           Asian Alone           Pacific Islander Alone           Some Other Race Alone           In Households           In Family Households           In Family Households           In Family Households           In Spouse           Child	3.2%
2021 Population by Race/Ethnicity Tota Tota White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Total White Alone Black Alone Other Alone Other Alone Other Alone Diversity Index Compatible Co	37.6
Total         White Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         American Indian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Black Alone         Black Alone         Black Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         In Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         In Spouse         Child         Other relative         Nonrelative         In Nonfamily Households	
Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Parcific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         White Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone         More Races         Pacific Islander Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         In Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         In Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         Other relative         Norelative	97,253
American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         Mericican Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         In Households         In Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         Other relative         Nonrielative         In Nonfamily Households	74.0%
Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         Total         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         In Port Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         In Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         In Family Households         Child         Other relative         Nonrelative         In Nonfamily Households	19.5%
Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Two or More Races Usersity Index Usersity Index Usersity Index Usersity Index Usersity Index Usersity Index Diversity Index Ditter Diversity In	0.3%
Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index CC26 Population by Race/Ethnicity Tota Tota White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Asian Alone Asian Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index CC10 Population by Relationship and Household Type Tota Tota In Households In Family Households About Pathone Spouse Child Other relative In Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	1.9%
Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity         Total         Mite Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         Total         In Households         In In Family Households         Child         Other relative         Nonrelative         In Nonfamily Households	0.1%
Hispanic Origin   Diversity Index   2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity   Total   Total   White Alone   Black Alone   American Indian Alone   Asian Alone   Pacific Islander Alone   Some Other Race Alone   Two or More Race Alone   Two or More Races   Hispanic Origin   Diversity Index   2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type   Total   Total   In Households   In Family Households   Spouse   Other relative   Nonrelative   In Nonfamily Households	2.1%
Diversity Index 2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity 2026 Population by Race/Ethnicity Total Total White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Asian Alone Asian Alone Some Other Race Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total In Households In Family Households Households Other relative Other relative In Nonfamily Households In Nonfam	2.0%
Total       1         Total       1         White Alone       1         Black Alone       1         American Indian Alone       1         Asian Alone       1         Pacific Islander Alone       1         Some Other Race Alone       1         Two or More Races       1         Hispanic Origin       1         Diversity Index       1         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type       1         Total       1         In Households       1         In Family Households       1         Abuseholder       5         Spouse       1         Child       0         Other relative       Nonrelative         In Nonfamily Households       1	5.2%
Total       1         White Alone       1         Black Alone       1         American Indian Alone       1         Asian Alone       1         Pacific Islander Alone       1         Some Other Race Alone       1         Two or More Races       1         Hispanic Origin       1         Diversity Index       1         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type       1         Total       1         In Households       1         In Households       1         Abiae       1         Other relative       1         Nonrelative       1         In Nonfamily Households       1	47.2
White Alone         Black Alone         Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         In Households         In Family Households         Abuseholder         Spouse         Child         Other relative         Nonrelative         In Nonfamily Households	104 705
Black Alone         American Indian Alone         Asian Alone         Asian Alone         Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index         2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type         Total         In Households         In Family Households         Abuseholder         Spouse         Other relative         Nonrelative         In Nonfamily Households	104,702
American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total In Households In Family Households American Indian Alone Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households In Nonfamily Households	71.2% 21.5%
Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total Total In Households In Family Households Auseholder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	0.3%
Pacific Islander Alone         Some Other Race Alone         Two or More Races         Hispanic Origin         Diversity Index <b>Other Relationship and Household Type</b> Total         To Households         In Family Households         Abuseholder         Spouse         Child         Other relative         Nonrelative         In Nonfamily Households	2.2%
Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total Total In Households In Family Households About A Data A	0.1%
Two or More Races Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total Total In Households In Family Households Householder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	2.3%
Hispanic Origin Diversity Index 2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total Total In Households In Family Households Householder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	2.3%
Diversity Index 2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total Total In Households Householder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	6.2%
2010 Population by Relationship and Household Type Total Total In Households Householder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	51.0
Total In Households In Family Households Householder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	
In Family Households Householder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	83,768
Householder Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	99.2%
Spouse Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	89.4%
Child Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	27.4%
Other relative Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	20.6%
Nonrelative In Nonfamily Households	34.7%
In Nonfamily Households	4.4%
·	2.2%
In Group Quarters	9.8%
	0.8%
Institutionalized Population Noninstitutionalized Population	0.8%
Nohinsututionalized ropulation	0.0 /0

. .

