STATE OF GEORGIA

COUNTY OF CLAYTON

RESOLUTION NO. 2024-222

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF CLAYTON COUNTY, GEORGIA TO ADOPT THE CLAYTON COUNTY 2039 UPDATE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO PERFORM ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE INTENT OF THIS RESOLUTION; TO PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

WHEREAS, the Clayton County 2034 Comprehensive Plan was adopted by the Board of Commissioners on May 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) requires the County to review and submit a 5- year update of its 2034 Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the update is required for the County to maintain its eligibility as a Qualified Local Government (QLG) status; and

WHEREAS, the standards and procedures for adopting a comprehensive plan emphasize the preparation of plans that help each local government address its immediate needs and opportunities while moving toward the realization of its long-term goals for the future; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. 2022-272, Community Development hired consultants the Sizemore Group, Sycamore Consulting, and Kimley Horn to begin drafting the aforementioned, preliminary 5-year update to the 2034 Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, Community Development and their consultants also created a project timeline in order to allow opportunities for Clayton County citizen input into the Comprehensive Plan update, all pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 50-8-1 et seq. and DCA Rule 110-12-1; and

WHEREAS, a report titled "Moving Clayton Forward – 2024 Comprehensive Plan – A Vision for the Future (2039)" ("2039 Comprehensive Plan") has been prepared following four (4) Steering Committee meetings, six (6) community meetings and two (2) public input meetings between August 2023-September 2024, at which Clayton County solicited community input on community needs and issues, as required by the state's Minimum Planning Standards and Procedures; and

WHEREAS, the 2039 Comprehensive Plan supports several goals established by the state of Georgia pursuant to the Official Code of Georgia Annotated §50-8-1 et seq, as outlined in the Rules of Georgia Department of Community Affairs, Chapter 110-12-1, *Minimum Standards and Procedures for Local Comprehensive Planning* in the areas of economic development, natural and historic resources, community facilities, housing and land use; and

WHEREAS, the public hearing requirements prescribed by the 2039 Comprehensive Plan and state law have been met and exceeded; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners finds that the proposed Clayton County 2039 Comprehensive Plan furthers the purposes of promoting the health, safety, morals, convenience, order, prosperity, aesthetics and general welfare of the present and future residents of Clayton County; and

WHEREAS, the Clayton County 2039 Comprehensive Plan was previously transmitted to the Atlanta Regional Commission and Department of Community Affairs in September 2024 for regional and state review for compliance with the minimum state requirements for Comprehensive Plans and coordination with the Regional Development Plan.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF

CLAYTON COUNTY AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED

Section 1. Having met the public participation and review requirements of the Minimum Standards and Procedures, the Board of Commissioners hereby adopts the report titled "Moving Clayton Forward – 2024 Comprehensive Plan – A Vision for the Future (2039)" dated September 2024 as the County's 2039 Comprehensive Plan, which is on file with the County Clerk and which is incorporated herein by reference. The Board of Commissioners further resolves that the Clayton County 2039 Comprehensive Plan shall be utilized by the Board of Commissioners and all Clayton County departments, agencies and officials as a guide in making decisions concerning the growth and development of Clayton County. The Board authorizes the Chairman to perform all acts necessary to accomplish the intent of this Resolution.

Section 2. The effective date of this Resolution shall be when approved by the Board of Commissioners of Clayton County.

SO RESOLVED, this _____ day of September _____, 2024.

CLAYTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

DEMONT DAVIS, VICE CHAIR

REAVES, COMMISSIONER

GAIL HAMBRICK, COMMISSIONER

<u>(vacant)</u> DISTRICT 3 COMMISSIONER

ATTES NEY RUSHIN, CLERK

.

.... • •

.

-



MOVING CLAYTON FORWARD

2024 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN — A VISION FOR THE FUTURE







This page has been intentionally left blank.



MOVING CLAYTON FORWARD

Prepared by Sizemore Group in association with Kimley-Horn and Sycamore Consulting Unless otherwise specified, all images are provided by the consultant teams or courtesy of Clayton County.







CREDITS

CLAYTON COUNTY

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Jeffrey E. Turner, *BOC Chairman* Gail Hambrick, *Assistant Director, District 2 Commissioner* Felicia Franklin, *Assistant Director, District 3 Commissioner* DeMont David, Vice Chair District 4 Commissioner

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STAFF

Patrick Ejike, Director, Community Development Cheryl Brooks, Assistant Director, Community Development Mary Darby, Planning Administrator, Community Development

CONSULTANT TEAMS

SIZEMORE GROUP

Bill de St. Aubin, AIA, LEED AP *Principal-in-Charge*

Deanna Murphy, AICP Project Manager & Lead Planner

Randy G. Gibbs, AICP *Planner*

Nishant Ostwal *Planner*

Ashlyn Oakes *Planner*

SYCAMORE CONSULTING

Jen Price, AICP Senior Project Manager

Madison Davis, AICP *Planner*

KIMLEY-HORN ASSOCIATES

Jon Tuley, AICP Economic Development Planner

Elena Oertel, AICP Transportation Engineer

STEERING COMMITTEE

Levon Allen, Clayton County Sheriff's Office Meia Ballinger, D4 Resident Glenn Baker, D4 Resident Garvey Cills, Resident Altimese Dees, D3 Resident Clea Etheridge, Resident Lawanda Folami, D3 Resident Montrese Adger Fuller, Clayton State University Tasha Galloway, D1 Resident Troy Hodges, Clayton County Parks and Recreation Nicole Hornes, Clayton County Office of Youth Services Shannon James, Aerotropolis Atlanta Daunta Long, Pastor, Seed Planters Church Captain Sean Macdonald, Clayton County Code Enforcement Unit Gerald McDowell, ATL Airport CIDs Jeff Metarko, Clayton County Transportation & Development Mokita Rials, D1 Resident Scott Parham, Clayton County Library System Tamara Patridge, See Clayton County Convention and Visitors Bureau Kevin Roberts, Clayton County Police Department Erica Rocker-Wills, Clayton County Economic Development Greg Scandrett, D4 Resident Daniel Small, Clayton County Soil & Water Conservation District Andrew Smith, Atlanta Regional Commission Anthony W. Smith, Clayton County Board of Education Tori Strawter-Tanks, Clayton County Senior Services Tim Sweat, Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services Nicole Tubner, D1 Resident Valencia Williams, Clayton County Chamber of Commerce Larry Vincent, Development Authority of Clayton County

CONTENTS

| 01 INTRODUCTION: MOVING CLAYTON FORWARD | 7 |
|--|-----|
| 1.1 The Plan | 7 |
| 1.2 What is the Comprehensive Plan Process? | 8 |
| 1.3 What are the Benefits of a Comprehensive Plan? | 9 |
| 1.4 How to Use this Comprehensive Plan | 10 |
| 1.5 History and Context | 12 |
| 1.6 Clayton County: Where the World Lands and Opportunities Take Off | 13 |
| 1.7 Regional Trends of Concern | 18 |
| 1.8 Report of Accomplishments | 20 |
| 02 ASSESSMENT: THE TAKEOFF | 43 |
| 2.1 Existing Studies | 43 |
| 2.2 Demographics | 58 |
| 2.3 Housing Analysis | 62 |
| 2.4 Economic Analysis | 68 |
| 2.5 Transportation Analysis | 76 |
| 2.6 Broadband Element | 88 |
| 2.7 Regional Water Plan and Environmental Planning Criteria | 92 |
| 2.8 Land Use Analysis | 96 |
| 2.9 Community Engagement | 113 |
| 03 IMPLEMENTATION: CLAYTON TAKES FLIGHT | 125 |
| 3.1 Vision | 125 |
| 3.2 Goals | 125 |
| 3.3 Future Land Use Map (2039) | 138 |
| 3.4 Character Areas | 148 |
| 3.5 Community Work Program | 174 |

01 INTRODUCTION MOVING CLAYTON FORWARD

1.1 THE PLAN

Moving Clayton Forward - 2024 Comprehensive Plan A—Vision for the Future (2039) serves as a forward-looking blueprint that outlines the vision for the county's development over the next two decades. It is designed to guide citizens, business owners, community leaders, and elected officials in making informed decisions regarding land development and public investment. With a focus on initiatives to be completed within the next five years, the plan encompasses various aspects, including an assessment of existing conditions, updates to the vision, goals, and policies, revisions to the future land use map and character areas, the formulation of a new Community Work Program, and a summary of the community engagement process.

The existing conditions assessment covers essential elements mandated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (DCA). These elements include economic development, housing, broadband services, regional water and environmental planning, transportation, and land use. By evaluating these key factors, the plan aims to identify current strengths, challenges, and opportunities within Clayton County, laying the groundwork for informed decision-making and strategic planning to support the county's growth and prosperity.

In accordance with the requirements set forth by the DCA, this document presents a major update to the 2018 Comprehensive Plan and the 2019 Amendment, focusing on the development of a new five-year Community Work Program. The Community Work Program outlines priority projects that the county will undertake over the next five years, aligning with the established community vision and goals outlined in this report. By building upon the foundation laid by the previous plan and incorporating new insights and priorities identified through the community engagement process, this update seeks to ensure that the comprehensive plan remains relevant, responsive, and reflective of the community's evolving needs and aspirations.

Clayton County, in partnership with its consultant teams—Sizemore Group, Sycamore Consulting, and Kimley-Horn Associates—conducted a community engagement process spanning twelve months. This process included two public hearings at Board of Commissioner meetings, two Zoning Advisory Group meetings, three core team meetings and six community meetings designed to identify opportunities and areas of concern within the county. Announcements and flyers were distributed throughout the county to publicize meetings, and residents were encouraged to participate in a public survey available online. This extensive public process provided an opportunity for the county's residents, business owners, and community leaders to share their vision for the county and engage in conversations about its future growth.



Image Caption: Community engagement event at the Flint River Community Center (2023)

1.2 WHAT IS THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PROCESS?

The 2024 Clayton County Comprehensive Plan process is a collaborative effort involving residents, business owners, and elected officials to shape the future growth and development of the county. It entails a thorough analysis of the community's physical, economic, social, and political factors, with robust public engagement to gather input and insights from stakeholders.

Comprehensive plans are designed to cover a long-range period and establish guiding principles for a community's economic growth and development. In the case of Clayton County, the plan will serve as a blueprint for the county's trajectory over the twenty-year blueprint. Importantly, it will be regularly updated at least every five years to ensure that the county's vision for the future remains relevant and achievable in the face of evolving challenges and opportunities.

By involving diverse voices and perspectives in the planning process, the 2024 Comprehensive Plan aims to reflect the collective aspirations and priorities of the Clayton County community. Through strategic planning and informed decisionmaking, the plan will help shape a prosperous, equitable, and sustainable future for all residents of the county.

In the comprehensive planning process, the county must meet the requirements set forth by the DCA, which include:

- A Physical, Economic, Social, and Political Analysis
- Public Engagement
 - o Community Goals, Policies, and Vision
 - o Needs and Opportunities
- Principles for Community Development and Growth
 - o Future Land Use Map (FLUM)
 - o Character Areas and Defining Narratives
 - o Community Work Program
- Five-year Reassessment





Image Caption: View of a community member and draft work program (2024)

1.3 WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN?

The planning process for the community yields several benefits that positively impact the entire community:

- 1. **Quality-of-life improvement:** Through thoughtful planning, the community can maintain and enhance its quality of life, ensuring that residents have access to essential services, recreational opportunities, and a safe and healthy environment.
- 2. **Shared vision:** The planning process fosters a shared vision for the future of the community, where residents, businesses, and stakeholders collaborate to articulate common goals and aspirations.
- 3. **Protection of property rights:** The planning process safeguards private property rights while promoting responsible development that respects the rights and interests of property owners.
- 4. **Encouragement of economic development:** Comprehensive planning encourages and supports economic development initiatives that stimulate job creation, investment, and prosperity within the community.
- 5. **Guidance for development:** The planning process outlines clear guidelines for where, how, and when development will occur, as well as strategies for managing associated costs. This ensures that development aligns with community values and priorities.
- 6. **Creation of community spaces:** Comprehensive plans guide the creation of vibrant and functional community places and spaces that foster social interaction, recreation, and cultural enrichment.

By engaging residents, planning experts, county staff, and elected officials in discussions about land development, transportation, economic development, and other objectives, the planning process enables the community to make informed decisions that promote long-term sustainability and well-being.



Image Caption: View of a steering committee meeting (2023)

1.4 HOW TO USE THIS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

This document is intended for use by a wide range of stakeholders, including elected officials, county staff, property owners, developers, business owners, and citizens of Clayton County. It serves as a comprehensive guide for both private and public development decisions within the county.

Organized around core components required by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (DCA) for local comprehensive plans, this document includes the following key elements:

1. CONSOLIDATED VISION

The comprehensive plan articulates a consolidated vision for Clayton County, comprising a vision and goals associated with the seven overarching goal areas of focus: land use; transportation; housing; intergovernmental coordination; economic development; health, wellness, and sustainability; and broadband. This vision is informed by technical analysis and input gathered through the community engagement process, providing a framework for future development and decision-making.

2. ASSETS & CHALLENGES

An analysis of assets and challenges identifies major needs and opportunities that the county must address.

3. POLICIES

Findings from the assets and challenges assessment inform the development of plan policies and action steps aimed at addressing identified challenges and capitalizing on opportunities for growth and improvement.

4. COMMUNITY WORK PROGRAM

The Community Work Program outlines specific steps and initiatives that need to be undertaken to ensure the comprehensive plan's effectiveness and success. It serves as a roadmap for implementing the plan's goals and policies, guiding the county's actions over the plan's timeframe.

5. FUTURE LAND USE MAP

The plan includes updates to the Future Land Use Map. This map serves as a guiding tool for future development and zoning, as future land use categories identify preferred land uses. This map is recommended to ensure that there is consistency and compatibility in the rezoning and development approval decisions so that any growth occurs in line with the community's vision for its future.

6. CHARACTER AREA MAP

The plan includes a Character Area Map that delineates specific areas within Clayton County, each with unique characteristics or development potential. These areas may be supported by Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) grants, or other means of financing, such as Tax Allocation Districts (TADs) as appropriate. This map serves as a guiding tool for future development, helping to identify areas for preservation, revitalization, or targeted growth based on existing conditions and the community's vision for the future. The Character Area Map also identifies development and design principles for each character area.

By incorporating these essential components, the plan provides a comprehensive and strategic framework for guiding development and decision-making in Clayton County, ensuring that the county's growth and prosperity are guided by a shared vision and informed by careful analysis and planning.



Image Caption: View of vision and goal boards at a community engagement event (2024)

1.5 HISTORY AND CONTEXT

Established in 1858, Clayton County, Georgia emerged from the territories of the adjacent Fayette and Henry counties. Named after Judge Augustin S. Clayton, a prominent figure in the Georgia General Assembly and United State Congress, the county has a rich history and significant modern-day importance both regionally and nationally.

Before its official formation, Clayton County was inhabited by Creek Indians, and remnants of their farming culture can be found along the Flint River. Following the Revolutionary War, many veterans were granted land in Georgia, and in the Treaty of Indian Springs in 1821, the Creeks ceded their land to the state. Settlers from various parts of Georgia and southern coastal states began moving into the area, leading to the founding of Leaksville (later known as Jonesboro) in 1823. This town played a pivotal role as a stop on the railroad connecting Macon to Terminus, which was later named Atlanta, establishing a vital link between the southeast and the port city of Savannah.

Clayton County shares its borders with several neighboring counties including DeKalb, Henry, Spalding, Fayette, and Fulton. Positioned in the southern metropolitan area, Clayton County is located roughly ten miles south of the City of Atlanta and hosts within its own boundaries seven cities: a portion of College Park, Forest Park, Jonesboro, Lake City, Lovejoy, Morrow, and Riverdale.

With an estimated population of around 297,600 as of the 2020 U.S. Census, Clayton County ranks as the fifth most populous county in Georgia and within the Atlanta region. Covering an area of approximately 144 square miles, it stands as the third smallest county in the state and the second smallest in the eleven-county Atlanta region, second only to Rockdale County.

Clayton County is home to several notable landmarks and institutions, including Clayton State University, acclaimed water reclamation facilities, and various nature preserves. Additionally, a substantial portion of the Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (H-JAIA), the world's busiest airport (regarding passenger travel) and a major regional employer, resides within the county's confines.

The county has also left its mark on the entertainment industry, with ties to popular media such as *Gone with the Wind, Flight,* and *Smokey and the Bandit.* Significant cultural venues include Spivey Hall, an internationally renowned and premier recital hall situated on the Clayton State campus. In 2003, the Georgia Archives relocated to Morrow from downtown Atlanta. Amidst the growing urban landscape, the 146-acre Reynolds Nature Preserve stands as an integral element of natural beauty within the county.



Image Caption: Location of Clayton County and adjacent counties in the state of Georgia Source: David Benbennick (2006)

1.6 CLAYTON COUNTY: WHERE THE WORLD LANDS AND OPPORTUNITIES TAKE OFF

South Metro-Atlanta Opportunities

The southern metro-Atlanta communities are experiencing a surge of positive momentum, driven by ambitious projects and initiatives. Clayton County finds itself at the heart of this growth, poised to benefit tremendously from the major investment in metro-Atlanta's Southern Crescent.

In March 2024, the ARC received a significant \$50 million bipartisan federal grant for a multi-purpose trail connecting the Atlanta BeltLine to the Flint River. In May 2024, ARC received another \$14.9 million from the US Department of Transportation to supplement the initial grant benefiting Clayton County along with the Cities of Hapeville, College Park and East Point. Together, this funding will facilitate the study and development of a 31-mile trail system throughout the South Atlanta Metro region, linking the world-renowned Atlanta BeltLine to Lovejoy in Clayton County. This grant underscores a broader trend towards enhancing natural resources to promote healthier communities while also improving community access and mobility. It is a significant step towards integrating environmental sustainability into urban planning efforts, ensuring a greener and more resilient future for all.

Located in both Fulton and Clayton County, Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (H-JAIA) remains a cornerstone of the region's economy. Earning the title as the world's busiest airport, it continues to grow and upgrade itself to better accommodate the 100+ million travelers passing through its gates every year. The airport supports hundreds of thousands of jobs and contributes billions of dollars to Georgia's economy. The planned terminal revitalization, parking enhancements, addition of a 400-room Intercontinental Hotel, and expansion of concourses demonstrate H-JAIA Jackson's commitment to remaining the global transportation leader.

The Aerotropolis and Airport Area Community Improvement Districts (CID) play pivotal roles in driving progress and fostering economic growth in the surrounding areas. The combined efforts of these organizations facilitate efficient vehicular travel, exceptionally manicured landscaping, and a sense of safety and security that permeates their respective districts. These initiatives contribute to the development of vibrant communities and support local businesses surrounding the world's most traveled airport.

The Six West Development, comprising 311 acres in nearby College Park, represents a significant urban revitalization effort, promising to transform the area with a diverse mix of office space, hotels, commercial venues, and residential units. The Six West development will also introduce new entertainment and dining offerings to College Park.

Additionally, Fort Gillem's evolution into a bustling employment center marks a pivotal development in Clayton County's economic landscape. With a strategic focus on logistics and the burgeoning film industry, the former Army base has attracted major players such as Amazon, Kroger, and Blue Star Studios. These new industries at Fort Gillem speak to the area's appeal as a prime location for business growth and investment.

Finally, the US Soccer Federation's decision to establish its new home in Fayette County is a testament to the region's growing prominence as a hub for sports and entertainment. The site, comprising more than 200 acres will be the home to all twenty-seven of the American national teams will be located to the west of Veterans Parkway and north of Trilith Studios – yet another burgeoning community in south-Metro Atlanta. The presence of the US Soccer's new facility will undoubtedly attract attention and interest from both national and international audiences and, in turn, is expected to spur economic activity, drive tourism, and create new opportunities for local businesses and communities.

With the emergence of the Mountain View community and the expansion of MARTA's Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) services, many more exciting developments are in store for the southside. Collectively, these initiatives underscore the dynamic growth, vibrancy, and future success of the southern metro-Atlanta communities, especially Clayton County.

Clayton County Opportunities

Clayton County is a bustling hub of education, business and industry, driving job creation and enhancing the lives of both residents and enterprises. Renowned for its robust transportation infrastructure, the county boasts access to major U.S. interstate highways such as I-75, I-85, I-285, and I-675, along with reliable rail services courtesy of Norfolk-Southern and CSX.

At the heart of the county lies the Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, the busiest airport globally, offering unparalleled air travel convenience. Clayton County's population continues to soar, reaching 298,300 in 2023 according to the U.S. Census Bureau, with an impressive annual growth rate of 12.2%. The median household income witnessed a substantial increase, rising to \$53,398 in 2023 from \$43,287 in 2014.

The Metropolitan Atlanta Regional Transit Authority (MARTA) is advancing Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) expansion in Clayton County with two new lines. The first line, along State Route 54, will feature seventeen proposed stops, connecting East Point to Mountain View, Clayton State University, and the cities of Forest Park, Jonesboro, and Lovejoy. The second MARTA Rapid route, the Southlake project, is an innovative BRT system that aims to enhance transit service and job access within Clayton County and establish connections within Clayton County, including to Hartfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Riverdale, Morrow, as well as key destinations, such as the Shops of Riverdale, Southlake Mall, and the Southern Regional Medical Center. The BRT route will cover approximately 15 miles and operate on dedicated right-of-way and mixed traffic along existing roadways. Planning and design of this project began in 2020, and it is anticipated the BRT service will begin in 2030. This route is expected to include thirteen new stations and will use ten BRT-branded electric buses and electric vehicle charging stations.

Both projects aim to enhance job access, provide reliable and frequent transit services, and improve connections within Clayton County and the greater metro-Atlanta region, in addition to the improved connectivity facilitated by the aforementioned multi-purpose trail grant funding.



Image Caption: View of Clayton County Water Authority (CCWA) facilities (2024)

In recent years, Clayton County's government has actively fostered stronger partnerships with many stakeholders such as Aerotropolis CIDs and Alliance, Clayton State University, the Council for Quality Growth, MARTA, and various departments and agencies to drive economic development initiatives. Notably, the county's Economic Development Office has crafted an enticing incentive package aimed at attracting and retaining top businesses and talent. In addition, on March 19 2024, the Clayton County Board of Commissioners (BOC) approved Ordinance No. 2024-60: BOC-2402-0394 to amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) process. This includes the implementation of an economic development matrix to assist with sustaining growth in the county.