Page 4 of 7

©2022 Esri

35

Northeast Georgia Regional Commission	Community Profile Walton County, GA	Prepared by Est
	Walton County, GA (13297) Geography: County	
		Walton County
2021 Population 25+ by Ed Total	ducational Attainment	66,691
Less than 9th Grade		2.9%
9th - 12th Grade, No Diplo	ma	8.9%
High School Graduate		30.1%
GED/Alternative Credential		5.3%
Some College, No Degree		20.9%
Associate Degree		8.4%
Bachelor's Degree		15.2%
Graduate/Professional Deg	ree	8.2%
2021 Population 15+ by M	arital Status	
Total		78,164
Never Married		28.5%
Married		55.3%
Widowed		6.0%
Divorced		10.2%
2021 Civilian Population 1	6+ in Labor Force	
Civilian Population 16+		46,273
Population 16+ Employed		96.1%
Population 16+ Unemploym		3.9%
Population 16-24 Employ		11.4%
Population 16-24 Unemp		4.8%
Population 25-54 Employ		65.6%
Population 25-54 Unemp		4.2%
Population 55-64 Employ		17.4%
Population 55-64 Unemp		2.6%
Population 65+ Employe		5.6%
Population 65+ Unemplo	•	3.2%
2021 Employed Population	1 16+ by Industry	
Fotal		44,449
Agriculture/Mining		0.7%
Construction		10.9% 11.8%
Manufacturing Wholesale Trade		3.9%
Retail Trade		13.5%
Transportation/Utilities		7.9%
Information		1.1%
Finance/Insurance/Real Est	ate	6.3%
Services		38.6%
Public Administration		5.1%
2021 Employed Population	16+ by Occupation	5.17
Total		44,449
White Collar		57.6%
Management/Business/Fi	nancial	15.9%
Professional		17.0%
Sales		10.5%
Administrative Support		14.2%
Services		13.3%
Blue Collar		29.1%
Farming/Forestry/Fishing		0.6%
Construction/Extraction		7.1%
Installation/Maintenance/	Repair	5.8%
Production		6.7%
Transportation/Material M	loving	9.0%
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Ce	nsus 2010 Summary File 1. Esri forecasts for 2021 and 2026 Esri converted Censu	ıs 2000 data into 2010 geography.
		January 20, 202

NORTHEAST GEORGIA REGIONAL COMMISSION Walton County, GA Walton County, GA Walton County, GA (13) Geography: County			Pre	pared by Es
	2015 - 2019 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliabilit
TOTALS				
Total Population	91,442		0	
Total Households	31,670		420	
Total Housing Units	33,794		90	
POPULATION AGE 3+ YEARS BY SCHOOL ENROLLME				
Total	88,191	100.0%	245	
Enrolled in school	23,641	26.8%	569	
Enrolled in nursery school, preschool	1,617	1.8% 1.1%	295 235	
Public school Private school	1,009 608	0.7%	196	
Enrolled in kindergarten	1,225	1.4%	260	
Public school	1,225	1.4%	256	
Private school	129	0.1%	69	
Enrolled in grade 1 to grade 4	5,070	5.7%	434	
Public school	4,491	5.1%	445	
Private school	579	0.7%	138	
Enrolled in grade 5 to grade 8	5,491	6.2%	457	
Public school	4,747	5.4%	464	
Private school	744	0.8%	201	
Enrolled in grade 9 to grade 12	5,660	6.4%	336	
Public school	5,041	5.7%	339	
Private school	619	0.7%	188	
Enrolled in college undergraduate years	3,988	4.5%	450	
Public school	3,348	3.8%	416	
Private school	640	0.7%	198	
Enrolled in graduate or professional school	590	0.7%	230	
Public school	442	0.5%	210	
Private school	148	0.2%	76	
Not enrolled in school	64,550	73.2%	543	
POPULATION AGE 65+ BY RELATIONSHIP AND HOUS	SEHOLD TYPE			
Total	13,860	100.0%	90	
Living in Households	13,516	97.5%	143	
Living in Family Households	10,252	74.0%	389	
Householder	5,070	36.6%	307	
Spouse	3,836	27.7%	276	
Parent	680	4.9%	187	
Parent-in-law	311	2.2%	145	
Other Relative	339	2.4%	154	
Nonrelative	16	0.1% 23.5%	28 374	
Living in Nonfamily Households	3,264 3,055	23.5%	374 341	
Householder Nonrelative	209	1.5%	115	
Living in Group Quarters	344	2.5%	113	
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Surv	ey Reli	ability: 🎹 high	🛄 medium 🚦	low ary 20, 202

REGIONAL COMMISSION Walton County, GA Walton County, GA (132 Geography: County	297)		Pre	pared by Esr
	2015 - 2019 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
WORKERS AGE 16+ YEARS BY PLACE OF WORK Total	41,094	100.0%	891	
Worked in state and in county of residence	16,668	40.6%	912	
Worked in state and outside county of residence	23,950	58.3%	1,001	
Worked outside state of residence	476	1.2%	144	
SEX BY CLASS OF WORKER FOR THE CIVILIAN EMPLO AND OVER	YED POPULATION 16 YEARS			
Total:	41,903	100.0%	903	
Male:	22,321	53.3%	577	
Employee of private company	15,652	37.4%	771	
Self-employed in own incorporated business	1,874	4.5%	328	
Private not-for-profit wage and salary workers	484	1.2%	144	
Local government workers	1,658	4.0%	289	
State government workers	424	1.0%	137	
Federal government workers	487	1.2%	171	
Self-employed in own not incorporated business worke	rs 1,692	4.0%	285	
Unpaid family workers	50	0.1%	56	
Female:	19,582	46.7%	694	
Employee of private company	13,009	31.0%	667	
Self-employed in own incorporated business	689	1.6%	204	
Private not-for-profit wage and salary workers	1,187	2.8%	215	
Local government workers	2,426	5.8%	352	
State government workers	924	2.2%	197	
Federal government workers	272	0.6%	109	
Self-employed in own not incorporated business worke Unpaid family workers	ers 1,047 28	2.5% 0.1%	256 30	
POPULATION IN HOUSEHOLDS AND PRESENCE OF A C	COMPUTER			
Total	90,587	100.0%	194	
Population <18 in Households	22,869	25.2%	132	
Have a Computer	22,361	24.7%	357	
Have NO Computer	508	0.6%	336	
Population 18-64 in Households	54,202	59.8%	220	
Have a Computer	52,425	57.9%	502	
Have NO Computer	1,777	2.0%	440	
Population 65+ in Households	13,516	14.9%	143	
Have a Computer	11,098	12.3%	411 405	
Have NO Computer	2,418	2.7%	405	
HOUSEHOLDS AND INTERNET SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Total	31,670	100.0%	420	
With an Internet Subscription	26,115	82.5%	672	
Dial-Up Alone	97	0.3%	51	
Broadband	21,987	69.4%	624	
Satellite Service	3,069	9.7%	399	
Other Service	254	0.8%	122	
Internet Access with no Subscription	756	2.4%	182	
With No Internet Access	4,799	15.2%	561	
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Surve	y Rel	ability: 🛄 high	🔲 medium 📕	low

REGIONAL COMMISSION	ACS Population Sum Walton County, GA Walton County, GA (13297) Geography: County			Prep	ared by Es
WORKERS AGE 16+ YEAR	S BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION	2015 - 2019 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliabilit
TO WORK					
Total		41,094	100.0%	891	
Drove alone		33,606	81.8%	1,099	
Carpooled		4,455	10.8%	646	
Public transportation (exc	luding taxicab)	54	0.1%	53	
Bus or trolley bus		24	0.1%	25	
Light rail, streetcar or t	rolley	0	0.0%	31	
Subway or elevated		0	0.0%	31	
Long-distance/Commut	ter Train	0	0.0%	31	
Ferryboat		30	0.1%	46	
Taxicab		31	0.1%	38	
Motorcycle		55	0.1%	72	
Bicycle		33	0.1%	51	
Walked		543	1.3%	217	
Other means		433	1.1%	192	
Worked at home		1,884	4.6%	304	
WORKERS AGE 16+ YEAR BY TRAVEL TIME TO WOR	S (WHO DID NOT WORK FROM HOME)				
Total		39,210	100.0%	899	
Less than 5 minutes		887	2.3%	229	
5 to 9 minutes		2,213	5.6%	370	
10 to 14 minutes		4,330	11.0%	537	
15 to 19 minutes		4,822	12.3%	509	
20 to 24 minutes		4,402	11.2%	472	
25 to 29 minutes		2,269	5.8%	415	
30 to 34 minutes		5,433	13.9%	502	
35 to 39 minutes		1,370	3.5%	266	
40 to 44 minutes		2,055	5.2%	375	
45 to 59 minutes		4,435	11.3%	537	
60 to 89 minutes		5,096	13.0%	640	
90 or more minutes		1,898	4.8%	280	
Average Travel Time to W	ork (in minutes)	33.3		1.3	
FEMALES AGE 20-64 YEA	RS BY AGE OF OWN CHILDREN AND EMP	LOYMENT STATUS			
Total		26,917	100.0%	123	
Own children under 6 yea	irs only	2,578	9.6%	390	
In labor force		1,870	6.9%	339	
Not in labor force		708	2.6%	222	
Own children under 6 yea In labor force	irs and 6 to 17 years	2,076	7.7% 5.2%	263 275	
In labor force Not in labor force		1,409 667	2.5%	179	
Own children 6 to 17 year	rs only	6,064	22.5%	502	
In labor force		4,475	16.6%	473	
Not in labor force		1,589	5.9%	363	
No own children under 18	3 years	16,199	60.2%	638	
In labor force		11,134	41.4%	689	
Not in labor force		5,065	18.8%	457	
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-	2019 American Community Survey	Re	liability: 🛄 high	🛄 medium 🚦	low