Clayton County's employment landscape flourishes with 192,000 full-time jobs across diverse sectors, further bolstered by the proactive efforts of the Clayton County Office of Economic Development. Key industries driving growth include Health Care and Social Services, Accommodation and Food Services, Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services, and Transportation and Warehousing.

Clayton State University stands out as a beacon of higher education in the Southern Crescent, offering a comprehensive range of accredited programs, including masters, baccalaureate, associate, vocational, and technical degrees. The university produces more than 600 graduates every year. Nestled within its picturesque campus lies Spivey Hall, a globally acclaimed recital hall attracting renowned artists and serving as a cultural nexus for the community.

For nine years running, the Clayton County School Board has secured the esteemed Exemplary School Board distinction, showcasing steadfast leadership and educational excellence. Additionally, Clayton County Public Schools' reception of the Leading Edge Award from the Georgia School Boards Association underscores their commitment to preparing students for a dynamic global market, affirming the board's profound influence on educational quality and student achievement in the county.



Image Caption: View of Lakeview Discovery and Science Center at Clayton State University Source: Clayton State University (2023)

Southern Regional Medical Center, founded in 1971 in Riverdale, GA, has expanded to 331 beds, serving Riverdale and its environs. Recognized nationally and statewide for exceptional patient care, it hosts specialized units such as a Certified Primary Stroke Center, accredited Chest Pain Center, Women's Life Center, labor and delivery suites, and a Level III Neonatal Intensive Care Unit.

The Clayton County Water Authority (CCWA) remains an esteemed utility, delivering exceptional water and services to the community, consistently meeting or exceeding federal and state standards for drinking water quality. Additionally, the Newman Wetlands Center, owned and operated by CCWA, provides an enriching environment for environmental education and fosters a deeper connection to the county's ecosystems, promoting conservation efforts and watershed protection.

Clayton County residents now have access to the new Lake Spivey Recreation Center in Jonesboro, located within the International Park. This state-of-the-art, 32,000 square foot facility is situated along the Lake Spivey walking trail and houses the Parks and Recreation administration. The center boasts an array of amenities including an indoor saltwater pool, a cardio and exercise area, a multipurpose room, a rock-climbing wall, and an indoor café on the lower level. The new administrative offices are located on the second floor. This facility promises to provide enjoyment for Clayton County residents for years to come.

In May 2024, the Andrew J. Young Foundation, in partnership with Forever Young Aquaponics and in collaboration with the Clayton County Board of Commissioners, hosted a groundbreaking ceremony for the Forever Young Aquaponics facility. Situated in the City of Jonesboro, this 70,000-square-foot facility intends to revolutionize the local agricultural landscape and contribute to urban development by providing sustainable, organic produce to nine major cities throughout the Southeast.

Integrating aquaculture and hydroponics, aquaponics represents a cutting-edge approach to urban farming. It establishes a self-sustaining ecosystem where fish waste fertilizes plants, and the plants, in turn, filter the water returning to the fish. This facility will produce pesticide- and chemical-free, USDA organic produce within a closed-loop system that



Image Caption: View of Spivey Hall on the campus of Clayton State University Source: Thomson200 (2015)

eliminates environmental discharge. This innovative project marks a crucial advancement in sustainable city planning and urban development, promoting healthier food production with minimal environmental impact. Forever Young Aquaponics is set to support the county in demonstrating sustainable and ethical agricultural practices which thrive within urban settings and are economically viable.

Mountain View is an unincorporated community located in the northwestern part of the county, immediately east of Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (H-JAIA). Recognizing Mountain View's potential as a valuable area within the county, several planning initiatives have been undertaken to position the community as a world-class destination. These efforts include a 2014 Livable Centers Initiative (LCI), a 2017 Urban Land Institute (ULI) development strategy report, a master plan in 2018, and other initiatives led by Aerotropolis Atlanta and the Aerotropolis Atlanta Community Improvement Districts (AACIDs).

Mountain View is a historically significant community with the potential to complement the world's busiest airport. It offers ample opportunities to attract residents, businesses, and travelers, creating a vibrant environment that enhances its regional importance.

Clayton County remains committed to progress, evident in its ongoing investments in public safety, infrastructure, and community development projects such as the Tara Boulevard Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) Gateway Study and the state-of-the art Clayton County Convocation Center which will host local graduations, community events, and corporate conferences. With a steadfast focus on innovation, professionalism, and inclusive growth, Clayton County is poised to thrive as a vibrant and competitive player in the dynamic metro-Atlanta region. In August 2024, Aerotropolis supported an Aero-Clayton "Moving Clayton Forward" event to assist the county with sustainable growth.



Image Caption: View of Newman Wetlands Center Boardwalk Trail (2024)

1.7 REGIONAL TRENDS OF CONCERN

As the Comprehensive Plan process addresses the County's vision for the next twenty years, 2039, it is important to consider current and anticipated community planning trends that are impacting Clayton County and beyond. In the following section, key planning issues, challenges, and opportunities are discussed. The vision, goals, and recommendations within this report aim to address these planning trends, as community tools and capacity allows.

According to ESRI and US Census Bureau data, Clayton County has seen a population growth rate of 1% as it approaches 300,000 residents. Many of these households are young families with children under 14 years old. Notably, Clayton County's median age is 33.9 years, which is more than three years younger than the median age of the Atlanta MSA. Conversely, Clayton County has a higher proportion of its population aged 55-84 years. With age increase comes age related disabilities, increased medical needs, and mobility limitations that necessitate careful consideration during planning efforts. These trends suggest that special accommodations are needed for both younger and older age groups. However, they also indicate that the county is not attracting adults in their prime working years. It is imperative that communities are equipped to provide the necessary services, housing, and infrastructure to support this aging population effectively, while also creating a desirable county for young adults and families.

Similarly, another persistent planning issue is the ongoing affordable housing crisis which has impacted both the metro-Atlanta area and the entire country. In general, there is a shortage of housing stock in many local communities to accommodate current and future growth. However, most alarming is the shortage in affordable housing to match Area Median Income (AMI). This issue does not just impact the poorest communities, but many middle-wage earning families as well. According to the Atlanta Regional Commission, there is a significant gap in rental housing availability for the 50% AMI or below cohort.

In recent years, transportation has witnessed remarkable advancements, introducing a diverse range of options such as e-scooters, Bus Rapid Transit (BRT), self-driving vehicles, and pods. Excitingly, the horizon holds even more promise with the imminent mainstream adoption of electrical vertical take-off and landing (eVTOL) vehicles. These innovations present communities with an expanded repertoire of mobility solutions, catering to both mass transit and individual travel requirements. However, the successful implementation of these transit alternatives necessitates a robust infrastructure network comprising rail systems, internet connectivity, and reliable power sources.

Moreover, it's essential to consider how these modes of transportation will interact with major hubs like Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (H-JAIA). Understanding the impact of these new modes of transit and travel is crucial as we strive to plan environments that are not only functional but also enjoyable to navigate.

Additionally, there is anticipated infrastructure and economic impact of the Savannah Harbor Expansion Project on the metro-Atlanta region, with a particular focus on Clayton County. This ambitious \$1 billion, 20-year initiative involves deepening the channel by forty-seven feet over a 38-mile stretch. As a result, the expanded harbor will now accommodate the largest vessels on the East Coast, facilitating the arrival of 16,000 container vessels at the port. A significant portion of this cargo is destined for H-JAIA for distribution. Consequently, Clayton County serves as a crucial thoroughfare for freight movement both within Georgia and across the region. Understanding the implications of this project is essential for assessing its impact on economic activity and infrastructure development in Clayton County and beyond.

There's a growing recognition of the significance of urban planning, coupled with an increased appreciation for the role of green infrastructure in creating sustainable environments. The federal government's investments in this area are aimed at fostering a more environmentally friendly future. The headwaters of the Flint River begin in Clayton

County near the Hartsfield Jackson Atlanta International Airport. Clayton County – with the support of regional partners of the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC), Aerotropolis, and surrounding governments - have led the investments of the Flint River Gateway Trails. The Flint River Gateway Trails is a crucial component of ARC's initiative to reconnect the region and it received tremendous federal financial support in 2024.

The long-term effects of COVID-19 have heightened awareness and readiness regarding the spread of illness, prompting communities to reshape their environments to prioritize health and well-being. One such solution involves increasing and making greenspace and park space more accessible. Additionally, the pandemic has influenced where people work, leading to a greater demand for remote work facilities, both within local areas and within homes. These shifts reflect a broader recognition of the importance of creating environments that support both physical and mental health, as well as adaptability to changing circumstances.



Image Caption: View of concourses A and T at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport Source: Matthew Groh (2018)

Smart cities are becoming increasingly intertwined within the urban planning discipline. Within these urban environments, existing data, such as cell phone data, is harnessed to optimize efficiency through the integration of Internet of Things and AI-enhanced technologies, tracking the movement of people. Additionally, data-driven decision-making plays a crucial role in enhancing safety, security, utilities, resilience, and various other aspects that influence the quality of life for local community members.

Furthermore, the momentum of social justice movements in 2020 has spurred a rise in initiatives aimed at fostering social justice and equity within communities. This is exemplified by the renaming of places and streets to align with contemporary values and honor revered figures. Efforts are also underway to mend the divisions caused by past infrastructural projects, such as highways, which historically marginalized minority communities. Finally, there is a push for increased transparency in local processes, alongside the integration of social and mental health training, and the introduction of mental health programs to assist communities in coping with the traumas stemming from systemic marginalization.

As part of a collaborative effort of the consultant teams and other partners, Clayton County is working to address these issues to better serve its residents and business communities.

1.8 REPORT OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The Report of Accomplishments is an account and explanation of all the projects listed in the Community Work Program from the previous Comprehensive Plan. The projects are identified with the following terminology:

Items labeled "Completed" have been finished within the five-year reporting period.

Items labeled "**Currently Underway**" have been initiated or have made partial progress as of the end of the five-year reporting period. They have been carried over into the new five-year reporting period for the next five-year work program.

Items labeled "Postponed" have been put on hold with justification and the intention of being resumed.

Items labeled "**No Longer Relevant**" have been deemed to no longer be relevant to the county and will not be carried over into the next five-year work program.

| # | Activity | | | nefra | | | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | Status | Comments |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|---|--|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | '23 | '24 | | Dept./ Agency | | | |
| 1 | Trade Center and Small Business Incubator - Class A office building at the entrance to Clayton State University to attract | Х | Х | Х | X | X | \$1-10 million, depending on size and build-out detail | Econ. Dev. Office/Dev. Auth./Private | SPLOST/ Private | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2028 |
| 2 | "Welcome to Clayton County" signage strategically placed at North, South, East, and West entrances into the County. The signs are anticipated to measure approximately 10 to 20 feet in width and be constructed of brick, stone or concrete. The greeting signs will showcase the County's new brand and image | | X | X | | | \$100,000- 200,000 | Econ. Dev. Office/Public Works | SPLOST | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2028 |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|---|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|--|--|--|-----------------------|---|
| # | Activity | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | '23 | '24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | | | |] | Economic | Developmen | t | | |
| 3 | Develop formal agreement and approach between the Cities and County to collectively shape a positive image for Clayton County, both internally and externally | x | х | x | x | x | Staff time or up to \$100,000/ year for County | County/ Cities/Econ. Dev. Office/ City and County Mgr. Offices | County/ Cities/ Tourism funds | Currently underway | A formal agreement has been deemed unnecessary and replaced with a focus on quarterly meetings as a new 2024 CWP item |
| 4 | Elevate importance of retaining and expanding existing industry in Clayton County. Broaden the number of people formally involved in the effort to visit, retain and expand existing industry | х | Х | | | | Staff time | Chamber of Commerce/ Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth./ Existing Industry Committee | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Currently underway | |
| 5 | Broaden responsibility for industry retention and expansion by organizing committee of outreach participants within an existing economic development organization | x | Х | x | x | x | Staff time | Chamber of Commerce/ Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth./ Existing Industry Committee | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Completed | |
| 6 | Track progress using basic database system (Excel, Access, etc.), that can be easily shared and used across multiple organizations | х | х | х | x | х | \$4,000 | Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Completed | |

| # | Activity | | | nefra | | 1 | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|----|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | _ | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | '24 | Cost Economic | Dept./Agency Developmen | Source | | |
| 7 | Prioritize workforce development as a key economic development tool for improving family self- sufficiency and reducing unemployment | X | | | | | Staff time | Atlanta CareerRise Working Group/Econ. Dev. Office | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Currently underway | |
| 8 | Coordinate with Atlanta CareerRise in efforts to create career- ladder pathways within the logistics industry | х | X | х | х | X | Staff time | Atlanta CareerRise Working Group/Econ. Dev. Office | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Completed | |
| 9 | Evaluate success of Atlanta CareerRise logistics initiative as a model for other industrial sector in Clayton County | | | х | X | x | Staff time | Atlanta CareerRise Working Group/Econ. Dev. Office | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Completed | |
| 10 | Develop separate webpages for each initiative (Tourism, Film, Production, Recruitment, etc.) of the Clayton County Office of Economic Development's website outlining the work being done in each area | X | X | | | | \$5,000- 15,000 | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | No longer relevant | The Economic Development Department website restructure has been refocused and separate webpages no longer are required |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|----|---|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | ' 20 | '21 | ' 22 | '23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | _ | |
| | | | | 1 | 1 | I | Economic | Developmen | t | | |
| 11 | Create a new initiative around Clayton County's water resources. Clayton County's existing food and beverage industry concentration, internationally recognized water system, and transportation network makes the case for finding more ways to leverage Clayton's water resources | | | Х | Х | | \$10,000- 50,000 | Econ. Dev. Office/ Clayton County Water Authority | County/ Clayton County Water Authority | Completed | |
| 12 | Build upon existing activities that promote entrepreneurship and strengthen the small business community in Clayton County | | | X | х | | \$10,000 | Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber of Commerce/ Comm. Development Dept. (CDD)- Planning & Zoning (P&Z) | County/ Chamber of Commerce | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2025 |
| 13 | Measure number and type of small business support activities occurring in Clayton County | Х | X | X | х | | Staff time | Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber of Commerce/ CDD-P&Z | Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth. | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2028 |
| 14 | Formally outline roles for existing organizations focused on supporting small businesses | | | X | X | | Staff time | Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber of Commerce/ CDD-P&Z | NA | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2028 |

| | | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | | |
|----|---|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|--|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| # | Activity | ' 20 | '21 | ' 22 | '23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./ Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | | | | E | Conomic 1 | Development | t | | |
| 15 | Develop a revolving- loan fund for small- to-medium sized businesses that are looking to expand | | | | | X | \$200,000- \$2 million | Collaborative decision on whether housed in government (Econ. Dev. Office), quasi- government, (Dev. Auth.) nonprofit or for profit entity | Typically requires one public source for initial capitalization, plus private or philan- thropic funds. Will requires funds for new personnel to manage the RLF | No longer relevant | There was a restructure in the economic development department and development authority and this task is no longer a priority for either department |
| 16 | Develop and implement incentives and programs to encourage redevelopment of vacant and underutilized commercial properties | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Varied, but could be significant depending on consensus | Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber/ Dev. Auth. | County/ Chamber/ Dev. Auth. | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2026 |
| 17 | Coordinate with the City of Morrow to address the decline of Southlake Mall and the loss of businesses in commercial areas on Mt. Zion Road | X | | X | | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber/ Dev. Auth. | County/ Chamber/ Dev. Auth. | Completed | |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ime | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|----|---|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| | neuvity | ' 20 | '21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | otatus | Gomments |
| | | | | | | E | conomic Do | evelopment | | | |
| 18 | Develop a framework within Clayton State University that fosters internal collaboration and external engagement towards the benefit of community and economic development initiatives | | Х | x | X | X | Staff time | Clayton State Univ./Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber/ CDD-P&Z | County/ Chamber/ Dev. Auth. | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2028 |
| 19 | Promote the formation of Community Improvement Districts, especially near the airport, industrial districts, and along major commercial corridors | x | | X | | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber/ Private | Private/ County | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2028 |
| 20 | Encourage establishment of businesses and activities that will provide for activity in the evening hours, particularly entertainment, shopping and dining options | Х | | X | | X | Staff time (could range from min- imal \$ for ordinance/ overlay re- write to more \$ for targeted recruitment and market- ing effort) | Chamber of Commerce/ CDD-P&Z | County/ Tourism funds | Currently underway | This is now a Clayton Chamber of Commerce project |
| 21 | Conduct redevelopment studies for declining or vacant strip shopping centers and "big-box" commercial structures throughout the County | X | | Х | | | \$20,000 per study | Clayton County Development Authority | General Fund / Grants / ARC – LCI Program | Completed | |

| # | Activity | | | nefra | | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|----|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | '24 | Cost Economic | Dept./Agency Development | Source t | | |
| 22 | Form and coordinate business associations for each of the County's commercial corridors | | | X | | 0 | Staff time | Econ. Dev. Office | Grants/ Staff time | Completed | |
| 23 | Develop a current marketing plan for the County which determines the most effective media for promoting opportunities in Clayton County; i.e. magazines, Web sites, advertising, etc. | х | | | | | Staff time | Clayton County Public Information Office/Econ. Dev. Office/ Chamber of Commerce | Staff time | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2028 |
| 24 | Identify and develop plans for the revitalization of declining or vacant strip shopping centers and "big-box" commercial structures throughout the County | х | | X | | | Staff time | CDD-P&Z/ Dev. Auth. | General Fund | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2025 |
| 25 | Pursue funding assistance for the continuation of efforts to improve the Tara Boulevard entrance to Clayton County | X | | X | | X | \$400,000 | CDD-P&Z/ Dev. Auth. | Staff time | Completed | |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ime | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|---|--|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Activity | ' 20 | '21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | 1 | | - | С | ommunity | Developmen | nt | | |
| 1 | Determine land use requirements that would support the concept of Aerotropolis | Х | х | Х | Х | Х | Staff time | CDD-P&Z | Staff time | Currently underway | Mountain View Overlay and update was completed September 2020 |
| 2 | Revise residential building codes to increase minimum quality level acceptable | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | CDD-P&Z | Staff time | Completed | Codified Residential Architectural Standards completed September 2023 |
| 3 | Develop a Planning Handbook to be used as an educational tool and quick reference by the Zoning Advisory Group and County Commissioners | х | x | Х | х | х | \$5,000 | CDD-P&Z | General Fund | Completed | Provided updated municode with recent code changes to Zoning Advisory Group in October 2023 |
| 4 | Pursue funding assistance for the continuation of efforts to improve the Tara Boulevard entrance to Clayton County | X | | | | | \$400,000 | CDD-P&Z/ ARC | ARC | Currently underway | Received a LCI grant and project is currently underway |
| 5 | Pursue funding for streetscape improvements to enhance the visual quality of Clayton County's major roadways | X | | | | | Staff time | CDD-P&Z/ Transporta- tion | Staff time | Currently underway | This project has been reprogrammed into the SPLOST 2020. |
| 6 | Develop a formal forum for coordination between the Clayton County Board of Education and Clayton County with regard to new schools and residential developments deemed to have a significant impact on school capacity | X | X | Х | Х | X | Staff time | CDD-P&Z / CCPS | Staff time | Currently underway | This currently exists and ongoing through the coordination of a standing committee - Clayton County Government and Clayton County Board of Education intergovernmental cooperation. |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | |
| 1 | Roadway Capacity Improvements - Battle Creek Road from Southlake Pkwy to Valley Hill Road | X | X | X | X | X | \$6,826,000 | Transportation /GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2027; this item is being combined with item 2 for a total \$100,000,000 project |
| 2 | Roadway Capacity Improvements - Mt. Zion Blvd from Southlake Pkwy to Lake Harbin Rd. | x | x | х | x | Х | \$6,370,000 | Transportation /GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2027; this item is being combined with item 1 for a total \$100,000,000 project |
| 3 | Roadway Capacity Improvements - Flint River Rd. from Glenwood Rd. to Kendrick Rd | X | | | | | \$2,600,000 | Transportation /GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | Completed | |
| 4 | Conley Road/ Aviation Blvd Extension from I-285 to St R 3 | X | X | X | X | X | \$11,150,000 | Transportation /GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | Completed | |
| 5 | Roadway Capacity Improvements – Godby Road from Southampton Rd. to SR 314 | X | X | X | X | х | \$3,085,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Completed | |
| 6 | Road improvements to support other SPLOST Capital Projects | X | X | X | X | X | \$1,000,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Completed | |
| 7 | Traffic Signal, Signing and Pavement marking-related improvement in unincorporated areas | X | X | X | X | Х | \$5,500,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ime | | Estimated Cost | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|----|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | neuvity | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Listinated Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | | | | | Transpo | ortation | | | |
| 8 | County-wide sidewalk construction | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$5,500,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |
| 9 | C-Tran Buses, para- transit vehicles and bus shelters | Х | х | Х | х | х | \$7,000,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Completed | Taken over by MARTA |
| 10 | Miscellaneous safety improvements in unincorporated areas | X | X | X | X | X | \$2,000,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Completed | |
| 11 | Bridge/culvert upgrades and replacements in unincorporated areas | Х | X | X | X | X | \$4,000,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |
| 12 | CEI Services for projects | Х | X | Х | Х | X | \$1,000,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |
| 13 | Street Resurfacing in unincorporated areas Maintenance | Х | X | Х | Х | X | \$60,000,000 | Transportation | SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |
| 14 | Stockbridge Road: 5-Foot Sidewalks and Accessible Crossings along ARC Regionally Significant Transportation System (RSTS) Routes North McDonough Street to Walt Stephens Road | X | | | | | \$99,266 | Transportation / GDOT | 2004 SPLOSTCC | No longer relevant | Majority of this project is within Jonesboro city limits so this is not relevant to the County |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|----|--|-------------|-----|-------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | neuvity | ' 20 | '21 | '22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Otatus | Comments |
| | | | | | | | Transpo | ortation | | | |
| 15 | C.W. Grant Parkway (Aviation Boulevard) – Pedestrian improvements for transit corridor From International Parkway to US 19/41-SR 3 (Old Dixie Highway) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$152,113 | Transportation / GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | No longer relevant | Transit corridor priorities have shifted and this project is no longer a transit priority |
| 16 | Flint River Road – Pedestrian improvements for transit corridor From Taylor Road to Flint River Crossing | Х | X | Х | X | Х | \$124,032 | Transportation / GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | Completed | Completed as part of Item 3 |
| 17 | Godby Road – Pedestrian improvements to fill gaps in system From Southampton Road to Phoenix Parkway | X | X | X | X | X | \$3,085,000 | Transportation / GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | Completed | Competed as part of Item 5 |
| 18 | C.W. Grant Parkway Grade Rail Separation At Norfolk Southern RR Line - Includes realignment of Conley Road and US 19/41 in vicinity | X | X | X | X | X | \$36,781,418 | Transportation / GDOT | 2014-2019 TIP/ County | Completed | Completed as part of Item 4 |
| 19 | Roadway Maintenance and Resurfacing | X | X | X | Х | X | \$32,400,000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |
| 20 | Traffic Signals, Signage and Striping | X | X | Х | Х | X | \$44 , 650 , 000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |
| 21 | Bridge/Culvert upgrades, Repairs and Replacements | X | X | X | X | X | \$4,750,000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going |