Northeast Georgia Regional Commission	ACS Population Sum	inter y		Pre	pared by Esr
	Walton County, GA (13297) Geography: County				
		2015 - 2019 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
HOUSEHOLDS BY OTHER	INCOME				
Social Security Income		10,817	34.2%	414	
No Social Security Incom	e	20,853	65.8%	563	
Retirement Income		6,859	21.7%	438	
No Retirement Income		24,811	78.3%	582	
	INTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN	24,011	70.570	502	
THE PAST 12 MONTHS					
<10% of Income		102	1.3%	71	
10-14.9% of Income		557	6.8%	177	
15-19.9% of Income		1,181	14.5%	253	
20-24.9% of Income		840	10.3%	245	
25-29.9% of Income		789	9.7%	243	
30-34.9% of Income		536	6.6%	142	
35-39.9% of Income		687	8.4%	206	
40-49.9% of Income		802	9.8%	244	
50+% of Income		2,164	26.5%	384	
Gross Rent % Inc Not Co	mputed	495	6.1%	146	
	ASSISTANCE INCOME IN THE PAST				
12 MONTHS					
Total		31,670	100.0%	420	
With public assistance inc		566	1.8%	166	
No public assistance inco	me	31,104	98.2%	443	
HOUSEHOLDS BY FOOD S	STAMPS/SNAP STATUS				
Total		31,670	100.0%	420	
With Food Stamps/SNAP		3,609	11.4%	401	
With No Food Stamps/SN	IAP	28,061	88.6%	549	
HOUSEHOLDS BY DISAB	II ITY STATUS				
Total		31,670	100.0%	420	
With 1+ Persons w/Disab	bility	8,890	28.1%	630	
With No Person w/Disabil	lity	22,780	71.9%	789	
	vailable. Population by Ratio of Income to income in 2017, adjusted for inflation.	Poverty Level represents p	persons for whom p	overty status is o	letermined.
five-year period data collected previously covered by the dece survey design and residency ru		ecember 31, 2019. Althou t differences between the tw	gh the ACS includes wo surveys including	s many of the sul g fundamental di	bjects fferences in
range of uncertainty for each e	MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate with 90 percent confidence. The DE. For example, if the ACS reports an es tion falls between 80 and 120.	range of uncertainty is call	ed the confidence in	nterval, and it is	calculated by
the estimates. The CV measur	present threshold values that Esri has esta res the amount of sampling error relative	to the size of the estimate,	expressed as a per	centage.	
	Ill CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) a mate is reasonably reliable.	are flagged green to indicat	e that the sampling	error is small re	lative to the

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey Reliability: 11 high endium low January 20, 2022