| # | Activity | Timeframe '20 '21 '22 '23 '24 | | | | '24 | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | Status | Comments | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|-----|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Transportation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Roadway Safety Improvements | X | X | X | x | x | \$3,500,000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going | |
| 23 | Sidewalk Installation | х | x | х | х | х | \$13,500,000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going | |
| 24 | Valley Hill Rd. Widening (Battle Creek Rd. to City of Riverdale) | x | X | X | X | X | \$17,300,000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Currently underway | Under construction; anticipated completion 2026 | |
| 25 | Gilbert Rd. Reconstruction (Mountain View Area) | X | X | х | х | х | \$4,750,000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Completed | | |
| 26 | Landscaping/ Lighting | X | X | X | X | X | \$684,279 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Currently underway | On-going | |
| 27 | Transit Capital | X | X | X | X | X | \$5,000,000 | Transportation | 2015-2020 SPLOST | Completed | | |

| # | Activity | Timeframe '20 '21 '22 '23 '24 | | | ' 24 | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | Status | Comments | |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| School System | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Eddie White Elementary School 14 | | X | | | | \$15M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | Completed | Completed in 2021 for \$40M |
| 2 | New 57 Classroom Middle School (Forest Park) | | | X | | | \$15M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST | Currently underway | Under-construction, anticipated to be complete by 2025 for \$75M |
| 3 | Middle School #8 | х | | | | | \$18M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST | No longer relevant | No longer a necessary project based on BOE strategic building focus |
| 4 | Lovejoy HS Auxiliary | | | Х | | | \$16M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | Currently underway | Project expanded to a modernization of the entire facility for \$110,000,000; anticipated to be complete by 2026 |
| 5 | General Renovations at Existing Schools | x | x | х | X | X | \$95M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | Currently underway | On-going, anticipated spending from 2024-2028 is \$800,000,000 |
| 4 | A | Ì | Tir | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | S | | | |
|---|---|-------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|
| # | Activity | ' 20 | '21 | '22 | '23 | '24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments | | |
| | Intergovernmental Coordination | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Officially launch the Clayton County Economic Development Collaborative (CCEDC) which was started in 2013 by the COO which will allow the County and Cities to unite to build the economic landscape for the County and monitor the progress of the economic development strategic plan | х | X | x | X | х | Staff time | County Commission/ COO's Office | Staff time | Currently underway | Ongoing | | |
| 2 | Create a coordinated channel between the County and Cities to be updated on the progress of the 2009-2015 SPLOST programs for projects that are executed in the Cities and unincorporated areas | х | X | x | x | х | Staff time | COO's Office | 2015 and 2021 SPLOST | Currently underway | Ongoing | | |
| 3 | Create coordinated channels for economic development between County and surrounding Counties to plan economic landscape for the region | x | X | x | X | x | Staff time | County Commission., COO's Office, Econ. Dev. Depart, neighboring Counties | Staff time | Currently underway | Ongoing | | |
| 4 | Develop a County-wide recycling and sanitation program to assist with beautification across the County | X | X | X | X | | Staff time | County Commission. COO's Office | Staff time | Currently underway | Ongoing | | |
| 5 | Assess and amend the County's current dispute resolution process as needed to ensure its effectiveness | х | х | | | | Staff time | County Commission | Staff time | Currently underway | Ongoing | | |
| 6 | Update procedures to include only two opportunities for Future Land Use Map amendments per year | X | | | | | Staff time | Intergovernmental Coordination/ Community Development Department | Staff time | Completed | | | |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|---|--|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| # | Activity | ' 20 | '21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | | | | | Parks a | nd Recreatio | n | | |
| 1 | (Morrow/Lake City Park) Convert the concrete pad behind the concession building to a basketball court | | X | | | | \$10,000 | Parks and Recreation | General/ SPLOST/ REC | Currently underway | Project underway with anticipated completion 2025; project scope increased due to foundation problems and building demolition needed increasing cost to \$250,000 |
| 2 | (Jim Huie Recreation Center) Educational Program area | | | х | | | Cost determined at time of scope | Parks and Recreation | General/ SPLOST/ REC | Currently underway | On-going; anticipated completion 2028; Working with the Huie Foundation to get additional land donated to the Greenspace Program; cost anticipated at \$35,000 |
| 3 | (Independence Park) Landscaping around park to assist with crime prevention. | x | х | x | x | Х | Cost determined at time of scope | Parks and Recreation | General/ SPLOST/ REC | Currently underway | Work in Progress. Improvements have been made to the park to include lighting, tree removal, fencing repairs, etc. Plans are underway to add a restroom facility, construct a modular bathroom. Anticipated cost \$200,000 |
| 4 | 10 additional swing sets needed throughout the County (specifically for toddlers), | | х | | | | \$30,000 | Parks and Recreation | General/ SPLOST/ REC/ HUD Grant | Currently underway | Some playgrounds will be replaced using SPLOST Funding. Our playgrounds are becoming very outdated and we need more advance equipment to stay relevant in the recreation field. Anticipated completion 2026 for \$1,500,000 |
| 5 | Toddler play features in parks adjacent to existing playgrounds | X | X | Х | | | Cost determined at time of scope | Parks and Recreation | General/ SPLOST/ REC | Currently underway | On-going and to be combined with the above item; Our playgrounds will be replaced using SPLOST funding. Our playgrounds are becoming very outdated and we need more advance equipment to stay relevant in the recreation field. |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|---|--|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | Activity | ' 20 | '21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | | | | | Lib | rary System | | | |
| 1 | New Headquarters Library | X | X | X | X | X | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | Staff time | No longer relevant | No longer an activity we are pursuing at this time as priorities have shifted and this facility is no longer needed . |
| 2 | Build more meeting rooms at Headquarters, move Jonesboro to Headquarters library location | | | X | X | X | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | Staff time | No longer relevant | Similar to item 1, priorities have shifted and this facility is no longer needed. |
| 3 | Landscape all libraries | X | X | | | | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | Staff time | Currently underway | On-going. BOC Initiative. Unaware of when the new contract will take affect and library landscaping needs will be addressed. |
| 4 | Repair roof at Headquarters | X | | | | | \$47,000 | Clayton County Library System | SPLOST/ GPLS | Completed | B&M worked with contractor to repair roof leaks at HQ. Work was completed Spring of 2023. |
| 5 | Repair roof at Morrow | X | | | | | \$23,000 | Clayton County Library System | SPLOST/ GPLS | Currently underway | On-going. Work has not begun yet on Morrow Roof |
| 6 | Repair bathroom wall at Riverdale | X | | | | | \$23,000 | Clayton County Library System | SPLOST/ GPLS | Completed | Project completed 2023 |
| 7 | Renovate bathrooms at Headquarters | X | X | | | | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | SPLOST | Completed | Work was completed in early 2023 |
| 8 | Family Computer Lab | X | X | | | | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | To be determined | Completed | Project completed 2023 |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|----|--|-------------|-----|-------|-------------|-----|---|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Activity | ' 20 | '21 | '22 | ' 23 | '24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | | | | | Lib | rary System | | | |
| 9 | Repair Circulation Desk at all Branch Locations | X | | | | | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | General Fund | Currently underway | Circulation desk at HQ has been recently painted. No other repairs have taken place |
| 10 | Paint library interior at all locations | Х | | | | | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | General Fund | Currently underway | Minor interior touch up painting has taken place at HQ, LJ, RIV |
| 11 | Purchase additional volumes for each branch to meet the State's minimum level of service standards | Х | Х | X | X | X | \$638,000/ year (\$441,000 proposed) | Clayton County Library System | General Fund | Currently underway | We purchase titles for each branch on an annual basis |
| 12 | Implement a plan to replace public and staff computers at the County's public libraries every three years | | | X | x | Х | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | General Fund | Currently underway | Working with County IT on refresh schedule |
| 13 | Construct 20,000 sq. ft. Library in NW Clayton County (land acquisition, new books, design and construction) | x | X | | | | \$7,600 | Clayton County Library System | 2009 SPLOST | Completed | Completed 2020 |
| 14 | Improvement and renovation of existing Libraries | x | x | Х | x | Х | \$55,000 | Clayton County Library System | 2009 SPLOST & State Funds | Currently underway | On-going |

| # | Activity | ' 20 | Tir '21 | nefra '22 | ıme 23 | ' 24 | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | Status | Comments | |
|---|--|-------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | Police Department | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Police vehicles with equipment | X | X | X | X | X | \$2M | Clayton County Police Department | SPLOST 2015 | Currently underway | Anticipated completion 2025, Only a couple of vehicles remaining on project. Approximately 95% completed. | |
| 2 | Police helicopter replacement | | | | X | Х | \$4.5M | Clayton County Police Department | SPLOST 2015 | Completed | Completed 2022 | |
| 3 | Police mobile command vehicle | X | | | | | \$1.3M | Clayton County Police Department | SPLOST 2015 | Completed | Completed 2020 | |
| 4 | Construction of a Sector 2 Police Precinct located in the NW section of Clayton County | Х | | | | | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Police Department | Staff time | Completed | Completed 2020 | |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-------------|---------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| # | Activity | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | '23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | с | ° | ° | | | Fire and EM | IS | | |
| 1 | Develop and implement new EMS Delivery Model for Clayton County | X | X | X | X | X | Will vary based on model. | Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services | General Fund | Currently underway | CCFES has implemented three of the four phases of the EMS system delivery model. Phase four was funded partly in FYE 19 and fully in FYE 20. With the onset of the COVID-19 Pandemic, the EMS system delivery model was placed on- hold as a worldwide pandemic became our top concern. Years later we have emerged from the pandemic and placed our focus back on the EMS system delivery model. With the addition of 2 Mobile Health Clinics, the approval of a new 10,000 Square Foot Clinic (In the design phase), as well as dedicated Clinical Social Worker, we are back on track. It is our hopes to finalize the new EMS system delivery model by 2025. |
| 2 | Implement vehicle replacement initiative for EMS and Fire | X | Х | Х | Х | X | \$8M | Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services | 2015 SPLOST/ Fire tax/ General Fund | Completed | CCFES along with Transportation and Development have successfully replaced a large portion of the fleet assigned to CCFES. As part of the 2015 SPLOST, the following units were replaced: 11 Ambulances, 7 Pumpers, 2 Mini Pumpers and an assortment of administrative vehicle's. CCFES and Fleet maintenance remain committed to assessing and replacing vehicles to ensure a safe operating environment for our employees and citizens. This item was completed in 2024. |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments | | |
|---|--|-------------|-----|-------|-----|-------------|-----------|---|------------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| | | ' 20 | '21 | '22 | '23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | | | |
| | Fire and EMS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Build new fire stations to address 2012 ISO evaluation. (Stockbridge and Lovejoy) | X | X | х | X | X | \$4M | Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services | Fire tax/ General Fund | Postponed | Needs assessment and risk analysis study completed by the department, coupled with the addition of Lake City to our coverage area changed the plans for these two stations. Funding for the following Station relocation/additions have been secured: Fire Station #1, Fire Station #2, Fire Station #9, Fire Station #15 and Fire & Emergency Services Headquarters. The department will still seek to add additional station's in Stockbridge and Lovejoy to meet the needs of the 2016 Standard of Cover Report as funding is identified and positions allocated by the BOC. | | |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | Status | Comments |
| | | | | | | | Wat | er Authority | | | |
| 1 | Emergency Generator – Hooper WPP | | X | | | | \$300,000 | CCWA | User Fees | Completed | Completed 2022; increased to \$400,000 |
| 2 | Sewer system rehabilitation | X | X | X | X | X | \$1M/year | CCWA | User Fees/ General Fund | Completed | Completed 2023; increased to \$8,500,000/ year |
| 3 | Galvanized Water Main Replacement | х | х | х | х | Х | \$1M/year | CCWA | User Fees | Completed | Completed 2023; increased to \$6,500,000/ year |
| 4 | Stormwater System Rehabilitation | X | X | X | X | X | \$1M/year | CCWA | User Fees | Currently underway | On-going; this represents ongoing annual spending for continual renewal of stormwater infrastructure; increased to \$3,500,000/year |
| 5 | Emergency Generator - all Water Facilities | X | | | | | \$3M | CCWA | User Fees | Currently underway | Walnut Creek is complete; but his is an ongoing task. Jonesboro Repump Station - currently has a natural gas engine that runs only 1 pump; a new generator for this entire station is anticipated; Noah's Ark Repump Station - generator will be upgraded in the future. Estimated cost has been increased to \$9,000,000 |

| # | Activity | | Tin | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | Status | Comments | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | ŗ | ' 20 | ' 21 | ' 22 | ' 23 | ' 24 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | | | |
| | Building and Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Renovate Old 911 Building 7946 N. McDonough St. Jonesboro, GA 30236 | х | х | X | Х | Х | \$130,000 - 150,000 | Buildings and Maintenance | General Funds | Currently underway | Ongoing; cost increased to \$1.5 million with funds also coming from 2015 SPLOST | | |
| 2 | Adopt a County wide policy of using multi- story construction for all public buildings when feasible | | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Building and / CCPS | General Funds | No longer relevant | This policy is no longer relevant as it is limiting and cost prohibitive | | |
| 3 | Annex remodel of 3rd and 4th floors | Х | | | | | \$467,000 | Building and Maintenance | Staff time | Completed | Completed 2020 | | |
| 4 | Jonesboro Library - New roof | | | | X | Х | \$195,000 | Building and Maintenance | Staff time | No longer relevant | Building Demolished in 2024, so this item is no longer relevant | | |
| 5 | 123 N. Main Street - New roof | | | х | х | х | \$35,000 | Building and Maintenance | Staff time | Completed | Completed in 2022; cost was \$135,000 | | |

O2 ASSESSMENT THE TAKEOFF

02 ASSESSMENT: THE TAKEOFF

The following section is a compilation of recent and/or relevant studies, documents, and visionary plans which have informed, overlapped with, or otherwise influenced this 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update. These sources provide valuable data, key insight, and diverse perspectives. These studies collectively contribute to the plan update and help to ensure a holistic and informed approach to shaping Clayton County's vision and future development.

2.1 EXISTING STUDIES

State Route 54 Alignment Evaluation (2023)

State Route 54 (SR 54) plays a vital role as a major corridor connecting Clayton County's communities to the greater Atlanta region. The route connects Clayton State University, Southlake Mall, and the Cities of Forest Park, Jonesboro and Lovejoy to the Hartsfield-Jackson International Airport, and the Cities of East Point and Hapeville. Key components under consideration include fully dedicated Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) lanes, Transit Signal Priority (TSP) technology, near-level boarding/off-boarding ticket collection, and unique vehicle branding.

This ongoing study project is open to public input and feedback, with the aim of incorporating community perspectives into the planning process. The anticipated timeline aims to have the BRT lanes operational by 2030, signaling a significant investment in sustainable and efficient transportation infrastructure for Clayton County.



Image Caption: SR 54 BRT Potential Connections Source: Connect Clayton (2023)

Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Study (2023)

Clayton County recently conducted an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing (AI) study, as required after receiving a grant from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The study, informed by extensive community input, aimed to identify barriers to fair and affordable housing and provide recommendations to address these challenges.

Key findings from the AI study highlighted various concerns, including a lack of quality and diverse housing options for seniors, displacement risks, and inadequate housing options across income levels. In response, the study outlined five prioritized fair housing goals:

- 1. Increase Quality, Variety, and Affordability: Implement strategies to enhance the quality, diversity, and affordability of housing stock in Clayton County, ensuring that residents have access to suitable and affordable housing options.
- 2. Enhance Housing Choice for People with Disabilities: Work to increase housing choice and accessibility for individuals with disabilities, ensuring that housing options accommodate diverse needs and preferences.
- 3. Invest in Underserved Areas: Prioritize investment in areas with high poverty rates and limited access to resources and services, aiming to improve living conditions and promote equitable development across the county.
- 4. Promote Economic Opportunities: Increase engagement in the labor market and expand access to higherpaying job opportunities, addressing economic disparities and supporting residents' financial stability.
- 5. Expand Fair Housing Education: Strengthen fair housing education initiatives in Clayton County to raise awareness about housing rights, promote inclusive practices, and combat discrimination in housing.

By prioritizing these fair housing goals, Clayton County aims to foster inclusive, equitable, and vibrant communities where all residents have access to safe, affordable, and suitable housing options. Implementation of the AI study recommendations will be essential in advancing fair housing principles and promoting positive outcomes for residents throughout the county.

Tara Boulevard Livable Center Initiative (2023)

The Tara Boulevard Livable Center Initiative (LCI) Study is a comprehensive initiative aimed at reimagining the Tara Boulevard corridor, as part of a shared vision for Clayton County, and the cities of Jonesboro and Lovejoy. The study is focused on identifying opportunities for housing, services, and mobility improvements and included an evaluation of transportation, land use, economic factors, and community needs to understand the corridor's strengths and weaknesses.

At the time of writing, the plan is in its "Framework" phase, which involves the translation of the vision into an actionable plan. This is being accomplished through the establishment of various implementable elements, such as design land use strategies, transportation improvements, and urban design guidelines. Initial feedback from community open houses included walkability and safety concerns, desire for streetscape revitalization, flood mitigation, and downtown development.



Image Caption: Tara Boulevard LCI Public Design Workshop Flyer Source: POND (2024)

Clayton County 2034 Comprehensive Plan Update (2019) and Amended (2022)

The Comprehensive Plan serves as a critical tool for elected and public officials, providing essential guidance by establishing policies, priorities, and a community vision for development within Clayton County. It outlines where, how, and what types of development should occur, offering direction on land use decisions, zoning regulations, and capital improvements. By doing so, it creates a framework for evaluating development proposals, ensuring credibility and predictability in land use decisions for citizens and developers alike.

Moreover, the plan incorporates criteria for assessing development proposals, including compatibility with surrounding land uses and compliance with environmental regulations. Its overarching goal is to foster sustainable growth and development in Clayton County, emphasizing the creation of vibrant, walkable communities accessible all residents. Additionally, the plan to addresses challenges related to economic development, transportation, and housing, while safeguarding the county's natural and cultural resources.

In 2022, an amendment was made to the 2018 Comprehensive Plan to support the Bus Rapid Transit efforts in the County.

The 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update builds upon the foundation laid by the previous plan, reassessing goals, action items, and recommendations to reflect evolving needs and priorities. This iterative process ensures that the plan remains responsive to changing circumstances and continues to serve as a valuable tool for guiding responsible development and enhancing the overall quality of life in Clayton County.



Image Caption: Clayton County 2034 Comprehensive Plan, Amended Source: Clayton County (2022)

MARTA's Clayton County High-Capacity Transit Transit-Supportive Land Use Study (2022)

MARTA's Transit Supportive Land Use Study was initiated to enhance transit support along Clayton County's highcapacity corridors. The study was guided by three primary goals:

- 1. Secure Funding for Transit Lines: Position Clayton County's transit lines to attract funding opportunities, ensuring the continued development and expansion of transit infrastructure to meet the needs of residents and visitors.
- 2. Develop Transit Supportive Zoning: Create zoning regulations that are conducive to transit-supportive development while also aligning with the unique characteristics and preferences of local communities. These zoning policies aim to promote mixed-use development, enhance walkability, and foster people-friendly designs.
- 3. Promote Economic Development: Position Clayton County as an attractive destination for future economic development by leveraging transit infrastructure as a catalyst for growth and investment. By enhancing transit accessibility and connectivity, the county aims to attract businesses, stimulate job creation, and support sustainable economic prosperity.

Additionally, the study embraced an "unofficial goal" of fostering collaboration and cohesion along the transit corridors. By encouraging stakeholders to work together towards common objectives, the study sought to build a sense of community and shared purpose among residents, businesses, and government entities along the corridors.

Overall, the Transit Supportive Land Use Study aimed to enhance Clayton County's capacity to support higher-density development, create vibrant and diverse mixed-use environments, improve walkability, promote people-centric design principles, and effectively manage parking to ensure the success and sustainability of transit-oriented development initiatives.

Design



Image Caption: Graphic depicting the 'Big 'Five'' goals of the Transit Supportive Land Use Study Source: Connect Clayton (2023)



Image Caption: Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint 2.0 Source: Aerotropolis Atlanta (2024)

The Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint 2.0 (2022)

The original Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint, first published in 2016, underwent a significant update in 2022, reflecting new insights and priorities following the formalization of a workforce development component within the Aerotropolis Community Improvement Districts (CIDs), along with the development of a comprehensive implementation action plan. This update involved reassessing targeted economic sectors, identifying catalytic sites, and fostering consensus among key stakeholders, compiling a series of catalytic sites throughout the region that could be transformed into nodes or major gateways to the airport, supporting the plan's unified vision.

The Atlanta Airport region's transit priorities are centered on enhancing multimodal connectivity, promoting transitoriented development, and improving user experience through strategic infrastructure upgrades within a ten-mile radius of the Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (H-JAIA). Key initiatives include improving walking and biking amenities, introducing on-demand micro-mobility options, developing the Aero Corporate Crescent for better east-west connectivity, and expanding the regional trail network. Coordination with stakeholders such as MARTA and the Atlanta Regional Commission is essential for funding and the implementation, of a more integrated and user-friendly transit system.

Throughout the Blueprint 2.0 update process, elected officials from the associated counties and cities within the region were actively engaged. Their input and perspectives were integral to ensuring that the final document accurately reflected the vision, goals, and values of their constituents. This collaborative approach underscores the commitment to inclusive decision-making and community involvement in shaping the future of the Aerotropolis Atlanta region.

Clayton County Highway 138 CID Feasibility Study (2022)

In 2022, Clayton County, in collaboration with the City of Stockbridge and Henry County undertook a study along State Route (SR) 138, which includes a portion traversing southeast Clayton County and intersecting with I-675. SR 138 serves as a significant roadway and is designated for several critical action items in cities within Clayton County, such as Riverdale and Jonesboro. The focus of the investigation was the CID boundary located on the Clayton-Henry County border near the city of Stockbridge. The study revealed that a majority of the parcels within Clayton County were CID exempt or non-eligible.