Page 8 of 8

Northeast Georgia Regional Commission	ACS Housing Sum Walton County, GA Walton County, GA (13297) Geography: County	mary		Pre	pared by Esi
		2015-2019 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUS	ING UNITS BY CONTRACT RENT				
Total		8,153	100.0%	527	
With cash rent		7,721	94.7%	536	
Less than \$100		358	4.4%	187	
\$100 to \$149		74	0.9%	44	
\$150 to \$199		70	0.9%	65	
\$200 to \$249		36	0.4%	57	
\$250 to \$299		184 128	2.3%	147 83	
\$300 to \$349 \$350 to \$399		272	1.6% 3.3%	156	
\$400 to \$449		305	3.7%	136	
\$450 to \$499		303	4.4%	145	
\$500 to \$549		317	3.9%	133	
\$550 to \$599		150	1.8%	85	
\$600 to \$649		768	9.4%	226	
\$650 to \$699		321	3.9%	147	
\$700 to \$749		589	7.2%	208	
\$750 to \$799		724	8.9%	236	
\$800 to \$899		951	11.7%	251	
\$900 to \$999		693	8.5%	194	
\$1,000 to \$1,249		902	11.1%	264	
\$1,250 to \$1,499		293	3.6%	118	
\$1,500 to \$1,999		180	2.2%	81	
\$2,000 to \$2,499		40	0.5%	38	
\$2,500 to \$2,999		0	0.0%	31	
\$3,000 to \$3,499		0	0.0%	31 13	_
\$3,500 or more No cash rent		432	5.3%	13	
No cash renc		432	5.5%	120	
Median Contract Rent		\$744		\$29	
Average Contract Rent		\$738		\$78	
UTILITIES IN RENT	ING UNITS BY INCLUSION OF				
Total		8,153	100.0%	527	
Pay extra for one or more	utilities	7,780	95.4%	523	
No extra payment for any		373	4.6%	143	
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2	019 American Community Survey		Reliability: 🎹 high	👖 medium 📲	low ary 20, 2022

Regional Commission	Walton County, GA Walton County, GA (13297) Geography: County			Pre	pared by E
		2015-2019 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliabil
HOUSING UNITS BY UNI	TS IN STRUCTURE				
Total		33,794	100.0%	90	
1, detached		28,047	83.0%	491	
1, attached		625	1.8%	186	
2		1,040	3.1%	250	
3 or 4 5 to 9		542 630	1.6% 1.9%	150 203	
10 to 19		148	0.4%	122	
20 to 49		35	0.1%	35	
50 or more		82	0.2%	76	
Mobile home		2,596	7.7%	347	
Boat, RV, van, etc.		49	0.1%	57	
HOUSING UNITS BY YEA	R STRUCTURE BUILT				
Total		33,794	100.0%	90	
Built 2014 or later		943	2.8%	196	
Built 2010 to 2013		598	1.8%	189	
Built 2000 to 2009		9,219	27.3%	610	
Built 1990 to 1999		9,810	29.0%	458	
Built 1980 to 1989 Built 1970 to 1979		5,696	16.9%	499	
Built 1970 to 1979 Built 1960 to 1969		3,006	8.9% 5.7%	420 326	
Built 1950 to 1959		1,942 942	2.8%	228	
Built 1950 to 1959		559	1.7%	191	
Built 1939 or earlier		1,079	3.2%	247	
Median Year Structure Buil		1994		1	
INTO UNIT Total	ITS BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED	31,670	100.0%	420	
Owner occupied		- ,			
Moved in 2017 or late	r	1,499	4.7%	269	
Moved in 2015 to 201	6	2,173	6.9%	321	
Moved in 2010 to 201	4	3,485	11.0%	308	
Moved in 2000 to 200		9,037	28.5%	559	
Moved in 1990 to 199		4,443	14.0%	421	
Moved in 1989 or ear	ier	2,880	9.1%	350	
Renter occupied		1 000	2.2%	207	
Moved in 2017 or late Moved in 2015 to 201		1,029	3.2%	207	
Moved in 2015 to 201 Moved in 2010 to 201		2,007 3,406	6.3% 10.8%	307 422	
Moved in 2010 to 201 Moved in 2000 to 200		1,397	4.4%	327	
Moved in 1990 to 199		1,557	0.3%	78	
Moved in 1989 or ear		215	0.7%	97	
Median Year Householder N	loved Into Unit	2008		1	
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015	5-2019 American Community Survey		Reliability: 🎹 high	🔲 medium 📲	low



County-level ALICE ("Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed") detals for Walton County, 2018, from <u>https://www.unitedforalice.org/</u> national-overview. https://www.unitedforalice.org/national-overview

#### 4/15/22, 1:37 PM

H+T Fact Sheets






#### Municipality: Good Hope, GA

Traditional measures of housing affordability ignore transportation costs. Typically a household's second-largest expenditure, transportation costs are largely a function of the characteristics of the neighborhood in which a household chooses to live. Location Matters. Compact and dynamic neighborhoods with walkable streets and high access to jobs, transit, and a wide variety of businesses are more efficient, affordable, and sustainable.

The statistics below are modeled for the Regional Typical Household. Income: \$57,000 Commuters: 1.20 Household Size: 2.77 (Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA)



0% Transit Ridership% of Workers

O Annual Transit Trips 10.96 Tonnes Annual Greenhouse Gas per Household

#### https://htaindex.cnt.org/fact-sheets/?lat=33.7848398&Ing=-83.60905749999999&focus=place&gid=5143#fs

Annual GHG per Acre:


(15/22, 1:37 PM		H+T Fact Sheets	
+ 🚗 H+T* Fact Sheet TRUE AFFORDABILITY AND LOCATION EFFICIENCY	H+T1	Metrics	CNI
Affordability		Demographics	
Housing + Transportation Costs % Income:	51%	Block Groups:	0
Housing Costs % Income: Transportation Costs % Income:	23% 29%	Households: Population:	39 103
Household Transportation Model Outputs		Environmental Characteristics	
Autos per Household:	2.31	Residential Density 2010:	0.08 HHs/Res.
Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household :	26,857		Acre
Transit Ridership % of Workers:	0%	Gross Household Density:	0.03 HH/Acre
Annual Transportation Cost:	\$16,318	Regional Household Intensity:	3,409 HH/mile <sup>2</sup>
Annual Auto Ownership Cost:	\$12,597	Percent Single Family Detached Households:	89%
Annual VMT Cost:	\$3,722	Employment Access Index:	2,877 Jobs/mi <sup>2</sup>
Annual Transit Cost:	\$0	Employment Mix Index (0-100):	84
Annual Transit Trips:	0	Transit Connectivity Index (0-100):	0
		Transit Access Shed:	0 km <sup>2</sup>
Housing Costs		Jobs Accessible in 30 Minute Transit Ride:	0
Average Monthly Housing Cost:	\$1.072	Available Transit Trips per Week:	0
	*	Average Block Perimeter:	3,987 Meters
Median Selected Monthly Owner Costs: Median Gross Monthly Rent:	\$1,063	Average Block Size :	241 Acres
,	\$1,172	Intersection Density:	4 /mi <sup>2</sup>
Percent Owner Occupied Housing Units: Percent Renter Occupied Housing Unit:	92% 8%		
recent venter occupied housing onit.	8%		
Greenhouse Gas from Household Auto Use			
Annual GHG per Household:	10.96 Tonnes		

© Copyright, Center for Neighborhood Technology

0.36 Tonnes

https://htaindex.cnt.org/fact-sheets/?lat=33.7848398&Ing=-83.60905749999999&focus=place&gid=5143#fs

45



Recorded crashes in Good Hope, 2013–2020, from <a href="https://gdot.numetric.com/crash-query#/metrics">https://gdot.numetric.com/crash-query#/metrics</a>.

CRASH SUMMARY REPORT

Good Hope Crash Data Created on September 21, 2021 Created by Stephen Jaques Data extents: February 10, 2013 to November 13, 2020	Georgia Department of transportation
Applied Filters	
GDOT City Boundaries (Geo) = Good Hope	
83)	
Control Contro	(184) particular scill Rd
@mapbox	© Mapbox © OpenStreetMap Improve this ma
Total Crashes 51 Fatal Crashes	s O
GDOT Summary	Collisions Datase
GDOT Summary Total Crashes	Collisions Datase
Total Crashes Intersection Related	51         100.00           44         86.27
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved	51         100.00           44         86.27           18         35.29
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected)	51         100.00           44         86.27           18         35.29           14         27.45
Total Crashes	51         100.00           44         86.27           18         35.29           14         27.45           4         7.84
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed)	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed) Impaired Driving (Confirmed) CMV Related	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84         4       7.84         1       1.96
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed) Impaired Driving (Confirmed)	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84         4       7.84         1       1.96
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed) Impaired Driving (Confirmed) CMV Related Motorcycle	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84         1       1.96         1       1.96         0       0
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed) Impaired Driving (Confirmed) CMV Related Motorcycle + 3 more KABCO Severity	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84         4       7.84         1       1.96         1       1.96         0       0         Collisions Dataset
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed) Impaired Driving (Confirmed) CMV Related Motorcycle + 3 more KABCO Severity (0) No Injury	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84         4       7.84         1       1.96         1       1.96         0       0         Collisions Datase         30       58.82
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed) Impaired Driving (Confirmed) CMV Related Motorcycle + 3 more	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84         1       1.84         1       1.96         1       1.96         0       0         0       0         58.82       30       58.82         12       23.53
Total Crashes Intersection Related Single Motor Vehicle Involved Distracted Driver (Suspected) Distracted Driver (Confirmed) Impaired Driving (Confirmed) CMV Related Motorcycle + 3 more KABCO Severity (0) No Injury (C) Possible Injury / Complaint	51       100.00         44       86.27         18       35.29         14       27.45         4       7.84         4       7.84         1       1.96         1       1.96         1       1.96         0       0         5       58.82         30       58.82         12       23.53