As of late 2022, the study is pending confirmation of the CID formation process. This indicates that further steps are required to formalize the establishment of the CID, likely involving coordination and approval from relevant authorities and stakeholders.



Image Caption: Highway 138 CID Feasibility Study Map Source: Clayton County (2022)

AeroATL Greenway Model Mile (2021)

In 2017, the Aerotropolis Atlanta Alliance and Aerotropolis Atlanta Community Improvement Districts (AACIDs) collaborated to develop the AeroATL Greenway Plan, building upon concepts introduced in the previous Aerotropolis Atlanta Alliance Blueprint. The AeroATL Greenway Plan aimed to implement several key recommendations, including the following:

- 1. Connecting communities to local amenities and essential services,
- 2. Establishing connections to and encircling Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (H-JAIA),
- 3. Enhancing economic development prospects in Aerotropolis downtown areas and future development zones,
- 4. Creating a distinctive system tailored to the needs of South Metro Atlanta.



Image Caption: AeroATL Greenway Model Miles Source: Aerotropolis Atlanta Alliance (2021)

As part of this plan, a Model Mile was identified within Clayton County. This Model Mile encompasses a 1.2-mile multi-purpose trail along the Flint River, linking the Loop Road trail to Forest Parkway. Additionally, there is a 1-mile segment in Forest Park, extending from Starr Park-Fountain Elementary to Forest Parkway along Lake Drive and West Street. These segments serve as key components of the AeroATL Greenway, fostering connectivity and accessibility while promoting outdoor recreation and economic vitality in the region.

Tara Boulevard Corridor Study (2021)

The Tara Boulevard Corridor Study was undertaken with the goals of reducing traffic congestion, enhancing operations, and improving connectivity at key locations along the corridor. A significant recommendation arising from the study was the implementation of a one-lane, bidirectional Elevated Priced Arterial Lane (EPAL) south of SR 54 at the on- and off-ramps of I-75. Additionally, it was proposed to expand Tara Boulevard from four lanes to six lanes between Tara Road and Lovejoy Road.

Furthermore, the study identified several intersections in need of operational and/or safety enhancements, resulting in the formulation of twelve recommended traffic operational improvement concepts along the corridor.



Image Caption: Tara Boulevard Corridor Study Source: Georgia Department of Transportation (2021)

Clayton County Water Authority 2020 Strategic Master Plan (2020)

The Clayton County Water Authority (CCWA) plays a vital role in providing essential services such as water, wastewater, and stormwater management to residents across seven cities within the county. Renowned for its sustainable and innovative practices, CCWA has been at the forefront of infrastructure development since its strategic planning process commenced in 1960. Regular updates to its strategic plan ensures that priority projects are identified to meet the evolving needs of the community.

The 2020 master plan represents a comprehensive approach to addressing infrastructure needs, with a focus on various categories including Utility-wide initiatives, Information Technology, Stormwater Management, Water Production, Distribution and Conveyance, Water Reclamation, and General Services. Through a meticulous process, projects were identified, scored, and projected over a twentyyear timeline, emphasizing watershed protection and stormwater management as key priorities.



Image Caption: Clayton County Water Authority 2020 Strategic Master Plan Source: Clayton County Water Authority (2020)

Freight Cluster Plan (2019)

The Aerotropolis Atlanta Community Improvement District (AACID) conducted a comprehensive fourteen-month study focusing on freight industry operations within the South Metro area. This study involved analyzing the movement of goods throughout the region and assessing both current and future conditions of freight transportation. Key findings indicated a projected seventy-six percent increase in freight movement across the Atlanta region from 2013 to 2040, with forty-five percent of jobs in the study area linked to freight-dependent industries.

The study provided the following recommendations:

- 1. Improve freight operations to uphold economic competitiveness.
- 2. Enhance safety within freight operations.
- 3. Facilitate stakeholder engagement.
- 4. Conduct strategic investment planning.



Image Caption: Freight Cluster Plan Technical Memorandum Source: Aerotropolis Atlanta CIDs (2019)

Aerotropolis Atlanta Land Use Analysis and Recommendations (2019)

In 2019, Aerotropolis Atlanta initiated a multijurisdictional land use assessment with technical support from the Atlanta Regional Commission's (ARC) Community Development Assistance Program (CDAP). This assessment was prompted by Action Items outlined in the previous Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint and focused on analyzing land use within critical transportation corridors and jurisdictional boundaries.

The assessment process involved categorizing challenges, opportunities, and recommendations across different study subareas. Many of these recommendations emphasized the need for enhancing walkability, improving traffic management, fostering collaboration among stakeholders, and achieving greater coordination and cohesion in large-scale zoning efforts.



Aerotropolis Atlanta Land Use Analysis and Recommendations





Image Caption: AACID Transit Feasibility Study Summary and Recommendations Source: AACID (2019)

AACID Transit Feasibility Study (2019)

Source: Aerotropolis Atlanta (2019)

In 2019, the AACID embarked on a feasibility study guided by an aspirational vision: "Transit in the South Metro area should provide easy and efficient movement of people to live, work, play, and travel without relying on the automobile." This vision underscored the importance of establishing a comprehensive transit system to enhance mobility and accessibility within the region. In support of this venture, the study outlined three major elements:

- 1. The installation of bus and shuttle shelters, where existing stops with high boardings would take priority.
- 2. A focus on first- and last-mile connectivity, such as sidewalks to key bus stops with high boardings.
- 3. The allocation of wayfinding signage to key transit amenities.

Immediate actions recommended in the feasibility study included the implementation of the Mobility District, high-quality bus stops and shelters, and increased collaboration between local and regional agencies to encourage the first- and last-mile upgrades.

Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) (2018)

In 2018, the Clayton County Department of Transportation and Development undertook the task of updating its 2008 Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP). This initiative aimed to articulate a forward-looking vision for the county's transportation network, ensuring it could effectively meet the evolving needs of residents both presently and in the future. The CTP update lists the following goals and objectives:

- Enhance and maintain the transportation system to meet existing and future needs.
- Ensure the transportation system promotes and supports appropriate land use and development.
- Encourage and support safety and security.
- Improve connectivity and accessibility.
- Enhance mobility for all users of the transportation system.
- Promote and support economic development and redevelopment.
- Improve quality of life, preserve the environment, and protect neighborhood integrity.
- Engage the public with effective outreach strategies.

Careful consideration has been given to aligning this comprehensive plan update to the CTP goals, recommendations, and actionable steps.

Clayton County Transit Initiative (2018)

The Clayton County Transit Initiative began in early 2015 with the intention of improving the following transit factors in the county: 1) local bus services, 2) bus stop amenities, 3) high-capacity transit, and 4) park-and-ride facilities, and maintenance facilities. Through public outreach, surveying, and technical data, the initiative developed multiple transit recommendations across seven high-priority corridors to provide greater access to jobs and education, improve regional connections and travel options, address growing demand for transit, and support land use and development. These recommendations spanned high-capacity transit network routes, a new operations and maintenance facility, and additional transit amenities and programming.



Image Caption: Clayton County Comprehensive Transportation Plan Source: Clayton County (2018)



Image Caption: Clayton County Transit Initiative Stakeholder Advisory Committee Webinar Source: MARTA Planning (2017)

Huie Nature Preserve Master Plan (2017)

The Huie Nature Preserve Foundation, overseen by the Clayton County Water Authority (CCWA), is an environmental conservation organization dedicated to managing a vast site spanning over 4,000 acres. This preserve plays a crucial role in supporting water and wastewater services in Clayton County while also serving as a valuable resource for environmental education and public engagement. Through the master plan, the Foundation aimed to enhance the Huie Nature Preserve's role as a valuable community asset while furthering its mission of environmental conservation and education.



Image Caption: Huie Nature Preserve Master Plan Source: Clayton County Water Authority (2017)

Comprehensive Development Strategy to Implement the Atlanta Aerotropolis: Recommendations for the Mountain View Area (2017)

In 2014, the Clayton County Board of Commissioners approved the Mountain View Livable Centers Initiative study, focusing on the development of the roughly 712-acre area located just east of Hartsfield-Jackson International Airport. This initiative aimed to create a vibrant and sustainable community that enhances livability, economic vitality, and connectivity within the Mountain View area.

Subsequently, in 2017, the Urban Land Institute (ULI) conducted a Technical Assistance Panel to further refine the development vision for Mountain View and formulate a Comprehensive Development Strategy study. The study compiled the following recommendations:

- Create a new comprehensive zoning district overlay that incentivizes new investment in a way that is compatible with the vision for the area.
- Implement form-based zoning in Mountain View will help remove uncertainty and exclude undesired uses
- Mountain View maintains the current rezoning moratorium and consider a moratorium on building permits to prevent new projects that are not in concert with the long-term vision.
- Incorporate a public process and utilize outside resources including an outside consultant to assist with these efforts to move the ball forward.
- Co-branding Mountain View as part of Aerotropolis Atlanta. Panel suggests capitalizing on the airport and Aerotropolis names, branding this area within Aerotropolis as the "international Gateway".
- Develop a core district should be defined carefully crafted to highlight the unique authenticity of the area.

By implementing these recommendations, the Mountain View area has the potential to become a thriving hub of activity, innovation, and economic opportunity, contributing to the overall prosperity and vitality of Clayton County and the surrounding region.



Image Caption: Comprehensive Development Strategy to Implement the Atlanta Aerotropolis Source: Urban Land Institute (2017)

The Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint 1.0 (2016)

The Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint serves as a vision strategy for the region which leverages the Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport as a major factor and driver in economic investment, job growth, and overall quality of life in the immediate and surrounding areas. The Blueprint listed three goals:

- 1. Guide the allocation of industry in Aerotropolis Atlanta and identify projects and initiatives that benefit multiple economic clusters and stakeholders.
- 2. Connect multiple strategic goals and principles into a conceptual framework that fosters discussion, captures the imagination, and communicates the vision of the Aerotropolis.
- 3. To continue to evolve and grow the region for both industries and residents that occupy the community.

Atlanta Regional Freight Mobility Plan Update (2016)

The 2008 Atlanta Regional Freight Mobility Plan aimed to better accommodate the increasing movement of freight, goods, and services in the Atlanta region through the identification and programming of key improvements. The plan envisioned these mobility enhancements to support both people and goods, and to mitigate congestion, safety concerns, and any negative impacts on the environment and quality of life.

The 2016 update to the 2008 Atlanta Regional Freight Mobility Plan sought to: assess the plan given any changes to current conditions and projected forecasts; update the plan according to recent policies changes at the federal, state, and local levels; support the development of a FAST Act compliant Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) with consideration of corresponding freight provisions; identify key projects at national, state, and regional levels; and define methods of project investment and responsive strategies.



Image Caption: The Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint 1.0 Source: Aerotropolis Atlanta (2016)



Image Caption: Atlanta Regional Freight Mobility Plan Update Final Report Source: Atlanta Regional Commission (2016)

Clayton County Strategic Economic Development Plan (2013)

In 2013, Georgia Tech's Enterprise Innovation Institute completed the Clayton County Strategic Economic Development Plan with intentions of attracting more businesses which would bolster a healthy economy in the county. The plan delineated fifteen goals and eight strategies which would support the implementation of these goals. The plan also established six recommendations that would improve the overall economic development of the county:

- 1. Improve the internal and external image of Clayton County,
- 2. Develop better and broader relationship between the private and public sector in Clayton County,
- 3. Create more opportunities for Clayton County residents to find and retain employment,
- 4. Refine targeted industry sectors for business recruitment,
- 5. Recognize the importance of entrepreneurship and small businesses in Clayton County, and
- 6. Grow the impact of Public Higher Education Institutions on Clayton County's economic development.

The plan concluded with next steps which encouraged the establishment of incentivized development overlays, mixed-use development, increased coordination with the cities of Atlanta and Savannah, non-profit partnerships, and the creation of a task force between the Economic Development and Community Development departments.

Clayton County Department of Parks and Recreation Master Plan (2008)

The Clayton County Department of Parks and Recreation (CCDPR) initiated the development of its Master Plan in 2008 to strategically guide future initiatives in line with the needs of residents. The Master Plan included a comprehensive assessment of trails, parks, and recreational services, which were prioritized based on their potential impact and associated costs. The plan aimed to enhance organizational effectiveness, ensure balanced service delivery, improve marketing and public participation, optimize guest services, and promote efficient planning processes.

A key aspect of the plan was fostering collaboration with the Clayton County Transportation and Development Department to synchronize efforts and enhance recreational and leisure services across the county.



Image Caption: Clayton County Strategic Economic Development Plan Source: Clayton County (2016)



Image Caption: Clayton County Department of Parks and Recreation Master Plan Source: Clayton County Department of Parks and Recreation (2008)



Timeline of studies within the last decade

2016: Atlanta Regional Freight Mobility Plan Update; Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint 1.0

2017: Comprehensive Development Strategy to Implement the Atlanta Aerotropolis: Recommendations for the Mountain View Area; Huie Nature Preserve Master Plan

2018: Clayton County Transit Initiative; Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP)

2019: AACID Transit Feasibility Study; Aerotropolis Atlanta Land Use Analysis and Recommendations; Freight Cluster Plan 2020: Clayton County Water Authority 2020 Strategic Master Plan

2021: Tara Boulevard Corridor Study; AeroATL Greenway Model Mile

2022: Clayton County 2034 Comprehensive Plan Update (2019) and Amended; Clayton County Highway 138 CID Feasibility Study; The Aerotropolis Atlanta Blueprint 2.0; MARTA's Clayton County High-Capacity Transit Transit-Supportive Land Use Study

2023: Tara Boulevard Livable Center Initiative; Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Study; State Route 54 Alignment Evaluation





CLAYTON COUNTY

TRANSIT INITIATIVE

ol Advisory Committe Ider Advisory Comm

The AeroATL Greenway SEVEN MODEL MILES SEVEN COMMUNITIES ONE INITIATIVE Tara Boulevard LC

2.2 DEMOGRAPHICS

Clayton County, with an estimated 2023 population of 299,806, has experienced a cumulative growth rate of 13.4% since 2010. The population of the Atlanta Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) has grown by 19.4% during the same period, reaching a total of just over 6.3 million. The median age in Clayton County is 33.9, slightly younger than the MSA median age of 37.0. In terms of households with children, Clayton County surpasses the MSA, with 42.1% compared to 38.2%.



Figure 1. Demographic overview graphic Source: ESRI Business Analyst, Woods & Poole (2023)

Population Characteristics

Experiencing an annual growth rate of 1.0%, Clayton County has achieved a total population of approximately 299,806 in 2023. As mentioned earlier, the County boasts a median age of 33.9, with 52% of its residents being younger than 34. The County specifically attracts a higher proportion of young families, as evidenced by 42.1% of households having children, and the predominant age group being children under 14. In comparison to the MSA, the County exhibits lower percentages of residents aged 35 to 54, and a higher percentage of residents aged 65-84.



Figure 2. Comparison of Age Cohorts, 2023 Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)

Assessment - The Takeoff



Figure 3. Clayton County Population Projections Source: 1970 to 1990 Data from 2034 Comprehensive Plan, 2000 to 2050 Data from Woods & Poole (2023)

Clayton County is characterized as a minority-majority community, with a racial distribution of 70% Black, 0.7% American Indian, 4.9% Asian/Pacific Islander, 9.7% White, 5.9% Two or More Races, and 9.1% Other Race. In comparison, the MSA exhibits a racial composition of 44% White, 34% Black, 1% American Indian, 7% Asian/ Pacific Islander, 8% Two or More Races, and 6% Other Race. Within the County, 14.8% of residents identify as Hispanic, a slightly higher percentage than the 12.6% observed at the MSA level. According to ESRI's diversity index, which gauges racial and ethnic diversity on a scale from 0 (no diversity) to 100 (complete diversity). A higher diversity index score signifies a more equitable representation of various racial groups within a particular community. Clayton County's Diversity Index stands at 61.8, while the MSA level is 74.6.



Figure 5. Comparison Of Diversity Index, 2023 Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)

*Note: ESRI's diversity index measures the racial and ethnic diversity of an area on a scale ranging from: 0 (no diversity) to 100 (complete diversity). As more race groups are evenly represented in an area's population, the diversity index increases.

A total of 22.5% of Clayton residents aged 25 and above hold a college degree or higher, in contrast to the MSA, where 43.2% of the population has attained a similar advanced degree. Nearly half of Clayton County residents, accounting for 46.2%, hold a high school diploma or have educational attainment below that level.



Figure 6. Comparison of Educational Attainment, 2023 Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)

Clayton County Tapestry Segmentation

Developed by ESRI, Tapestry Segmentation classifies households based on 67 distinct classifications that mirror national trends in housing preferences, spending habits, and participation in the labor force. Typically, smaller areas are more uniform, featuring fewer represented segments, while larger areas display a greater number of segments at varying percentages, showcasing a spectrum of diverse households.

Clayton County is characterized by five tapestry segments, with two (Urban Edge Families and Metro Fusion) surpassing 10% representation of the population. Urban Edge Families, the most prominent tapestry segment in the County, consists of younger married couples with children residing in more affordable homes situated farther from urban centers. This group is known for its tech-savvy nature and mindful spending habits. The second-largest segment, Metro Fusion, represents non-native English speakers in single-parent or single-person households. Members of this segment are diligent professionals focused on advancing their careers and social status.

Middleburg households, constituting 8.0% of households, are traditional family-oriented consumers located in semirural settings. This group, which is growing in size and assets, prefers purchasing products made in the United States. Whereas, Traditional Living households reside in low-density neighborhoods, primarily working in manufacturing, retail trade, and healthcare. They are budget-conscious and comfortable with online platforms.

Finally, the Young and Restless tapestry represents only 7.2% of households, featuring well-educated young workers either living alone or in shared non-family dwellings. This highly mobile market, just beginning their careers, tends to relocate frequently. They are avid internet users and discerning shoppers.



Figure 7. Tapestry Segments Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)

Key Demographic Takeaways

- From 2010 to 2022, the County experienced a 12.2% growth rate, slightly under the MSA's 19.4%
- The median age of Clayton County is 33.9, which is younger than the MSA's median age of 37
- 42.1% of Clayton County households have children, which outpaces the MSA's 38.2%
- The County has a smaller percentage of residents with a college degree or higher compared to the MSA
- Within the County, only 49.3% of residents own homes, which is lower than the MSA's 61.2%

2.3 HOUSING ANALYSIS

The median household income in Clayton County is \$53,398, which is lower than the Atlanta MSA's \$81,148. Within Clayton, 65% of households earn less than \$75,000. The predominant income bracket in the County falls within the range of \$50,000-74,999, encompassing 18.2% of households. Additionally, 34.1% of households make between \$35,000 and \$75,000.

In contrast, 54% of households in the Atlanta MSA earn more than \$75,000. Additionally, Clayton County exhibits a slightly higher household size compared to the Atlanta MSA for both 2010 and 2023.



Figure 8. Median Household Income, 2023 Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)





Figure 9. Household Income, 2023 Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)



The breakdown of housing units in Clayton closely mirrors that of the MSA, with singlefamily homes representing the largest segment, followed by multifamily units as the second largest. Both the County and the MSA exhibit a smaller proportion of townhomes and mobile homes/other housing types.

In Clayton, 49.3% of the housing inventory is owner-occupied, while 44.5% is renteroccupied, leaving 6.2% of the housing stock vacant. In contrast, the MSA has a higher share of owner-occupied units at 61.2% and a lower rate of renter-occupied units at 32.6%, with the same vacancy rate. The median home values in the MSA are significantly higher at \$318,382 compared to Clayton County's \$189,383.

The majority of Clayton's housing stock was constructed between 1970 and 2010, with peaks in the 1970s and early 2000s. Clayton County has a higher percentage of housing units built in the 1960s and 1970s compared to the MSA, contributing to a slightly older average median year built of 1987 within the County, versus the MSA average year built of 1992.



Figure 11. Housing Units By Type, 2023 Source: ESRI Business Analyst

| 2023 | Clayton County | Atlanta MSA |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Median Year Built | 1987 | 1992 |
| Median Home Value | \$189,383 | \$318,382 |
| Owner-Occupied | 49.3% | 61.2% |
| Renter-Occupied | 44.5% | 32.6% |
| Vacant | 6.2% | 6.2% |

Figure 12. Housing Summary Facts Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)



Figure 13. Share Housing Units by Decade Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)

Median Year Homes Built and Median Home Value

The following maps provide some insight into housing in Clayton County using census tract boundaries. Figure 14 displays the median year homes were built with darker colors representing older homes and lighter colors representing newer homes. Figure 15 displays the median home value with darker colors indicating higher-valued homes and lighter colors being lower-valued homes. Together the maps in Figures 14 and 15 illustrate housing trends across the County. Development has spread south over the years, with homes in the northern portion of the County and along US 41 and SR 54 generally older and lower-value. Homes in the southern portion are generally newer and higher-value. One exception is Lake Spivey Estates which are slightly older homes but the highest-value in the County



Figure 14. Median Year Homes Built Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)



Figure 15. Median Home Value Source: ESRI Business Analyst (2023)

Residential Building Permit Trends

Residential building permit activity dropped significantly after the 2007-2009 Great Recession. While single-family home permits have been the leading residential permit type in Clayton County, annual totals have not returned to pre-recession levels. The pre-recession (2004-2007) average annual total number of single-family permits was 1,902 homes, whereas the post-recession (2010-2022) total has only been 445. There have been a limited amount of residential developments postrecession, with the majority being single-family.

| Residential Building Permits | Single- Family | Multi- Family |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Pre-2008 Avg Annual Total | 1,902 | 84 |
| Pre-2008 Share of Total | 88% | 12% |
| Post-2008 Avg Annual Total | 438 | 10 |
| Post-2008 Share of Total | 94% | 6% |

* The pre- and post-Recession share of total is measuring the percentage of single-family and multi-family permits as a share to total residential permits issued.