2020	1	1.96%
2019	7	13.73%
2018	5	9.80%
2017	5	9.80%
2016	10	19.61%
2015	13	25.49%
2014	6	11.76%
2013	4	7.84%
Date and Time (Hour of Day)	Collisi	ons Dataset
2 am - 4 am	1	1.96%
4 am - 6 am	1	1.96%
6 am - 8 am	4	7.84%
8 am - 10 am	3	5.88%
10 am - 12 pm	7	13.73%
12 pm - 2 pm	7	13.73%
2 pm - 4 pm	6	11.76%
4 pm - 6 pm	9	17.65%
+ 4 more	13	25.48%
Manner of Collision		ons Dataset
Angle (Other)	20	39.22%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle	20 18	39.22% 35.29%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle Rear End	20 18 7	39.22% 35.29% 13.73%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle	20 18	39.22% 35.29%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle Rear End	20 18 7	39.22% 35.29% 13.73%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle Rear End Left Angle Crash	20 18 7 4 1 1	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle Rear End Left Angle Crash Right Angle Crash	20 18 7 4 1	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more	20 18 7 4 1 1 0	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 1.96% 0%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more	20 18 7 4 1 1 0 Collisi	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 1.96% 0%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more         Location at Impact         On Roadway - Roadway Intersection	20 18 7 4 1 1 0 Collisi 29	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 1.96% 0% 0%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more         Location at Impact         On Roadway - Roadway Intersection         Off Roadway	20 18 7 4 1 1 1 0 Collisi 29 13	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 56.86% 25.49%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more         Location at Impact         On Roadway - Roadway Intersection         Off Roadway	20 18 7 4 1 1 1 0 Collisi 29 13 4	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 1.96% 0% 0% 56.86% 25.49% 25.49%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more         Location at Impact         On Roadway - Roadway Intersection         Off Roadway         On Roadway - Non-Intersection         On Roadway - Non-Intersection	20 18 7 4 1 1 0 Collisi 29 13 4 3	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 1.96% 0% 56.86% 25.49% 25.49% 7.84% 5.88%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle Rear End Left Angle Crash Right Angle Crash Sideswipe-Opposite Direction + 3 more Location at Impact On Roadway - Roadway Intersection Off Roadway On Roadway - Non-Intersection On Shoulder On Roadway - Driveway Intersection	20 18 7 4 1 1 1 0 Collisi 29 13 4 3 2	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 0.0% 0.0% 56.86% 25.49% 7.84% 5.88% 3.92%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more         Location at Impact         On Roadway - Roadway Intersection         Off Roadway         On Roadway - Non-Intersection         On Roadway - Non-Intersection	20 18 7 4 1 1 0 Collisi 29 13 4 3	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 1.96% 0% 56.86% 25.49% 25.49% 7.84% 5.88%
Angle (Other)         Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle         Rear End         Left Angle Crash         Right Angle Crash         Sideswipe-Opposite Direction         + 3 more         Location at Impact         On Roadway - Roadway Intersection         Off Roadway         On Roadway - Non-Intersection         On Shoulder         On Roadway - Driveway Intersection         + 12 more	20 18 7 4 1 1 0 Collisi 29 13 4 3 2 0	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 0.0% 0.0% 5.6.86% 25.49% 7.84% 5.88% 3.92% 0.0%
Angle (Other) Not a Collision with Motor Vehicle Rear End Left Angle Crash Right Angle Crash Sideswipe-Opposite Direction + 3 more Location at Impact On Roadway - Roadway Intersection Off Roadway On Roadway - Non-Intersection On Shoulder On Roadway - Driveway Intersection	20 18 7 4 1 1 0 Collisi 29 13 4 3 2 0	39.22% 35.29% 13.73% 7.84% 1.96% 0.0% 0.0% 56.86% 25.49% 7.84% 5.88% 3.92%

Culvert	3	5.88%
Over Turn	3	5.88%
Animal	2	3.92%
Ditch	2	3.92%
Embankment	2	3.92%
Other Non-Collision	2	3.92%
Tree	2	3.92%
+ 30 more	4	7.84%

Operator / Driver Contributing Factor	Collisi	ons Dataset
No Contributing Factors	32	62.75%
Failure to Yield	17	33.33%
(None)	5	9.80%
Changed Lanes Improperly	4	7.84%
Following Too Close	4	7.84%
Inattentive or Other Distraction (Distracted)	4	7.84%
Improper Backing	2	3.92%
Misjudged Clearance	2	3.92%
+ 35 more	8	15.68%

#### **Survey Responses**

- 1. Do you feel that the local government manages land use and zoning appropriately in your community? If not, explain what changes you would make:
  - a. They do an ok job, possibly could do better.
  - b. Keep good hope Ag
  - c. Land use and zoning done through Walton County. There is nothing local.
- 2. Are there any activities you would like to do in your community but cannot? Explain:
  - a. No (1)
  - b. No response (2)
- 3. Are the streets and sidewalks adequately maintained?
  - a. Yes (2)
  - b. No response (1)
- 4. If no, where are the streets or sidewalks in most need of repair?
  - a. No response (3)
- 5. Is the community safe, comfortable, and inviting for people to walk or bike to activities, jobs, shopping, dining, services, etc.?
  - a. Yes (2)
  - b. No response (1)
- 6. Does your community have any traffic issues?
  - a. Yes (2)
  - b. No (31)
- 7. If yes, what are the specific traffic issues (check all that apply)
  - a. It always takes too long to get (1)
  - b. Predictable peaks like rush hour (1)
- 8. In your opinion, is park space within your community easily accessible to all residents? If not, explain:
  - a. Yes some
  - b. This answer is pertaining to traffic The traffic issues are just around the corner with the developers building so much here and surrounding communities
- 9. Are there any persistent public safety issues in your community (dangerous intersections, sanitation, crime, run-down properties, etc.)? If yes, please explain
  - a. Some intersections can be dangerous, and a few run down areas.
  - b. No response (2)

### Survey Responses (cont.)

#### 10. How would you rate the water & sewer services in your community:

- a. Good (1)
- b. Average (2)
- 11. How would you rate the emergency response services in your community:
  - a. Excellent (1)
  - b. Very good (2)

#### 12. How would you rate the internet services in your community:

- a. Average (1)
- b. Poor (2)

#### 13. How would you rate the leisure/recreation services in your community:

- a. Excellent (1)
- b. Average (2)

#### 14. Are there adequate housing options to meet the future needs of the community?

- a. Yes (2)
- b. No (1)

#### 15. What is the most immediate housing need within your community? Explain.

- a. Young married couples that can't afford large house payments, but would maintain their property well.
- b. I'm afraid over development. Lots of houses going up. Limited roads and access poor quality of schools all the new homes aimed at families will just create a larger problem for the already struggling school system. If I did not homeschool I would not live in this area due to the school system. The fear is this small piece of the country will soon look like every other town; overcrowded and underfunded failing schools.
- c. What is a defining characteristic of your community that you would like to see preserved?

#### 16. Rural appeal.

- a. Farming and large lots I know we cannot stop folks from selling their land but hopefully we can keep the lots larger like Madison county and keep the Agricultural aspect so we don't lose our right that we enjoy on our property already m.
- b. This is a rural community and I would like to see it remain so. The whole area around Good Hope seems to be for sale and we have no input into this.

### Survey Responses (cont.)

- 17. List three small actions your local government could take to improve the quality of life in your neighborhood/ community:
  - a. 1)controlled growth 2) controlled growth 3) controlled growth improvements
  - b. 1) Not allow lower income housing 2) keep a minimum of at least 2-5 acres for new builds 3) how will our roads support large developments?
  - c. No response (1)

#### 18. "What are the most important projects that the community should complete over the next five years?

- a. Improve internet services.
- b. Internet
- c. No response (1)

### References

Center for Neighborhood Technology. H+T Index. Retrieved February 2022. https://htaindex.cnt.org.

Esri Business Analyst Online (Esri BAO). https://bao.arcgis.com/esriBAO.

- Georgia Department of Community Affairs. 2021 Georgia Broadband Availability Map. Retrieved February 2022. <a href="https://broadband.georgia.gov/2021-georgia-broadband-availability-map">https://broadband.georgia.gov/2021-georgia-broadband-availability-map</a>.
- Northeast Georgia Regional Commission (NEGRC). CEDS 2017–2021: Northeast Georgia Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy. 2021.

United for Alice. United Way of Northern New Jersey. Retrieved March 2022. https://www.unitedforalice.org.

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