Figure 16. Clayton County Summary Facts Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2023)



Figure 17. Residential Building Permit Trends, 2004 – 2022 Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2022)

Metro Atlanta Housing Strategy

The Atlanta Regional Commission developed the Metro Atlanta Housing Strategy that provides housing market data and information, as well as potential implementation strategies, for cities and counties throughout the ARC Eleven County Region. Clayton County consists of 5 submarkets with almost half being suburban neighborhoods with lower-to-moderate priced housing. From 2013 to 2020, there has been a 55% increase in median home sale price and a 12% growth in home's price per square foot. As of 2020, the median home sale price is \$139,000 and the median building area is 1,222 sq ft.

| COUNTY SNAPSHOT | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Median Home Sale Price (2020) | \$139,900 | | | | | | |
| Change in Median Home Sale Price (2013-20) | +155% | | | | | | |
| Home Sale Price Per Sq Ft (2020) | \$112.00 sq ft | | | | | | |
| Percent Change in Home Sale Price Per Sq Ft (2013-20) | +112% | | | | | | |
| Median Building Area of Home Sales (2020) | 1,222 sq ft | | | | | | |
| Data source: ARC analysis of Z sale transactions, 2013 & 2020 | | | | | | | |
| 49% SUBMARKET 7 Suburban neighborhoods with lower- to-moderate-priced housing, biggest increase in renters <u>Learn more</u> | | | | | | | |
| 19% SUBMARKET 9 Lower-priced rural a | | | | | | | |
| 17% SUBMARKET 8 | 1 | | | | | | |

Suburban neighborhoods with lowest-priced single-family homes, mix of renters and owners Learn more

6% SUBMARKET 4

Lower-priced core neighborhoods vulnerable to increasing housing costs Learn more

4% SUBMARKET 6 Suburban neighborhoods with

moderate-to-higher-priced housing Learn more

5% NOT COVERED BY SUBMARKET



Figure 18. MAHS Clayton County Map Source: County Snapshot and Subarea Map, Atlanta Regional Commission (2023)

Key Housing Takeaways

- Clayton County's median household income is \$53,398, compared to the MSA median household income of \$81,148
- Clayton County has a slightly older stock than the MSA
- Median home value is about 40% lower in the County than the MSA
- Annual residential building permits are still being issued at a slower rate than before the 2008 recession. This is the same for many communities within the MSA

2.4 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Evaluating the economic statistics for the County, the largest industry sector is Transportation and Utilities, while Health Care and Social Assistance is the largest industry sector for the Atlanta MSA. The County has a lower white collar occupation percentage than the MSA at 48.1%, and a higher unemployment rate at 4.8.



Figure 19. Economic overview graphic

Source: Georgia Department of Labor Statistics, ESRI Business Analyst, Woods & Poole (2023) **represents unincorporated Clayton County
Employment Growth

On average, Clayton County has averaged 220 new jobs annually. Job losses seen during the Great Recession and onset of the COVID-19 pandemic were consistent with national trends with job growth entering negative values.



Figure 20. Annualized Job Growth Clayton County, 2001-2022 Source: Georgia Department of Labor Statistics (2022)

Employment Characteristics

Clayton County's top industry is Transportation and Utilities, making up 42% of its jobs. Mirroring the MSA, Clayton also has a high share of retail trade, accommodation and food services, and health care and social assistance jobs. In the figures on the following page, the red boxes are highlighting the overlapping top industries in both Clayton County and the MSA. The Atlanta Regional Commission is projecting that by 2030, there will be an influx of 37% jobs available in Clayton County. By 2050, employment opportunities will have grown by 50%.

| Atlanta MSA Top Three Industries | Counts |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 322,774 (12%) |
| Retail Trade | 294,951 (11%) |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 248,261 (9%) |

| Clayton County Top Three Industries | 2022 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Transportation & Utilities | 47,043 (42%) |
| Retail Trade | 12,191 (11%) |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 9,731 (9%) |

Figure 21. Atlanta MSA and Clayton County Top Three Industries, 2022 Source: Georgia Department of Labor (2022)



Clayton County Business Licenses Per Industry*



Accommodation Professional and **Technical Services** Transportation and Wholesale Trade ✗ All Other Industries

Figure 22. Atlanta MSA Industry Classification, 2022 Source: Georgia Department of Labor (2022)

Figure 23. Clayton County Business License Classification, 2022 Source: Clayton County (2024)

*Note: The Atlanta MSA data depicts Jobs per Industry, while the Clayton County data shows Business Licenses per Industry. Although they provide similar data, they are not a one-to-one comparison.

- Retail Sales/Trade
- Other Services Except Public Administration
- Accomodation and Food Service (Food Service)
- Health Care and Social Assistance
- Admin, Support, Waste Mgmt, & Remediation Svs.
- Construction
- Transportation and Warehousing
- Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
- Professional, Scientific and Technical Services
- All Other Industries



Figure 24. Clayton County Employment Projections Source: Atlanta Regional Commission and Woods and Poole (2023)



Figure 25. Comparison of Jobs by Industry, 2022 Source: GA Department of Labor, Business Analyst *GA Department of Labor did not report of Mining, Utilities, and Educational Services for Clayton County ** Educational Services number was pulled from ESRI's Business Analyst

Job Density

The job density maps illustrate the distribution of employment within the County across its five primary industry sectors. A significant portion of employment opportunities in the County is centered around the airport, a pattern shown in the transportation and warehousing maps. In contrast, Retail Trade is found along key corridors or in nodes throughout the County. Accommodation and Food Services exhibit a concentration around the airport and along the I-75 highway. Clusters in Administrative and Waste Services are found around key office and industrial centers, like the airport and the City Morrow. Additionally, Health Care Employment is predominantly focused around the Southern Regional Medical Center.

All Jobs - 123,628



Accommodation & Food Services – 8,608



Figure 26. Job Density Maps Source: LEHD On The Map (2023)

Transportation & Warehousing – 48,939



Administrative and Waste Services – 9,393



Retail Trade – 11,910



Health Care and Social Assistance – 7,943



Vehicle Trips

Overall, more people commute into Clayton County for work than commute out. Almost 100,000 employees commute daily into the County, 24,000 both live and work in the County, and almost 84,000 commute out of the County for work. There are approximately 38,000 that commute into airport area, indicating that the airport brings in a large amount of employment activity for the County.



Figure 27. Inflow Outflow Workers to Clayton County Source: LEHD On The Map (2023)



Figure 28. Inflow Outflow Workers to Airport Source: LEHD On The Map (2023)

lardan DEDUSION 2020 Count Share 19.5% **Fulton County** 7,438 193 Forsyth 20 leafs 3.651 9.6% **Clayton County** Bartess 5 **DeKalb** County 3,289 8.6% Dervoor Athens-Cla All Other Locations 23,842 62.3% 316 Statune (2 5 Figure 29. Job Counts by Polk Counties Where Workers B Pauliding I ive WeiRom Source: LEHD on the Map (2023) Haraison lockdala 278 💿 Dauglas uth Rubon Newton Carrol Henry 75 Jaas Butts Ce Spainling Heard Laman Film

Of those 38,000 employees in the airport area, roughly 3,500 live in Clayton County. This would appear to indicate that many of the jobs in the airport area are held by people living outside the County.

Key Economic Takeaways

- The County's largest industry by number of jobs is Transportation and Utilities at 42.1%. This greatly exceeds the share of these jobs within the MSA's (7.1%)
- 8.8% of the airport workforce live within Clayton County
- Employment is projected to grow at an increasing rate over the next thirty years
- More people travel into the County for work than people that travel out of the County for work. A large share of these commuters is likely working in the airport area



Image Caption: View of City of Morrow Municipal Complex signage (2023)

2.5 TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS

Roadway Functional Classification

Roadways are divided into categories based on the mobility and accessibility the roadway provides. The categories are:

- Interstates: highest classification, long distance travel, and high speeds
- Principal Arterial: serve major centers of metropolitan areas
- Minor Arterial: connected to principal arterials and provide connectivity to smaller geographical areas
- Major Collector: move traffic through residential, commercial, and industrial areas
- Local Road: direct access to abutting land at lower speeds

Within Clayton County, the road network has a greater variety in the northern portion of the County including Interstate 75, Interstate 285, Interstate 675, State Route GA-85, Old Dixie Highway/U.S. Highway 19, and Jonesboro Road . The southern portion has no direct access to the Interstates and fewer arterial roads.



Figure 30. Roadway Functional Classification Source: GDOT, ESRI, FHWA (2022)

Roadway Volumes

Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) gives a count for the total volume of vehicle traffic in both directions for the year divided by the number of days in a year. This data is provided by Georgia Department of Transportation in their road inventory data.

The map displays the roadway volumes throughout the County and shows that I-285 has the largest volume with 178,000 vehicles, followed by I-75 and I-675. Outside of highways, some of the highest road volumes can be seen on State Route 85, Tara Boulevard (US-41), and Jonesboro Road.



Figure 31. Roadway Volumes Source: GDOT (2022)

All Crash Data

The map shown is a heat map for crashes throughout the County from 2018 to 2022 with red areas indicating a higher amount of crashes. The top intersection for all crashes was Old Dixie Highway and Tara Boulevard/West Lees Mill Road. Old Dixie Highway at Tara Boulevard and at Wilson Road were the intersections with highest fatality crashes. These intersections are located in the northwest region of the County.

Top five intersections:

For Crashes

- 1. Old Dixie Highway & Tara Blvd/West Lees Mill Road (877)
- 2. Lake Spivey Parkway & Mc Elroy Drive (569)
- 3. Lake Spivey Parkway & Tara Blvd (475)
- 4. North Avenue & Tara Blvd (444)
- 5. Fayette Avenue & Flint River Road (417)

For Fatalities

- 1. Old Dixie Highway & Tara Blvd/Wilson Road (3)
- 2. Tara Blvd (3)
- 3. Old Dixie Highway & Tara Blvd/West Lees Mill Road (2)
- 4. Jonesboro Road/Lake Spivey Parkway & Tara Blvd (2)
- 5. Fayette Avenue & Flint River Road/Tara Blvd (2)



Figure 32. All Crash Data Source: AASHTOWare (2022)

Bicycle/Pedestrian Crash Data

Top five intersections for pedestrian crash data:

For Crashes

- 1. Old Dixie Highway & Tara Boulevard /West Lees Mill Road (8)
- 2. Jonesboro Road (8)
- 3. Garden Walk Boulevard & Riverdale Road (7)
- 4. North Avenue & Tara Boulevard (6)
- 5. Old Dixie Highway & Tara Boulevard /Wilson Road (6)

For Fatalities

- 1. Garden Walk Boulevard & Riverdale Road (2)
- 2. Old Dixie Highway & Tara Boulevard/Wilson Road (1)
- 3. North Avenue & Tara Boulevard (1)
- 4. Old Dixie Highway & Tara Boulevard/Wilson Road (1)
- 5. Riverdale Road (1)



Figure 33. Bike and Pedestrian Crash Data Source: AASHTOWare (2022)

Existing Transit Routes

MARTA and Xpress provide transit service throughout Clayton County as shown in the map. MARTA runs a total of 16 local routes, while Xpress has seven commuter routes. The network of transit routes in the northern half of the County provides a more extensive coverage than in the southern half of the County.

MARTA Bus Routes within Clayton County (16):

- Route 55 Jonesboro Road
- Route 32 Bouldercrest
- Route 82 Camp Creek/South Fulton Parkway
- Route 89 Old National Highway
- Route 800 Lovejoy
- Route 180 Roosevelt Highway
- Route 188 Oakley Industrial
- Route 189 Flat Shoals Road / Scofield Road
- Route 191 Riverdale/ ATL Airport International Terminal
- Route 192 Old Dixie/Tara Boulevard
- Route 193 Morrow/Jonesboro
- Route 194 Conley Road/Mt Zion
- Route 195 Forest Parkway
- Route 196 Upper Riverdale
- Route 197 Battle Creek Road
- Route 198 Southlake Parkway

Xpress Bus (7)

- Route 430 McDonough to Downtown
- Route 431 Stockbridge to Midtown
- Route 432 Stockbridge to Downtown
- Route 440 Hampton/ Jonesboro to Downtown/Midtown
- Route 441 Jonesboro to Downtown/Midtown
- Route 442 Riverdale to Downtown
- Route 453 Newnan/Union City to Downtown/Midtown



Figure 34. Existing Transit Routes Source: ARC, ESRI (2022)

Transit Ridership

Top 10 stops by average daily ridership (Dec 2022 - Apr 2023):

- 1. Justice Center Bus Transit Hub (1,086)
- 2. Southlake Mall (800)
- 3. Forest Parkway at Bartlett Drive (348)
- 4. ATL Airport International Terminal (278)
- 5. Xpress Riverdale Park-and-Ride (268)
- 6. Lamar Hutcheson Parkway and 658/Walmart (243)
- 7. Anvil Block Road at Lunsford Drive/Distribution (236)
- 8. Lamar Hutcheson Parkway and 618/Walmart (190)
- 9. South Park Boulevard and 3060/Distribution (177)
- 10. Garden Walk at State Route 85 (127)

Proposed Bus Rapid Transit Routes

Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) is a fast, efficient, and frequent service operating in mixed traffic and dedicated lanes. MARTA identified two future BRT routes within Clayton County, including the State Route 54 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and the Southlake BRT lines. Both BRT lines are currently in the design phase with the Southlake line scheduled to begin service in 2026 and the State Route 54 line scheduled to begin service in 2030.

Southlake BRT Overview:

- From College Park MARTA station to Southlake Mall
- Along SR 139 and SR 85
- Project status: Currently in 30% design
- Alignment and stop locations under review
- Anticipated 2026 Operation

SR 54 BRT Overview:

- From East Point MARTA station to City of Lovejoy
- Along Jonesboro Road and Tara Boulevard
- Project Status: Currently in 30% design
- · Alignment and stop locations under review
- Anticipated 2030 Operation



Figure 35. Proposed Bus Rapid Transit Routes Source: Connect Clayton (2022)

Proposed Trails

The proposed trails map includes trails inventoried by the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) and are collected from local trail and bicycle and pedestrian plans, including Clayton County's Greenway Trail Master Plan: Clayton Connects and AeroATL Greenway Plan. Several multi-use paths have been implemented around the County, and the majority are near parks or the H-JAIA. The proposed plan connects major employment centers, neighborhoods, and parks throughout the County creating a multi-modal transportation system/recreational system. As part of the cumulative \$69 million in federal grant funding received from the U.S. Department of Transportation, the County is participating in the study and development of 31-mile trail system throughout the South Atlanta Metro region, linking the Atlanta BeltLine to Lovejoy in Clayton County.

Several multi-use paths have already been implemented around the county, mostly near parks or Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (H-JAIA). The proposed plan aims to connect major employment centers, neighborhoods, and parks throughout the county, creating a multi-modal transportation and recreational system. As part of constructing the Flint River Trail and its connection to the BeltLine, this strategy aims to implement the AeroATL Greenway Plan and other trail initiatives. This will create a cohesive, healthy, and economically stimulating trail network that enhances regional connectivity and supports active transportation.

Transportation Funding

In Clayton County, transportation planning and funding is primarily facilitated through two mechanisms: the Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) and the Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (SPLOST). The CTP serves as a strategic roadmap for the county's transportation infrastructure development, outlining long-term goals and objectives to address current and future transportation needs. Through extensive community input and collaboration with stakeholders, the CTP identifies key projects such as road expansions, intersection improvements, and public transit enhancements. The CTP identified \$121.8 million of transportation spending in the 5-year action plan (2021-2025) and projected transportation revenue at \$123.88 million, of which \$113.33 million is from SPLOST revenues and \$10.55 million is from Local Maintenance and Improvement Grant (LMIG).

Meanwhile, the SPLOST is a crucial funding mechanism that allows Clayton County to finance these transportation initiatives through a dedicated sales tax. This revenue stream ensures that infrastructure projects outlined in the CTP can be adequately funded and implemented, benefiting residents by improving mobility, reducing congestion, and enhancing overall transportation efficiency within the County. Together, the CTP and SPLOST play integral roles in shaping Clayton County's transportation landscape, ensuring sustained development and accessibility for its residents. The County could explore additional sources of revenue, such as grants awarded under SS4A, grants from the Georgia Governor's Office of Highway Safety (GOHS), and grants provided through the GDOT Highway Safety

Key Transportation Takeaways

Improvement Program (HSIP).

- The majority of Clayton County's Interstates, Arterial, and Collector roads are concentrated in the northern areas of the county, near Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport
- Continued investment is needed to increase safety and reduce the likelihood of vehicular crashes or pedestrian fatalities especially along Tara Boulevard, Old Dixie Highway and West Lees Mill road
- The expected investment in two (2) Bus Rapid Transit routes will help alleviate interstate traffic congestion and reduce vehicular incidents
- As the panhandle region in Clayton County grows in population and amenities, increased and improved transit options will be needed





2.6 BROADBAND ELEMENT

Broadband, or high-speed internet access, allows users to access information via digital transmission at typically faster speeds than traditional dial-up internet access connections. The types of broadband platforms include: Digital Subscriber Line (DSL), Cable Modem, Fiber, Wireless, and Satellite. While broadband can serve as a tool for education, economic development, and equity, it is not universally accessible. Clayton County envisions a region which promotes digital equity and affordable connectivity for all its households and, in consideration of this, broadband availability has been assessed throughout the county. The corresponding Broadband Coverage map depicts locations within the study area which have access to consumer-level, fixed, terrestrial broadband. The Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) current benchmarks for broadband service are downstream speeds of 25 Megabits per second (Mbps) and upstream speeds of 3 Megabits (subsequently written as 25/3). Census blocks where more than 80% of its locations meet these speed criteria are considered "Served." Those census blocks which do not meet the aforementioned criteria are designated "Unserved." Census blocks with no listed broadband coverage are labeled as "No Locations."

As of June 2023, data according to the FCC states that 99.54% of units are covered with residential broadband speeds of 25/3, roughly 124,000 units total. The top providers are Hughes Network Systems LLC (HughesNet), Space Exploration Technologies Corp (Earthlink), Viasat, Inc, Comcast Corporation (Xfinity), and AT&T Inc.

According to BestNeighborhood, the average number of internet service providers (ISP) available to a home is 5.7; the average number of service types available (fiber, DSL, etc.) is 3.6, and on average ISPs have broadband speeds greater than 25/3. Overall, broadband access in Clayton County is competitive from a service perspective, above adequate in speed, and relatively more accessible than most areas. BestNeighborhood notes that internet speeds tend to be faster in eastern parts of the county than in western areas.

In 2021, the FCC established the Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP) through the Emergency Broadband Benefit Program once the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs act became law. The ACP allowed eligible households to apply for benefits such as discounted access to broadband and one-time discounts to purchase internet-accessible devices (laptops, computers, or tablets). Approximately 37,000 Clayton County residents were eligible for Federal Affordable Connectivity Program; however, only sixty-seven residents enrolled since October 2022. The ACP stopped accepting new applications in February of 2024 due to a lack of funding at the federal level.

The Clayton County Office of Digital Equity recognized the persistent dissatisfaction among residents regarding broadband connectivity issues and high internet fees. In response, the Office initiated the development of a comprehensive Digital Equity Strategic Plan and Broadband Feasibility Study in 2022. This strategic initiative aimed to systematically address the county's connectivity challenges and to explore viable solutions for improving broadband access and affordability. The Office also took measures to qualify for federal Broadband Equity, Access, and Deployment (BEAD) grant funding.

In 2018, Georgia launched its state Broadband Program in coordination with the DCA, the Georgia Technology Authority, the Department of Economic Development, the State Properties Commission, and the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT). A major component of this program is the Georgia Broadband Deployment Initiative (GDBI), which facilitates the promotion and deployment of broadband services throughout the state. The GDBI accomplishes this by providing for the expansion of broadband infrastructure and services through state and local planning policies. The program designates "Broadband Ready," or "expansion ready," communities as those which prioritize the need for infrastructure expansion and high-speed internet as a critical need.



In coordination with the DCA, the Georgia Department of Economic Development attributes the "Broadband Ready" status to those facilities and developments which offer broadband services at a rate of not less than 1 gigabit per second (or 1000 Mbps) in the downstream to end users that can be accessed for business, education, health care, government, and other public purposes, and in accordance with the eligibility requirements listed in O.C.G.A § 50-40-81. Any political subdivision in Georgia pursuing improved broadband infrastructure and services is eligible to apply; the certification process requires a Comprehensive Plan which promotes the deployment of broadband services and a Broadband Ordinance Model.

Key Broadband Takeaways:

- Residents are concerned with high rates for broadband services
- A low percentage of residents who were eligible for the Federal Affordable Connectivity Program actually enrolled for financial assistance
- Some areas in the county need internet infrastructure upgraded from DSL or copper
- By becoming a Broadband Ready Site, the county can attract economic growth and jobs based development to the County



Image Caption: "Separate Fountains" mural in City of Jonesboro Source: Atlanta Regional Commission



Image Caption: View of Clayton County Courthouse in Jonesboro Source: Thomson200 (2015)

2.7 REGIONAL WATER PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING CRITERIA

Clayton County is geographically split between the Upper Ocmulgee and the Upper Flint River Basins. The eastern portion of Clayton County, between Forest Park and Lovejoy, is located in the Upper Ocmulgee River sub-basin of the Altamaha River basin. The western portion of the county is located in the Upper Flint River sub-basin of the ACF River Basin (Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint River Basin).

Flooding is a significant concern within the county. According to data gathered by climate risk modeling agency RiskFactor, roughly 10%, or over 6,000 properties in Clayton County have greater than a 26% chance of being severely impacted by flooding over the next thirty years. Community concerns have been raised about flooding due to H-JAIA's fifth runway expansion and along the Flint River, especially near the Southern Regional Medical Center, making it difficult to access a regionally significant hospital. In March 2023, \$2.6 million in federal funds were allocated to support flood mitigation infrastructure in northern Clayton County, near the Camp Creek Watershed. Households in Clayton County are also eligible to apply for assistance through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Flint River runs through Clayton County, and the river has been significantly impacted by adjacent developments, especially those originating from H-JAIA. There are multiple opportunities to mitigate flooding from the Flint River, including but not limited to: dredging the river, exploring acquisition of Vulcan Quarry, raising the Upper Riverdale Road bridge, and potentially buying out houses and properties in floodplains to create greenspace and manage flooding downstream.

As depicted on the Georgia Water Planning Regional Water Plan's River Basins and Water Planning Regions map, Clayton County is located within three Small Water Supply Watersheds and two Large Water Supply Watersheds. According to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), a Large Water Supply Watershed is that which has "100 square miles or more of land within the drainage basin upstream of a governmentally owned public drinking water supply intake" and a Small Water Supply Watershed consists of an area less than 100 square miles.

The small drinking water supply watersheds and respective reservoirs are as follows:

- 1. The Shoal Creek Watershed in southern Clayton County, location of the 240-acre J.W. Smith Reservoir and 387-acre Shoal Creek Reservoir.
- 2. Pates Creek Watershed, location of the 8-acre Shamrock Reservoir and 263-acre Edgar Blalock Jr. Reservoir.
- 3. Little Cotton Indian Creek Watershed, location of the William J. Hooper Reservoir.

The two large drinking supply watersheds are the Flint River and Big Cotton Indian Creek Watersheds. The small watersheds are the primary sources of water production, while the Flint River Watershed is used to supplement. These watersheds are managed by the Clayton County Water Authority (CCWA), an industry-leading water reclamation, production, and management facility. As Clayton County and its municipalities do not rely on Lake Lanier or the Chattahoochee River for its water supply, the five raw water reservoirs "surface" water systems collect rainfall in the county and have a potable water production capacity of 42 million gallons per day for county residents and businesses. The CCWA also operates three award-winning production facilities: the W.J. Hooper Water Production Facility, the Terry R. Hicks Water Production Facility, and the J.W. Smith Water Production Facility.

The CCWA additionally boasts an efficient water reclamation system to aid in managing the county's limited water resources, wherein up to 38.4 million gallons of wastewater can be treated per day through its three reclamation facilities: Northeast Water Reclamation Facility, Shoal Creek Water Reclamation Facility, W.B. Casey Water Resource Recovery Facility.



Image Caption: River Basins and Water Planning Regions of Georgia with Clayton County overlay Source: Georgia Water Planning Upper Flint Regional Water Plan (2023)

It should be noted that levels of PFOS (Perfluorooctane Sulfonate) have been observed in the drinking water. PFOS is a synthetic chemical and global pollutant that is commonly used to make products water, soil, grease and stain resistant. In recent years, levels of PFOS has been found in many water sources through out the world and at certain levels may have negative health impacts. This is a nation-wide issue and CCWA is exploring ways to upgrade their filtration systems to lower levels.

The CCWA also manages stormwater in the county and has in recent years prioritized the maintenance and revitalization of the 500 miles of stormwater infrastructure in the county. Stormwater activities managed by the CCWA include Construction Plan Reviews and Inspections, Floodplain Management, Illicit Discharge/Illegal Connection Inspections, and maintenance of stormwater infrastructure within the road right-of-way and directly connected to the right-of-way. Because of this, all property owners and developed properties are billed a monthly fee (an amount of \$3.75 for residential properties) in order to maintain a dedicated fund for these critical stormwater management services. The CCWA does offer discounts and financial assistance such as the "Veteran Discount Program." The Stormwater Utility operating budget is roughly \$9.4 million as of 2017.

According to the 2017 Huie Nature Preserve Master Plan, the CCWA has an approximate operating budget of \$84 million, with an additional utilization of over \$546 million in public assets for the provision of its services to customers. The CCWA has positioned itself to be self-sustaining without any reliance on tax dollars.

In 1978, the CCWA purchased the Huie Nature Preserve, located in the City of Morrow. The Huie Nature Preserve comprises over 4,000 acres of land and over 625 acres have now been transformed into an educational, science-based water area for the community. As part of the CCWA's 2000 Water Resources Initiative Master Plan, the E.L. Huie Jr. Constructed Treatment Wetlands was one of twenty-eight completed capital improvement projects, allowing the CCWA to reclaim up to 20 million gallons of used water per day. The more efficient constructed wetlands replaced now the non-operational sprayfield irrigation system, the land from which has been left available for recreational purposes.

The CCWA also manages the Newman Wetlands Center, a nature preserve and educational facility located in Hampton, GA. Since opening in 1995, the center has been dedicated to environmental education and wetland conservation, and serves an important cultural amenity to its community.

Key Water Planning Takeaways

- Flooding along the Flint River is a major concern and access challenge for the community
- The Clayton County Water Authority provides invaluable services for clean water, education, and recreational opportunities for the community
- PFOS (Perfluorooctane Sulfonate) has been identified in drinking water. CCWA is exploring ways to upgrade water production and filtration processes to remove PFOS from the water system



Image Caption: View of Clayton County Water Authority facilities (2024)



Image Caption: View of Clayton County Water Authority facilities (2024)

2.8 LAND USE ANALYSIS

The Land Use Element is a key component of the Comprehensive Plan. Land uses define and guide the character of the county and land use patterns have significant impacts on our communities. They affect transportation, natural resources, property values, compatibility of adjacent properties, and future growth. Clayton County's existing land uses, existing Future Land Use Map (FLUM), rezonings over the past five years, and development patterns were assessed to identify conflicts and inconsistencies. An updated FLUM and a new Character Area Map were developed based on this assessment. These two maps are intended to guide future development and growth in the county. They provide a decision making tool for rezonings and zoning amendments through the identification of preferred land use by parcel throughout the county.

Existing Land Use

The primary land use in the county is residential, currently making up over 47% of the total land acreage. The majority of residential is low-density at approximately 43%. Highdensity makes up roughly 5% of total land. Compared to the 2005 Existing Land Use Distribution Table from the 2005 Clayton County Comprehensive Plan, these numbers have increased as infill residential and multi-family units have expanded over the past twenty years. Industrial land uses (comprising 2024 land uses Heavy Industrial, Light Industrial, and Mixeduse Commercial Industrial) have also increased from 5.2% to roughly 13% since 2005. Commercial and Office uses have remained relatively the same over the past 20 years at approximately 5.4%. Notably, there was no mixed-use category in the 2005 land use designations.

2005 Existing Land Use Distribution

| Land Use | Acres | % |
|---|--------|--------|
| Low-density Residential | 6,550 | 8.5% |
| Medium-density Residential | 29,945 | 39.0% |
| Multi-family Residential | 2,641 | 3.4% |
| Manufactured Housing Residential | 725 | 0.9% |
| Commercial & Office Professional | 4,147 | 5.4% |
| Industrial | 3,994 | 5.2% |
| Public/Institutional | 1,566 | 2.0% |
| Transportation/Communications/Utilities | 6,032 | 7.9% |
| Parks/Recreation/Conservation | 904 | 1.2% |
| Wetlands | 3,386 | 4.4% |
| Agriculture | 2,253 | 2.9% |
| Forestry | 12,015 | 15.7% |
| Vacant/Undeveloped | 895 | 1.2% |
| Lakes/Reservoirs | 1,677 | 2.2% |
| TOTAL Unincorporated Clayton County | 76,729 | 100.0% |

Existing Land Use (2024) Distribution

| Existing Land Use (2024) | Sum of Acreage | Acreage % |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Agriculture | 13,118 | 19.78% |
| Conservation Residential | 3,170 | 4.78% |
| General Commercial | 2,373 | 3.58% |
| Heavy Industrial | 7,686 | 11.59% |
| High Density Residential | 3,430 | 5.17% |
| Light Industrial | 700 | 1.06% |
| Low Density Residential | 26,510 | 39.98% |
| Medium Density Residential | 1,979 | 2.98% |
| Mixed Use | 2,120 | 3.20% |
| Mixed Use Commercial-Industrial | 303 | 0.46% |
| Office/Business | 1,187 | 1.79% |
| Parks/Recreation/Conservation | 194 | 0.29% |
| Planned Unit Development | 3,545 | 5.35% |
| Grand Total | 66,313 | 100.00% |

Figure 38. 2005 Existing Land Use distribution (above) Figure 39. 2024 Existing Land Use distribution (below) Source: Clayton County 2005 Comprehensive Plan (2005) ; Clayton County (2024)



Figure 40. Existing Land Use Map (2024)

Current Future Land Use (2034)

The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) identifies land uses that are anticipated to occur in the county over a ten-to twenty-year period and align with the county's vision for the future. The map is intended to support and guide long-term planning decisions for the county, including rezonings and zoning amendments. The following is an assessment of the 2019 FLUM, which includes fifteen distinct land uses. Below are the described land uses.

The current Future Land Use Map (FLUM) forecasts land uses expected to emerge in the county within a span of ten to twenty years, in alignment with the city's envisioned future. It serves as a tool to facilitate and direct long-term planning decisions for the county, encompassing rezonings and zoning amendments. The map delineates these land uses and is accompanied by an evaluation of associated needs and opportunities.

| Current Future Land Use | | Sum of Acreage | Acreage % |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Agriculture | 8,333 | 12.44% |
| | Conservation Residential | 8,770 | 13.09% |
| | General Commercial | 2,598 | 3.88% |
| | Heavy Industrial | 2,267 | 3.38% |
| | High Density Residential | 2,464 | 3.68% |
| | Light Industrial | 29 | 0.04% |
| | Low Density Residential | 12,204 | 18.22% |
| | Medium Density Residential | 13,819 | 20.63% |
| | Mixed Use | 5,285 | 7.89% |
| | Mixed Use Commercial-Industrial | 6,239 | 9.31% |
| | Neighborhood Commercial | 147 | 0.22% |
| | Office / Business | 32 | 0.05% |
| | Parks/Recreation/Conservation | 1,861 | 2.78% |
| | Public/Institutional | 259 | 0.39% |
| | Transportation/Utilities | 2,681 | 4.00% |
| | Grand Total | 66,988 | 100.00% |

Current Future Land Use (2034) Distribution

Figure 41. 2034 Future Land Use Distribution (2024) Source: Clayton County



Figure 42. 2034 Future Land Use Map (2024)

Future Land Use (FLU) Categories



Image Caption: View of UGArden Student Community Farm Source: University of Georgia (2020)

Agriculture: A low-density land use primarily including agricultural uses, forestry and estate residential areas.



Image Caption: View of a single-family home in Riverdale, Georgia Source: Google Street View (2023)

Conservation Residential: The lowest density of residential uses, intended for single-family housing without use of public utilities. Densities are lower than two dwelling units per acre (du/ac).



Image Caption: View of Southlake Mall in Morrow, Georgia (2023)

General Commercial: Intended for land dedicated to non-industrial business uses including retail sales, service, and entertainment facilities. General commercial is the most intense, allowing facilities that may target larger businesses.



Image Caption: View of industrial plant Source: Mica Asato (2018)

Heavy Industrial: This category is for land dedicated to manufacturing facilities, processing plants, factories, warehousing and wholesale trade facilities, mining or mineral extraction activities, or other similar uses. These uses are often loud, disruptive, or whose effects may be felt by nearby uses. Flex-offices may be located in the Industrial Park District (INDP).



Image Caption: View of a multi-family development in Clayton County Source: Summit Contracting Group (2023)

High-density Residential: The highest single-use residential density in unincorporated Clayton County, with densities from 8 to 18 du/ac.



Image Caption: View of a gas station Source: Mehluli Hikwa (2019)

Light Industrial: This category is for land dedicated to assembly, warehousing, wholesale trade facilities, and other industrial uses which could coexist with some business uses.



Image Caption: View of a single-family home in Georgia (2023)

Low-density Residential: Low-density residential developments which may or may not use public utilities. Densities range from 2 to 4 du/ac.



Image Caption: View of a multi-family homes in Georgia (2023)

Medium-density Residential: Moderate-density residential housing, including some duplex housing with densities ranging from 4 to 8 du/ac.



Image Caption: View of Avalon mixed-use development in Alpharetta, Georgia Source: KnowAtlanta (2021)

Mixed-use: Allows a mixture of retail, residential, and office uses in a town center style. Uses may include residentially compatible retail uses such as grocery stores, drugstores, banks on ground floors or fronting on commercial streets. There may also be a residential component including lofts, condominiums, apartments, town homes, and smaller single-family houses located above commercial uses or in other portions of the development. Residential densities of 4 to 16 dwelling units per acre are appropriate. Higher densities may be allowable if the mix of uses results in a town center style development which can be expected to cut down the number of car trips that would otherwise be generated. A key method for reducing car trips would be balancing the likely jobs with supplied appropriately priced housing. Mixed-use development must be designed to encourage walking and bicycling as well as be designed to be transit-ready. Densities should be approximately 72 du/ac.

Mixed-use Commercial Industrial: Allows a mixture of office, commercial, and light industrial uses as well as possible recreational uses. Industrial uses should be oriented towards producing value rather than extracting it, and industrial uses that undermine office uses such adult-oriented businesses, landfills, etc. should look elsewhere. Mixed-use Commercial-Industrial development must be designed to encourage walking and bicycling between uses as well as be designed to be transit-ready. Open space and green space areas, should be networked to create corridors of recreational areas, further layering the allowed uses.


Image Caption: View of Halycon development in Forsyth County Source: Josh Green (2021)

Transit-Oriented Development (TOD): Includes land uses of moderate to high-density near transit stations or a range of mobility options. TOD areas should encourage a mix of uses, walkability, appropriate redevelopment of vacant and/or underutilized properties. Uses may include medical offices and services, offices and businesses uses, mixed-use multi-family residential and retail, and adequate greenspace. Densities should be at minimum 15du/ac with no recommended maximum.



Image Caption: View of a small commercial node in Riverdale, Georgia Source: Google Street View (2023)

Neighborhood Commercial: Areas designed to serve the daily needs of adjacent neighborhoods with smallerscale retail and service uses. These areas are intended to cater to smaller markets within a quarter- to half-mile radius and must be at least one mile apart from each other. Drive-thru uses and fast food restaurants are prohibited. Pedestrian and bike access, as well as sit-down restaurants with outdoor seating, are encouraged.



Image Caption: View of Victory Landing Logistics Center in Clayton County (2023)

Office/Business: Land use dedicated to office, banking, or other personal business services and not focused on retail uses.



Image Caption: View of Newman Wetlands Center in Clayton County (2023)

Parks and Recreation Conservation: This category is intended for land dedicated to active or passive recreational uses. These areas may be either publicly or privately owned and may include playgrounds, public parks, nature preserves, wildlife management areas, natural forests, golf courses, recreation centers, or similar uses.



Image Caption: View of a planned unit development Source: Daniel R. Mandelker (2007)

Planned Unit Development: This category provides flexibility in the regulation of land development and encourages innovation in land use in terms of variety, design, layout, and structure type. These areas encourage a combination of single-family detached/attached housing and a mixture of compatible residential and commercial uses. The mixture of uses is intended for a sixty (60) to forty (40) ratio. It allows the preservation and protection of natural features, pedestrian trails and open space, promotes the efficient provision of public services and utilities, and minimizes adverse traffic and environmental impacts.



Image Caption: View of Southern Regional Medical Center (2023)

Public/Institutional: This category includes certain state, federal or local government uses, and institutional land uses. Government uses include government building complexes, police and fire stations, libraries, prisons, post offices, schools, military installations, etc. Examples of institutional land uses include colleges, churches, cemeteries, hospitals, etc.



Image Caption: View of a sewerage treatment plant Source: Patrick Federi (2021)

Transportation/Utilities: This category includes such uses as major transportation routes, public transit stations, power generation plants, railroad facilities, radio towers, telephone switching stations, airports, port facilities or other similar uses.

Land use - Zoning Classification Matrix

| Future Land Use Designation | | Zoning Classifications | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|----|--------|--------|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| | | ER | CS | RS-180 | RS-110 | RG-75 | RMH | RMSTF | RM | RTH | PUD | UV | MXR | NMX | MX | MMX | MCD | MC | MXI |
| Agriculture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conservation Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Commercial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Industrial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Light Industrial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Low-Density Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medium-Density Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High-Density Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mixed-Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mixed-Use Commercial-Industrial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transit-Oriented Development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neighborhood Commercial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Office/Business | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parks/Recreation/Conservation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Planned Unit Development (PUD) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mixed-use PUD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public/Institutional | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transportation/Utilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

AG — Agriculture

ER — Estate Residential District

- CS Conservation Subdivision District
- RS-180 Residential District 180
- RS-110 Residential District 110
- RG-75 Residential District 75
- RMH Mobile Home District
- RMTSF Residential Multi-Family to Single-Family
- RM Multi-family Residential District
- RTH --- Single-Family Residential Attached Townhome
- PUD Planned Unit District

- UV Urban Village
- MXR Mixed-Use Residential
- NMX Neighborhood Mixed-Use
- MX Mixed-Use District
- MMX Medical Mixed-Use
- MCD Medical Center District
- MC Medical Center
- MXI Mixed-Use Commercial-Industrial

| Zoning Classifications | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|----|-----|----|----|----|------|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------------------|
| RMX | GB | OI | OIV | WH | LI | HI | INDP | IP | TOD | MVEDU | MVIMO | MVMME | MVMUR | MVREN | MVRMR | ODGRN | ODMUR | ODHTL | ODMMX | Future Land Use Designation |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Agriculture |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Conservation Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | General Commercial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Heavy Industrial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Light Industrial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Low-Density Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Medium-Density Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | High-Density Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed-Use |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed-Use Commercial-Industrial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Transit-Oriented Development |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Neighborhood Commercial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Office/Business |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Parks/Recreation/Conservation |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Planned Unit Development (PUD) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed-use PUD |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Public/Institutional |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Transportation/Utilities |

RMX — Regional Mixed-Use GB — General Business OI — Office/Institutional OIV — Office Institutional Vertical WH — Warehouse District LI — Light Industrial HI — Heavy Industrial INDP — Industrial Park District IP — Industrial Park TOD — Transit-Oriented Development MVEDU — Mountain View Overlay - Education MVIMO — Mountain View Overlay - International Mixed-Use Office

MVMME — Mountain View Overlay - Mixed Use Makers and Advanced Manufacturing

MVMUR - Mountain View Overlay - Mixed-Use Residential

MVREN - Mountain View Overlay - Regional Entertainment

MVRMR — Mountain View Overlay - Regional Mixed-Use Retail

ODGRN-Overlay District - Greenspace

ODMUR — Overlay District - Mixed-Use Residential

ODHTL - Overlay District - Hotel & Mixed-Use Retail

ODMMX — Overlay District - Medical Mixed-Use

Development Patterns

In assessing land use during this process, the following development patterns emerged:

- Residential growth has been experienced throughout the County, particularly in Districts 1 and 3.
- The County has experienced industrial growth, particularly near Fort Gillem, with some light industrial/distribution center growth in the Mountain View area.
- Small areas of industrial land uses have been situated throughout the County, in many cases adjacent to residential areas.
- The County has been working with Metro Atlanta Regional Transit Authority (MARTA) to align land uses with future Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) station locations – to encourage higher-density, mixed-used development at these nodes.

Development Opportunities

Through various site tours, stakeholder input, and public engagement, a series of Development Nodes were identified throughout the county. Many of these nodes are located within the county boundaries, as they are projected to have a significant impact on the county's future development and growth. The development nodes are shown on the following three maps as: Existing Assets; Metro Atlanta Regional Transit Authority (MARTA) Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)/Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) Nodes and Proposed Tara Blvd LCI Locations; and Proposed Clayton County Comprehensive Plan Development Nodes. Together these three maps showcase areas of interest for investment and redevelopment for the County.

| Туре | Name | # | | | | | |
|----------------|---|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Mountain View | 1 | | | | | |
| | Clayton State University | 2 | | | | | |
| | Southlake Mall | 3 | | | | | |
| | Southern Regional Medical Center | 4 | | | | | |
| | Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport | 5 | | | | | |
| | Fort Gillem | 6 | | | | | |
| Existing | Virginia Burton Gray Recreation Center | 7 | | | | | |
| Assets | South Clayton Recreation Center | 8 | | | | | |
| | Lake Spivey Recreation Center | | | | | | |
| | Jim Huie Recreation Center | | | | | | |
| | Carl Rhodenizer Recreation Center | | | | | | |
| | | 11 | | | | | |
| | J.W. Smith Reservoir | 12 | | | | | |
| | Shamrock/Blalock Reservoir | 13 | | | | | |
| | Shoal Creek Reservoir | 14 | | | | | |
| MARTA | Overlay 1 | 15.1 | | | | | |
| BRT/ TOD | Overlay 2 | 15.2 | | | | | |
| Nodes | Overlay 3 | 15.3 | | | | | |
| | Overlay 4 | 15.4 | | | | | |
| Proposed Tara | Tara Boulevard Node | 16.1 | | | | | |
| Boulevard LCI | Jonesboro Node | 16.2 | | | | | |
| Locations | Lovejoy Node | 16.3 | | | | | |
| Aerotropolis | Forest Square (Aerotropolis) | 17.1 | | | | | |
| Blueprint | Owens-Illinois (Outside County) | 17.2 | | | | | |
| Catalytic Site | 1001 International Blvd. (Outside County) | 17.3 | | | | | |
| Aerotropolis | Dixie Road | 17.4 | | | | | |
| Blueprint | 5711 Jonesboro | 17.5 | | | | | |
| Community | Old National | 17.6 | | | | | |
| Sites | Mountain View | 17.7 | | | | | |
| | Anvil Block | 18 | | | | | |
| | Conley | 19 | | | | | |
| | Harvest Urban Village | 20 | | | | | |
| Proposed | Rex Village | 21 | | | | | |
| Clayton | Clayton County Facility Headquarters | 22 | | | | | |
| County | Tara Boulevard at Freeman Road | 23 | | | | | |
| Comp Plan | Ellenwood | 24 | | | | | |
| Development | Noahs Arc at Industrial Boulevard | 25 | | | | | |
| Node | Panhandle Road & New Hope Road | 26 | | | | | |
| | Panhandle Road & Hampton Road | 27 | | | | | |
| | Fortson Road & Wildwood Road | 28 | | | | | |
| | Inman Road & Woolsey Road | 29 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



Figure 43. Development Nodes Map (2024)

Key Land Use and Development Takeaways:

- While residential growth has increased, commercial growth has not kept a similar pace and some residential developments are not well served by commercial services
- Industrial next to legacy residential has created incompatible development adjacencies leading to transportation and quality of life concerns
- Community has expressed concerns and impact on health generated from proximity to airport (fuel dump of landing planes) and industrial land uses (air and water pollution, noise mitigation)
- There is interest in focusing industrial growth in industrial supported areas, such as Fort Gillem
- Mountain View is a key development site that has seen some investment and has potential to be a regional mixed-use node
- The County has begun aligning land uses and zoning with BRT station locations to support higher density, mixed-use development.
- Southern Regional Medical Center has expanded its offerings/services and is a regional medical hub, with opportunities for adjacent medical growth



Image Caption: View of consultant team and community members at a community engagement event (2024)

2.9 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Clayton County utilized a variety of techniques and levels of involvement to gain a complete understanding of existing conditions, community goals and values, needs and opportunities, and desires for the future. As detailed below, this process included both traditional and non-traditional techniques to reach broad and diverse audiences such as project management team meetings, stakeholder interviews, a Steering Committee, public meetings, pop-up events, social media and an interactive website through Social Pinpoint. The Team advertised all events and engagement opportunities in coordination with the Clayton County Office of Communications to utilize CCTV23/99, Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter to promote engagement and to maximize outreach to the public as approved by the County. We also directly produced and distributed flyers to key locations across the county in the lead up to each public meeting series and encouraged participation though an email communication list and the steering committee.

In this chapter, we detail the engagement tools, techniques, and methods that were used throughout the planning process.

Clayton County Municipal Meetings

This engagement process began with an initiatory presentation to the Zoning Advisory Group on August 28, 2023, and a formal presentation to the Clayton County Board of Commissioners by way of Public Hearing on September 19, 2023. Participation in this standing hearing of the County officially kicked off the planning process with the public. The Team also made a second appearance with these bodies at conclusion of the process to officially request adoption of the Future Focus Clayton County Comprehensive Plan on July 1, 2024 and July 16, 2024, respectively.



Image Caption: View of a community engagement event (2023)

Stakeholder Interviews

Soon after, we began to conduct interviews with key stakeholders to further understand the needs that are most important to the community and the opportunities that may exist throughout the County. Between September and October 2023, we met with 22 stakeholders to learn more about the community's issues and opportunities, and to learn what values and objectives to prioritize in the planning process. This feedback was crucial to help guide the Future Focus Clayton Comprehensive Plan in reflecting a wide and representative variety of wants, needs, and desires within Clayton County. Below is the list of interviewees:

- Clayton County Staff
 - o September 27, 2023
 - i. Landry Merkison (Deputy Chief Operating Officer)
 - o October 3, 2023
 - i. Troy Hodges (Director of Parks and Recreation)
 - ii. Jeff Metarko (Director of Transportation)
 - o October 19, 2023
 - i. Erica Rocker-Wills (Director of Economic Development)
 - ii. Detrick Stanford (Chief Operating Officer)
 - o October 23, 2023
 - i. Jaime Montalvo (Chief Information Officer)
 - ii. Takiyah Moore (Deputy Chief Information Officer)
 - iii. Larry Vincent (Director of Development Authority)
 - o October 24, 2023
 - i. Nicole Horne (Youth Services Administrator)
 - ii. Ciara Dunn (Youth Services Program Coordinator)
- Clayton County Elected Officials
 - o September 27, 2023
 - i. Dr. Alieka Anderson (District 1 County Commissioner)

- o September 28, 2023
 - i. Felicia Franklin (District 3 County Commissioner)
 - ii. DeMont Davis (District 4 County Commissioner)
- o October 3, 2023
 - i. Jeffrey Turner (Board of Commissioners Chairman)
- External Stakeholders
 - o September 27, 2023
 - i. Shannon James (Aerotropolis Alliance)
 - o October 4, 2023
 - i. Dr. Montrese Adger-Fuller (Clayton State University)
 - ii. Dr. Archie Cephas (Clayton State University)
 - iii. Dr. Andrew Smith (Clayton County Public Schools)
 - iv. Dr. Ebony Lee (Clayton County Public Schools)
 - v. Jada Dawkins (Clayton County Public Schools)
 - vi. Shakira Rice (Clayton County Public Schools)
 - vii. Gerald McDowell (Aerotropolis Atlanta Community Improvement Districts)



Image Caption: View of a community members participating at a community engagement event (2023)



Image Caption: View of a community members participating at a community engagement event (2024)

Steering Committee

We worked closely with a Steering Committee comprised of stakeholder groups including residents, business and property owners, non-profit organizations, community groups, and others identified with guidance from the County. The Team met with the committee as a group three times during the planning period and consulted them individually as necessary to provide input to the identification of goals, vision, needs, and proposed solutions. Additionally, all significant findings and recommendations were presented to the committee for review, validation, and feedback, as well as any boards, handouts, and presentations prior to public meetings.

The Steering Committee was comprised of members representing:

- Aerotropolis Atlanta
- ATL Airport Community Improvement Districts
- Atlanta Regional Commission
- Clayton County Board of Education
- Clayton County Chamber of Commerce
- Clayton County Code Enforcement Unit
- Clayton County Departments (Community Development, Economic Development, Fire & Emergency Services, Parks and Recreation, Senior Services, Transportation & Development, and Youth Services)

- Clayton County Library System
- Clayton County Sheriff's Office
- Clayton County Soil & Water Conservation
 District
- Clayton State University
- Georgia Department of Transportation
- See Clayton County Convention and Visitors
 Bureau
- Other County appointees



Image Caption: View of the steering committee at Newman Wetlands Center during a county bus tour (2023)

Steering Committee Meeting 1 – Project Orientation

Steering Committee Meeting 1 consisted of a virtual presentation to introduce the project's intent, process and schedule, and set expectations for the steering committee while collecting initial input on the previous Comprehensive Plan's vision and goals. The Team also used this meeting as an opportunity to encourage committee members to advertise Public Meeting Series 1 with their networks.

• Meeting #1 – Zoom (with access via email distribution list) on Monday, October 23, 2023, 6:00 – 8:00 PM

Steering Committee Meeting 2 – Goals and Visions

Steering Committee Meeting 2 consisted of a brief presentation covering existing conditions analysis and the results of Public Meeting Series 1's goals/visioning input. Additionally, the Team collected input on needs, and identified and brainstorm ideas for future development, strategies, and improvements. The Team also used this meeting as an opportunity to encourage committee members to advertise Public Meeting Series 2 with their networks.

• Meeting #2 – Jim Huie Recreation Center on Friday, February 2, 2024, 11:00 AM – 1:00 PM

Steering Committee Meeting 3 – Community Work Program

Steering Committee Meeting 3 consisted of a brief presentation to review Comprehensive Plan draft concepts and collect feedback on various plan elements, particularly the Community Work Program (CWP), as well as an interactive display of five character area maps for discussion. The Team also used this meeting as an opportunity to encourage committee members to advertise Public Meeting Series 3 with their networks.

• Meeting #3 – Flint River Community Center on Thursday, April 11, 2024, 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM



Image Caption: View of the steering committee at during a community engagement event (2023)

Public Workshops And Open Houses

The Team hosted six public workshops and open houses between October 2023 and April 2024. These public workshops and open houses were hosted at key stages in the planning process to educate and gain feedback from stakeholders in and around the County. Each series prioritized different aspects of the comprehensive planning process, and exercises were developed and available at the events to provide attendees with knowledge about the project and encourage active participation in the process. For additional details regaring the public meetings and gathered feedback, view the accompanying plan appendix.



Image Caption: View of a community members participating at a community engagement event (2023)

Public Meeting Series 1 – "We're Listening!" A Community Kick Off & Listening Session

Public Meeting Series 1 consisted of two meetings (#1 and #2) to officially kick off the project, introduce the project's intent, process and schedule, and collect input on existing conditions and the previous Comprehensive Plan's vision and goals, as well as launch the project site (futurefocusclayton.com) and its associated community survey and interactive map series. The Team presented a brief presentation followed by an interactive workshop with seven activity boards. These activity boards served as a SWOT Analysis, asking for public input on the Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats within the county. The input received at this juncture not only provided a framework for understanding the current state of the community, but also provided critical insight for the development of an actionable, goalscentric plan and new community work program items. For five of the activity boards, each participant was given sticky notes and directed to write down different issues and opportunities they support and want prioritized within the previous comprehensive plan's major elements (economic development, housing, intergovernmental coordination, land use, and transportation). For the second activity, participants were given dot stickers and asked to indicate locations where they would like to see more development on a study area map of Clayton County. For the last activity, participants were given sticky notes and asked to provide their thoughts on the prompts: 1) "Who is Clayton County?" and 2) "Who do we want to be?"

- Meeting #1 Sonna Singleton Gregory Senior Center (District 1) on Monday, October 23, 2023, 6:00 8:00 PM.
 - o Total attendees: 22
- Meeting #2 Flint River Community Center (District 3) on Wednesday, October 25, 2023, 6:00 8:00 PM
 - o Total attendees: 64

Public Meeting Series 2 - Design Charrette

Public Meeting Series 2 consisted of two meetings (#3 and #4) to present existing conditions analysis and the results of visioning input, collect additional input on needs, and identify and brainstorm ideas for future development, strategies, and improvements. The Team presented a brief presentation to followed by an interactive workshop with seven activity boards and two large maps. One map displayed Clayton County's current future land use map (FLUM) and highlighted areas where potential FLUM changes would be recommended. Participants were given sticky notes and asked to provide their thoughts on these highlighted areas and indicate other potential development nodes. The other map displayed the County's road network; and participants were asked to provide their thoughts on mobility changes throughout the county on sticky notes. Input received via the maps was incorporated into the SWOT analysis. The boards contained the same activities as in Public Meeting Series 1.

- Meeting #3 Lakeview Event Center (District 4) on Monday, February 12, 2024, 6:00 8:00 PM
 Total attendees: 10
- Meeting #4 Frank Bailey Senior Center (District 2) on Monday, February 19, 2024, 6:00 8:00 PM
 - o Total attendees: 10



Image Caption: View of a community members at a community engagement event (2023)

Public Meeting Series 3 – Recommendations Open House

Public Meeting Series 3 consisted of two meetings (#5 and #6) to present the Draft Comprehensive Plan draft concepts, collect feedback on plan elements, and to present an opportunity to remain engaged in the process going forward. For the final in-person meeting, the Team showed a brief presentation before shifting to an open house, drop-in style meeting with voting and budgeting exercises to highlight critical aspects of the final plan to the public. Attendees were given \$100 USD in faux money and asked to "fund" various aspects of the CWP by placing their money in specified buckets for each plan element. They were also given four stickers to "vote" for their highest priority CWP item and encouraged to speak amongst themselves about priorities within the County. For the virtual meeting, attendees were presented the same information via Zoom and asked to complete the voting and budgeting exercises virtually on the project's website.

- Meeting #5 Flint River Community Center (District 3) on Thursday, April 18, 2024, 6:00 8:00 PM
 Total attendees: 12
- • Meeting #6 Zoom (access via project website) on Tuesday, April 23, 2024, 6:00 8:00 PM
 - o Total attendees: 8



Image Caption: View of a community members participating at a community engagement event (2024)

Pop-up Events

The Team tabled two pre-existing events between October 2023 and April 2024 as pop-up opportunities. Pop-up events are an excellent way to connect with the community right where they are, at places or events where a significant number of people is expected.

Pop-up Event 1 – Communities on the Rise

We participated in District 3 Commissioner Franklin's Communities on the Rise Event during the first phase of this planning process. This Pop-up was used primarily as an opportunity to inform the public about the planning effort and drive traffic to the online engagement activities between Public Meeting Series 1 and 2. The Team presented the same material at this event as Public Meeting Series 1, including a brief presentation followed by an interactive workshop with seven activity boards. The Team also distributed study information, meeting flyers, call to action flyers to participate in online activities and comment forms for the public to provide input.

Pop-up Event #1 – Jim Huie Recreation Center (Riverdale) on Saturday, November 11, 2023, 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM



Image Caption: View of a community members during a pop-up event at Clayton County SpringFest (2024)

Pop-up Event 2 – SpringFest 2024

The Team participated in Forest Park's annual SpringFest Event during the final phase of this planning process. This Pop-up was used primarily as an opportunity to solicit feedback about the comprehensive plan from families with young children while promoting the upcoming Public Meeting Series 3. Attendees were invited to interact with three activity boards showing a series of photos of different density typologies and asked to review the images. Participants were then asked to apply a red or green dot on what they felt is an appropriate or inappropriate density for potential development nodes and to leave sticky note comments describing why they felt a particular way about the images. As a youth-oriented activity, participants were given dry erase markers and asked to write or draw their responses to the prompts: 1) "My favorite thing about Clayton County is...," 2) "My favorite place to go Clayton County is...," and 3) "My favorite thing to do in Clayton County is...," Additionally, adolescent participants were encouraged to write themselves "postcards from the future" and talk about their idealized future vision of Clayton County. The Team also distributed meeting flyers and comment forms for the public to provide input.

• Pop-up Event #2 – Kiwanis Stadium (Forest Park) on Saturday, March 30, 2024, 1:00 – 3:30 PM



Image Caption: View of community feedback collected during a pop-up event at Clayton County SpringFest (2024)

Online Engagement

Online engagement provides a convenient way to engage with the public and to share information. During the first phase of engagement, we launched a project site, FutureFocusClayton.com, as an online hub to serve as the central location for project information including project overview and schedule, outreach event announcements, and plan documents. The site also hosted two engagement activities, the community survey and interactive map, as a convenient way to engage with the public and collect feedback on issues that could influence the comprehensive plan's visions and goals.

Additionally, timely and strategic content was developed for the County to post on its own social media channels as calls to action for engagement opportunities and events. We also coordinated with Clayton County Communications Department to utilize existing County marketing outlets such as CCTV23/99, the Clayton Connected Podcast, and the Mobile Community to maximize digital outreach.

Online Community Survey And Interactive Map

We launched an online survey and interactive map to collect feedback on issues relating to Clayton County. Though originally intended to end following the first public meeting series, both the survey and the map remained available for feedback until March 2024, and each received 140 and 135 responses, respectively. Stakeholders and the general public accessed the community survey and interactive map through the project website, and the results from these activities were incorporated into the updated vision and goals for the plan.

The community survey was divided into five parts based on the major topic areas identified in the previous comprehensive plan (housing, transportation, economic development, land use, and intergovernmental coordination). Each section began by presenting a preselected list of priorities derived from the previous comprehensive plan and asked respondents to rank those priorities on a scale from one (low priority) to five (high priority). Respondents then had an opportunity to identify their top priority in a few words before continuing to the remainder of the section-specific questions. Respondents were also asked about their demographic information at the end of the survey.

Similarly, the community contributed to the interactive map by leaving a comment and sharing their thoughts about Clayton County's direction across seven categories (Destinations/ Hotspots; Development Concerns; Development Potential; Mobility Issues; Parks and Greenspace; Safety Concerns; Other Ideas and Suggestion). They accomplished this by zooming the map to the area of concern, clicking "Add Comment" at the top of the webpage, deciding which pin category most closely aligned with their comment or concern, and then dragging that pin to the location and dropping it. After placing the pin, they added comments to provide more information and had the option to upload a photo to support the comment or provide an example of a good solution.



Image Caption: Comments on the Social PinPoint interactive map (2024)

O3 IMPLEMENTATION CLAYTON TAKES FLIGHT

03 IMPLEMENTATION: CLAYTON TAKES FLIGHT

This section addresses the assessments and community engagement outlined in the previous pages by summarizing identified assets and challenges and sharing the overarching community vision, goals, and policies to address these goals. The specific actionable tasks and projects are listed in the Community Work Program at the end of this section.

3.1 VISION

The Community Vision serves as the foundation of the comprehensive plan, directly derived from engaging community members. It represents the collective aspirations and desires of the community. All aspects of the plan, such as goals, needs, opportunities, action items, and the work program, are intricately tied to and guided by this vision. By aligning efforts with the community's vision, the aim is to create a more inclusive and prosperous future for Clayton County.

"Clayton County aims to create a safe, accessible, and vibrant community, through collaborative partnerships, with abundant economic opportunities, diverse housing options, and enhanced healthcare. The County is a destination where the world lands and opportunities take off for all to stop, stay, and experience the beauty of our county while ensuring everyday needs and services are within reach."

3.2 GOALS

The 2024 updated goals address the needs and opportunities within each of these areas while supporting the overall community vision. The goals are aligned with the following key areas of focus: Land Use; Transportation; Housing; Economic Development; Intergovernmental Coordination; Broadband; and Health, Sustainability, and Wellness.





Goal Items

- 1. Allow for Focused and Compatible Industrial Growth: Focus industrial and warehouse growth to areas that are compatible to this type of use and transportation needs.
- 2. Leverage Greenspace and Natural Resources for Community Quality of Life: Preserve and enhance parks, greenspace, and natural resources. Create a variety of scales and types of park space
- 3. Enhance the Visual Appeal and Quality of Development along Key Corridors: Address blighted and abandoned buildings; Integrate transportation infrastructure improvements to attract new, quality development; Ensure pedestrian-friendly development.
- Focus Development Efforts and Public Investment at Mountain View and Tara Boulevard at Old Dixie Nodes: Prioritize development and continued growth of the Mountain View area and Tara Blvd at Old Dixie
 key gateways into the County. Ensure high-quality, pedestrian-friendly development; Align transportation infrastructure improvements to attract high-quality development.
- 5. Coordinate Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) implementation at Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) stations.
- 6. Grow MedTech and Supporting Mixed-Land Uses in the Southern Regional Medical Center area: Support mixed-use redevelopment near the SRMC, focused on MedTech and supporting uses.
- 7. Ensure Housing Options for All Residents: Implement a variety of housing types and affordability throughout the County.
- 8. Integrate Neighborhood Serving Commercial Nodes Accessible to Residential Growth: Provide neighborhood services convenient to residential areas that lack access to commercial/retail uses.
- 9. Introduce façade grant or enforce a penalization policy for poorly maintained residential and commercial properties.

Assets

- TOD opportunities with MARTA BRT route
- Opportunity and growth at Mountain View
- Development and growth potential near Southern Regional Medical Center
- · Investment and associated growth in the Fort Gillem area
- Upgraded and accessible parks and recreation centers
- Clayton County Water Authority has installed renowned waste water treatment facilities. This has relieved land from water treatment to be used, in the future, for additional parks/greenspace to serve the community.
- Limit FLUM amendments to ensure consistency with Comprehensive Plan.

Challenges

- Market demand/pressure for industrial/warehousing use, especially near the airport contradicting a desire for mixed-use, high quality development near the airport. Concerns for incompatible development adjacencies new industrial next to legacy residential
- Lack of attractions, entertainment venues, and high-quality, mixed-use development near the Airport.
- Concerns along major corridors include: lack of pedestrian-friendly development; underutilization of land; undesirable uses; declining and blighted commercial development
- Median home value is 40% lower than the MSA. There is an interest in increasing the amount of middle income and higher housing stock, while preserving affordability throughout the County.
- Flint River flooding issues, especially near the Southern Regional Medical Center make hospital access a challenge and have caused flooding issues on residential properties along the river.
- Residential growth, mostly in District 1 and 3, outpacing complimentary commercial growth
- · Concerns regarding step-down zoning near mixed-use/industrial areas

- 1. Focus industrial growth in identified areas, occurring to the FLUM and Character Area Map, including areas in and near Fort Gillem and south of the H-JAIA.
- 2. Ensure compatibility between land uses when rezoning cases occur, such as industrial adjacent to legacy residential, taking into account transportation and health impacts on the surrounding community
- 3. Support investment in existing community greenspaces and new greenspaces at a variety of scales and uses to serve the entire community, with an intention of having a community greenspace within walking distance to all residents.
- 4. Focus county resources and investment in support of growth at the Mountain View, Tara Boulevard at Old Dixie, and Clayton State University nodes
- 5. Support the partnership with MARTA to implement Bus Rapid Transit Oriented Locations
- 6. Support zoning regulations, infrastructure improvements, and economic development efforts to attract MedTech and supporting uses to the Southern Regional Medical Center (SRMC) area
- 7. Support zoning and other regulatory tools that allow for a variety of housing types and affordability
- 8. Support a balance of residential growth with neighborhood serving commercial growth to support quality of life for all residents
- 9. Support Flint River initiatives and ensure land uses adjacent to the Flint River support the future trail development and economic growth.



Goal Items

- 1. **Implement Pedestrian and Bicycle Connectivity:** implement trail and sidewalk infrastructure throughout the County to connect the community to key locations and future BRT.
- 2. Improve Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety:
- 3. Enhance Safety for all Modes, Overall Beautification, and Safety of Key Corridors with Streetscape Improvements.
- 4. Promote MARTA BRT Implementation and Bus Stop Locations.
- 5. Address Aging Infrastructure: Road surface.
- 6. Improve Transportation Infrastructure to Support Key Development Sites: Road improvements, reconfigurations, widening, redesign to support development at Mountain View, Tara Boulevard/Old Dixie, and Clayton State University.

Assets

- MARTA BRT lines being planned
- Trail plan provides opportunities for implementation, but needs updating
- Potential for underground infrastructure

Challenges

- · Lack of sidewalks and safe pedestrian access throughout the community
- Need more bus shelters
- · Road conditions potholes
- · Limited implemented multi-use paths throughout County
- Truck traffic/movement associated with industrial/warehousing adjacent to non-compatible uses
- Transportation funding is insufficient to cover maintenance of existing infrastructure and to build new
- Several major roadways (Tara Blvd, SR 85, etc.) are unsafe for bicyclists and pedestrians, and lack basic bike or pedestrian infrastructure.
- Critical gap of access to university & healthcare resources/ services, Fort Gillem, and other high commerce areas
- Transit reliability and route permanence

- 1. Prioritize key trail and multi-use path connections within the County
- 2. Support the County/MARTA partnership to ensure successful implementation of the MARTA Bus Rapid Transit system and improvements to the existing local bus service
- 3. Prioritize bicycle and pedestrian facilities and improved safety
- 4. Prioritize bicycle and pedestrian access to planned and future transit
- 5. Support streetscape improvements in key redevelopment areas including Tara Boulevard, Mountain View, and Clayton State University nodes
- 6. Prepare for the next SPLOST and identify key transportation improvements
- 7. Support road improvement upgrades and maintenance funding



Goal Items

- 1. **Create and preserve a variety of housing options** for existing and future residents, from senior housing, missing middle housing, to affordable housing, middle-income housing and high end housing.
- 2. Monitor/manage investor-purchased housing and absentee landlords.
- 3. Support existing/legacy residents in maintenance and upkeep/maintenance of homes.
- 4. Support mixed-use development with a variety of housing types and affordability: within new development as well as incorporating a mix of uses near existing residential to provide access to everyday neighborhood services.
- 5. **Coordinate housing efforts with economic development efforts:** Support housing efforts at Mountain View and Tara Blvd/Old Dixie.
- 6. Support programs and policies which guide residents on a pathway to homeownership.

Assets

- Variety of home price points (although limited)
- Residential development increasing in the panhandle
- Regional access to interstates and H-JAIA leverage for housing growth

Challenges

- Lack of affordable housing for seniors
- Lack of a middle income housing stock
- · Lack of neighborhood commercial nodes in growing residential areas
- Median home value is 40% lower than the MSA
- High number of vacant properties
- High number of rental properties, particularly unmaintained properties
- · Low number of owner-occupied housing versus (poorly maintained) investor-owned properties

- 1. Highlight and build on success of the Lake Spivey housing market
- 2. Ensure new multi-family housing includes amenities, such as on-site property management, access to neighborhood retail and services
- 3. Explore strategies to introduce new and additional housing, including Public Private Partnerships (PPP)
- 4. Support affordable housing partners to preserve affordability in the County
- 5. Support land use and zoning policies that support missing middle housing
- 6. Encourage efforts to address blighted and mismanaged properties throughout the county



Goal Items

- 1. Attract high quality, mixed-use development.
- 2. Promote arts and culture as an economic tool.
- 3. Attract high-quality jobs.
- 4. Encourage young workforce development and jobs placement.
- 5. Facilitate partnerships with affiliated governments and agencies.
- 6. Improve coordination of economic development and planning.
- 7. Coordinate economic development efforts with infrastructure and planning needs on Mountain View and Tara Boulevard at Old Dixie node.
- 8. Improve quality of life through focus on health, safety and welfare of residents.
- 9. Improve image and perception of the County to attract quality development and investment.
- 10. Partner with Clayton State University by utilizing and expanding their research, recruitment, and economic resources.

Assets

- Mountain View represents greatest potential for new, large scale, high quality infill development
- Tara Blvd at Old Dixie redevelopment potential
- Future BRT and potential for TOD
- Med-Tech potential near SRMC
- Educational/research/recruitment support from Clayton State University

Challenges

- · Poor community perception, particularly related to schools, infrastructure
- Blighted buildings along key corridors
- · Lack of high quality restaurants and retail
- · Lack of communication to residents about economic development resources/services

- 1. Focus county resources and efforts on key catalytic sites, with special consideration to complementary studies, partners, or projects, such as Clayton State University, Tara Boulevard, MARTA, ARC, etc.
- 2. Support quality of life efforts that will impact attracting employers and employees to the County and Clayton State University
- 3. Support the growth of the hospitality industry, focus on Mountain View as a destination, airport adjacent properties, and Clayton State University.
- 4. Support enhanced quality of life that would attract workforce, including professors and researchers at Clayton State University
- 5. Support social mobility by partnering with Clayton State University and the K-12 Clayton County school system to ensure education tracks align with economic growth areas in the county
- 6. Support partnering with research centers at Clayton State University to assist County in projects, especially focused on economic development
- 7. Continue to collaborate with Clayton State University on common goals and visions
- 8. Support the growth of the healthcare industry and workforce in partnership with Clayton State University



INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION GOAL

Goal Items

- 1. Improve collaboration between County and the seven cities.
- 2. Improve collaboration between County and H-JAIA.
- 3. Improve communication to and with residents and business communities.
- 4. Improve coordination between Comprehensive Plan and local decision-making.
- 5. Improve collaboration between County and Clayton State University.

Assets

- County newsletter included departmental updates
- Commissioners host district-wide meetings

Challenges

- Community interest in more communication from the County
- Need more user-friendly communication of strategic plan
- Improved planning and development coordination needed between County and it's 7 cities
- Better coordination is needed between the County and Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport around growth, economic development and airport related issues.
- Better coordination needed between the County and Clayton State University around growth, economic development and education related issues.

- 1. Support sharing of information between the County and Cities
- 2. Resolve land use conflicts with other local governments through the established dispute resolution process included in the Clayton County Service Delivery Strategy
- 3. Ensure coordination between County and City officials for development policies that are complementary within the region
- 4. Maintain coordination between the vision, goals, and policies set forth in the Comprehensive Plan and the land use planning and facility siting actions of all local governments in Clayton County and the Clayton County Board of Education
- 5. Maintain coordination between the vision, goals, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and the programs and requirements of all applicable regional and state programs



BROADBAND GOAL

Goal Items

- 1. Increase access to broadband services throughout the County
- 2. Improve broadband infrastructure throughout the County

Assets

- County considering becoming municipal provider
- Federal Affordability Connectivity Program offers reduced internet costs

Challenges

- Inconsistent broadband coverage
- Internet infrastructure upgrades needed in many areas in the County from DSL to copper

- 1. Support broadband enhancements in key development areas to attract investment, job growth, and economic development efforts
- 2. Support efforts to enhance broadband services throughout the county



HEALTH, SUSTAINABILITY, AND WELLNESS GOAL

Goal Items

- 1. Mitigate environmental pollution (land, air, water, noise).
- 2. Address flooding issues associated with the Flint River.
- 3. Encourage sustainable development practices.
- 4. Protect and conserve existing natural resource.
- 5. Identify and protect cultural resources.
- 6. Utilize natural resources to improve quality of life.
- 7. Connect resources to development decisions.
- 8. Address illegal dumping and waste management issues.

Assets

- Presence of Southern Regional Medical Center
- Waste water management system is innovative and effective

Challenges

- · Health and wellness concerns from pollutants and noise associated with H-JAIA and industrial
- Flint River flooding near SRMC impacts access to County's only medical center
- Flooding along Flint River impacts existing structures and adjacent roadways
- Lack of medical facilities, outside of SRMC, including urgent care
- · Noise disturbances associated with H-JAIA

- 1. Increase trash and recycling services
- 2. Support infrastructure improvements, especially near Southern Regional Medical Center, that would remedy flooding issues associated with the Flint River
- 3. Support Clayton County Water Authority's (CCWA) efforts to enhance the quality of county-wide drinking water.
- 4. Ensure a high quality of life for residents by mitigating air, water and noise pollution due to the airport and industrial uses.
- 5. Support investment in existing community greenspaces and new greenspaces at a variety of scales and uses to serve the entire community, with an intention of having a community greenspace within walking distance to all residents.



Image Caption: View of Atlanta State Farmers Market entrance and signage in Forest Park Source: Atlanta State Farmers Market (2021)

3.3 FUTURE LAND USE MAP (2039)

The Future Focus Clayton 2040 Comprehensive Plan places a strong emphasis on Land Use as a crucial element. The community's vision for future development is established and clarified through the new Future Land Use Map (FLUM), Character Area Map, and associated policies.

The FLUM serves as a guiding document for staff to develop recommendations for rezoning applications and as a framework to guide future development decisions. It identifies the desired future use of land and the development vision of the community. Meanwhile, the Character Area Map provides more detailed planning guidance for specific geographic areas. Both maps heavily reflect the feedback received from the community during the planning process. New land uses and changes from the previous FLUM are noted in the table below and on the following map. More detailed area maps highlighting specific parcel changes can be found on the following spreads. These maps depict FLU changes to specific parcels as a result of this comprehensive planning process; they do not include those modifications approved by the Board of Commissioners (BOC) between 2014 and the time of writing this document.

| Future Land Use (2039) | Sum of Acreage | FLU 2039 Acreage % | FLU 2034 (Previous) Acreage % | % of Area Increased/ Decreased* | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Agriculture | 13,082 | 19.73% | 12.44% | 7.29% | |
| Conservation Residential | 3,160 | 4.77% | 13.09% | -8.32% | |
| General Commercial | 2,178 | 3.28% | 3.88% | -0.60% | |
| Heavy Industrial | 2,818 | 4.25% | 3.38% | 0.87% | |
| High Density Residential | 3,113 | 4.69% | 3.68% | 1.01% | |
| Light Industrial | 591 | 0.89% | 0.04% | 0.85% | |
| Low Density Residential | 26,442 | 39.87% | 18.22% | 21.65% | |
| Medium Density Residential | 1,974 | 2.98% | 20.63% | -17.65% | |
| Mixed Use | 2,972 | 4.48% | 7.89% | -3.41% | |
| Mixed Use Commercial-Industrial | 5,335 | 8.04% | 9.31% | -1.27% | |
| Office / Business | 1,077 | 1.62% | 0.05% | 1.57% | |
| Parks/Recreation/Conservation | 194 | 0.29% | 2.78% | -2.49% | |
| Planned Unit Development | 3,378 | 5.09% | 0.00% | 5.09% | |
| Public/Institutional | N/A | N/A | 0.39% | N/A | |
| Transportation/Utilities | N/A | N/A | 4.00% | N/A | |
| Neighborhood Commercial | N/A | N/A | 0.22% | N/A | |
| Grand Total | 66,313 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | |

Figure 44. 2039 Future Land Use Distribution (2024).

*"% of Area Increased/Decreased" shows the percent acreage changed between the 2034 FLU and 2039 FLU of a specific land use category. Numbers highlighted in red represent a decrease in overall area, meaning there is a smaller portion of that land use in the 2039 FLU map. Numbers highlighted in green represent an increase in area, meaning those land use categories have a greater amount of acreage in the 2039 FLU map as compared to the 2034 FLU map.



Figure 45. 2039 Future Land Use Map (2024)

Future Land Use Development Nodes and Proposed Parcel Changes



15.1: BRT Route 54 Overlay 1: Southlake Mall, County Schools Concert Space





15.2 & 16.1: BRT Overlay 2, SRMC, Tara Boulevard Node




15.3: BRT Overlay 3: Garden Walk Boulevard





15.4: BRT Overlay 4



Land Use Legend



Heavy Industrial High-Density Residentia Light Industrial

Low-Density Residential Medium-Density Residential Mixed-Use Mixed-Use Commercial-Industri

Parks/Recreation/Conservation

Office / Business

Planned Unit Development

18: Anvil Block: Gateway into Fort Gillem



21: Rex Village Arts District



22: Clayton County New Facilities Headquarters





23: Tara Boulevard at Freeman Road







25: Noahs Arc at Industrial Boulevard



Implementation - Clayton Takes Flight

26: Panhandle Road & New Hope Road





27 Panhandle Road & Hampton Road



Land Use Legend



Heavy Industrial High-Density Residentia

Low-Density Residential Medium-Density Residential Mixed-Use

Mixed-Use Commercial-Industri Office / Business

Parks/Recreation/Conservation

Planned Unit Development

28. Panola Road & Forest Parkway





Hwy 85 and Forest Parkway





3.4 CHARACTER AREAS

The Character Area Map acts as a blueprint for Clayton County's future development, pinpointing specific regions destined to embody distinct or special traits. These traits stem from existing conditions or aspirations for the future. Some areas already possess unique character, while others hold the potential to cultivate such identity through forthcoming board decisions. These character areas delineate suitable development types for each locale, aiming to foster a sense of identity, stimulate infill development, offer diverse employment opportunities, and promote alternative transportation options. This segment comprises concise descriptions of each character area alongside envisioned futures. It outlines recommended land uses, corresponding zoning, and development and design strategies tailored to realize these visions.



Image Caption: View of community members participating at a community engagement event (2024)



Figure 46. Character Area Map (2024)

Commercial Redevelopment

The Commercial Redevelopment character area is intended to be redeveloped and revitalized to encourage economic growth and activity. The focus is the retrofit and/or redevelopment of large commercial strip centers into mixed-use, high-quality developments that provide amenities and support to the Clayton community.

Recommended Land Uses: Mixed-use, Retail (sales and services), Restaurant (sit-down), Family entertainment center/game room center, Medium-density Residential, and Office

- Emphasize aesthetics through the installation of suitable signage, landscaping enhancements, and other beautification initiatives
- Address existing strip development or unsightly features through retrofitting or masking techniques as required
- Encourage mixed-use development on large, under-utilized parcels
- Design pedestrian-oriented centers, with strong, walkable connections between different uses
- Encourage new structures to be built closer to the street front with parking in the rear
- Entrances should face the street to make visible active uses along the corridor



Commercial Redevelopment



Figure 47. View of Woburn Village in Woburn, MA



Figure 48. View of a redevelopment office building in Chamblee, GA Source: Google Street View (2023)

Conservation/Environmental

This character area comprises natural lands and environmentally sensitive areas unsuitable for development, including scenic views, coastlines, steep slopes, floodplains, wetlands, watersheds, wildlife management areas, and other ecologically significant regions.

Recommended Land Uses: Wildlife Habitat, Natural Reserves, Ecological Restoration, and Passive Recreation

- Maintain natural, rural character and protect environmentally sensitive areas by:
 - a. Not allowing any new development
 - b. Promoting use of conservation easements
 - c. Widening roadways in these areas only when necessary
 - d. Carefully designing the roadway alterations to minimize visual impact
 - e. Promoting these areas as passive-use tourism and recreation destinations



Conservation/Environmental



Image Caption: View of Newman Wetlands Center Source: See Clayton County (2024)



Image Caption: View of Newman Wetlands Center Source: See Clayton County (2024)

Conservation / Flint River Activity

Situated along the Flint River in Clayton County, this character area protects and enhances the areas adjacent to the Flint River. The character area includes required conservation buffers along the waterway to protect this natural resource, as well as encouraging complimentary uses, amenities, and activities to enhance the livability and accessibility to this resource. This includes trails, open space, recreation, as well as retail, restaurants, commercial, office, and residential located outside of the required buffer.

Recommended Land Uses: Wildlife Habitat, Natural Reserves, Ecological Restoration, Passive Recreation, Medium Density Residential, High Density Residential, Office, Commercial, and Mixed-use

- Create pedestrian and alternative transportation mode access to the Flint River and neighboring communities with greenway trails and pedestrian friendly design
- Set aside land for pedestrian and bicycle connections between schools, churches, recreation areas, city centers, residential neighborhoods, and commercial areas
- Design greenways to serve as: Alternative transportation networks, accommodating commuting to work or shopping as well as recreational biking, skateboarding, walking, and jogging
- Forst Pkwy

- All new or redeveloped structures to be built outside of floodplains, buffers, and required setbacks to preserve the quality of the Flint River
- Situate new buildings to open to the Flint River, consider retail and commercial storefronts to open to and connect to the riverfront and associated trails/pathways. Situate parking away from the river so the building creates a pedestrian buffer between parking and the riverfront

Conservation / Flint River Activity



Image Caption: View of the Flint River Source: Clayton News Daily (2013)



Image Caption: View of Flint River shoals Image Caption: American Rivers (2023)

Employment Center

The employment center is designed as a campusstyle development. It features high accessibility for vehicular traffic and, if applicable, transit options, along with ample on-site parking. The center is characterized by a moderate floor-area ratio and a accessible open space.

Recommended Land Uses: Office, Commercial, Retail, Dining, Services, Parking, Green Space, Transit Hub, and Residential

- Encourage sustainable practices on large parking surfaces, including pervious paving, shade trees, and sustainable stormwater management practices
- Shield utilities, cars, and other service areas with landscaping and decorative fencing
- Encourage greater mix of uses (such as retail and services to serve office employees) to reduce automobile reliance/use on site
- Consider shared parking strategies to reduce overall parking area and encourage walkability/reduce reliance on automobiles within and adjacent to the site
- Prioritize pedestrian-friendly design elements to encourage walkability and reduce reliance on automobiles
- Designate areas for public gathering and recreation to foster social interaction and community engagement.
- Encourage new structures to be built closer to the street front with parking in the rear
- Entrances should face the street to make visible active uses along the corridor



Employment Center



Image Caption: Mixed-use development in Atlanta, GA Source: Juneau Construction Company/Bryn House (2024)



Image Caption: View of Clayton State University Source: Clayton State University (2011)

Gateway Corridor

This character area is specific to the Tara Boulevard and Old Dixie corridor. The Gateway Corridor stands as a vital artery and gateway to the community. Its purpose is to provide a major transportation route and act as a focal point for accessibility, connecting the community to essential services, businesses, and amenities. As this corridor welcomes residents and visitors into the community, the visual appeal is important to promote a welcoming, inclusive, and attractive environment.

Recommended Land Uses: Mixed-use, Retail (sales and services), Restaurant (sit-down), Family entertainment center/game room center, Hotel, Medium- to High-density Residential, and Office

- Emphasize aesthetics through the installation of suitable signage, landscaping enhancements, and other beautification initiatives
- Implement measures to regulate access, ensure smooth traffic flow, and utilize directory and wayfinding signage to guide visitors to clustered developments
- Address existing strip development or unsightly features through retrofitting or masking techniques as required
- Encourage mixed-use development on large, under-utilized parcels that support existing and future transit
- Install larger and more identified Gateway Corridor signage
- · Emphasis on the pedestrian access along this corridor with safer pedestrian crossings and access
- Consider minimizing curb cuts and increasing inter-parcel access, widening sidewalks, and improving crosswalk safety
- Encourage new structures to be built closer to the street front with parking in the rear
- Entrances should face the street to make visible active uses along the corridor



Gateway Corridor



Image Caption: View of restaurants along Hugh Howell Road in Tucker, Georgia Source: Google Street View (2023)



Historic Area

Located at the junction of Homestead Road, Rex Road, and Mill Street, this historic area showcases distinctive features, landmarks, and cultural sites of historical significance. The intent is to preserve historic areas and revitalize to create places that benefit and enhance the community.

Recommended Land Uses: Heritage Buildings, Monuments and Memorials, Preservation Zones, Cultural Districts, Interpretive Centers, Archaeological Sites, Heritage Trails, Conservation Areas, Mixed-Use, Residential, Office, and Commercial

- Protect historic properties from demolition and encourage rehabilitation with appropriate incentives, including National Register of Historic places designation, which enables eligibility for tax incentive programs
- Historic properties should be maintained or rehabilitated/restored according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation
- New development in the area should be of scale and architectural design to fit well into the historic fabric of that area
- Pedestrian access and open space should be provided to enhance citizen enjoyment of the area
- Linkages to regional greenspace/trail system should be encouraged as well







Image Caption: View of Clayton County Courthouse in Jonesboro Historic District Source: Thomson200 (2015)

Industrial

Mainly located in northern Clayton near Hartsfield-JacksonAtlantaInternationalAirport (H-JAIA) and the Ellenwood area along I-675, the Industrial Area facilitates manufacturing, wholesale trade, and distribution activities, with varying levels of environmental impact such as noise, particulate matter, and odor emissions.

Recommended Land Uses: Manufacturing Facilities, Warehouses, Distribution Centers, Industrial Parks, Logistics Terminals, Processing Plants, Assembly Plants, Industrial Yards

- Develop or, where possible, retrofit as part of planned industrial park having adequate water, sewer, stormwater, and transportation infrastructure for all component uses at build-out
- Incorporate landscaping and site design to soften or shield views of buildings and parking lots, loading docks, etc.
- Incorporate signage and lighting guidelines to enhance quality of development.
- Encourage greater mix of uses (such as retail and services to serve industry employees) to reduce automobile reliance/use on site



Industrial



Image Caption: View of a distribution center Source: McColly Bennett Commercial Advantage (2019)



Image Caption: View of a logistics center in Tucker, GA Source: City of Tucker (2022)

Mixed-use Industrial

The Mixed-Use Industrial character area blends industrial activities with commercial and residential components, creating a vibrant and diverse urban environment. This area accommodates a range of functions, from manufacturing and distribution to retail and office spaces, creating opportunities for livework-play lifestyles and promoting economic vitality. The Mixed-Use Industrial area serves as a hub of innovation, commerce, and community engagement. This character area also provides a transition zone from residential to mixed-use industrial uses.

Recommended Land Uses: Light Manufacturing Facilities, Commercial Offices, Retail Spaces, Warehouses, Live-Work Units, Residential Apartments, Research and Development Centers, and Flex Spaces

- Encourage separation of heavy industry from residential areas to minimize disruptions
- Simplify pedestrian pathways for easier navigation
- Consider shared parking strategies to reduce overall parking area and encourage walkability/reduce reliance on automobiles within and adjacent to the site
- Incorporate green spaces to enhance the environment and provide areas for relaxation
- Implement soundproofing measures to reduce noise pollution
- Facilitate access to public transportation to decrease reliance on cars
- · Promote energy-efficient building designs to support sustainability
- · Enforce zoning regulations to maintain a balanced mix of land uses within the area



Mixed-use Industrial



Image Caption: View of a retail area in Chamblee, GA Source: City of Tucker (2022)

Neighborhood Center

The intent of the Neighborhood Center is to have a concentration of activities such as general retail, school, service commercial, professional office, higher-density housing, and appropriate public and open space uses easily accessible by pedestrians to serve the surrounding residential neighborhoods.

Recommended Land Uses: Public and civic facilities, Mixed-Use, General Commercial, Neighborhood Commercial, Medium- to High-Density Residential, Public Institutions, Office/Business, Parks & Recreational

Development & Design Strategy

This area is focused on preserving and enhancing residential neighborhoods. Strategies include:

- Encourage a variety of housing types, including townhomes and missing middle housing to provide a diversity of living options and price points while providing options for working-class, veterans, and seniors
- Encourage mid-income to upper-income housing developments to provide housing options that will attract new employers to invest and live in the area.
- Encourage all new residential and mixed-use development that includes more than eight units to incorporate greenspace that can be shared by the surrounding community



- Design pedestrian-oriented centers, with strong, walkable connections between different uses
- Improving roadways within and leading to neighborhood centers to include sidewalks and other pedestrian friendly trails and bike routes linking to neighborhood amenities, such as libraries, neighborhood centers, health facilities, parks, and schools
- · Clearly define road edges by locating buildings at roadside with parking in the rear
- · Connect neighborhoods to greenspace amenities with sidewalks and trails
- Encourage new structures to be built closer to the street front with parking in the rear
- Entrances should face the street to make visible active uses along the corridor

Neighborhood Center



Image Caption: View of a neighborhood retail area in Brookhaven, GA Source: City of Tucker (2022)



Image Caption: View of a brewery Source: Clarence Boston (2021)

Regional Center

The Regional Center character area includes two locations within Clayton County: 1) The Mountain View District and Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport; and 2) Clayton State University and surrounding parcels. The purpose of the Regional Center is to create a regional hub that provides transit accessibility, walkability, and higher density mixed-use development that compliments and enhances these regional employers - H-JAIA and Clayton State University - along with opportunities for higher wage employment, innovative technology-based job opportunities, recreation, entertainment, and an attractive environment for the residents, businesses, visitors, and travelers alike.

Recommended Land Uses: Education, International Mixed-Use Office, Regional Mixed-Use Retail, Mixed Use Residential, Regional Entertainment, Advanced Manufacturing, and Hotel

- Prioritize design and access to public transportation to foster a walkable environment that reduces reliance on vehicles
- Encourage a mix of uses within the district, including opportunities for employment, innovation, amenities, venues, and options
- Emphasize the creation of environments that contribute to the attractiveness and desirability of the district
- Enhance streetscape design that is highly pedestrian-oriented, connecting parcels to each other and to current and future transit
- Integrate recreational and entertainment amenities into the district to enhance its appeal to residents, workers, and visitors. This could include parks, plazas, cultural venues, theaters, dine-in restaurants, cafes, and retail establishments
- Encouraging greater density developments
- · Encourage new structures to be built closer to the street front with parking in the rear
- Entrances should face the street to make visible active uses along the corridor



Regional Center



Image Caption: View of Avalon development in Alpharetta, GA Source: Site Solutions (2019)



Image Caption: View of Rivana at Innovation Station conceptual rendering in Loudon County, VA Source: Loudon County Economic Development (2021)

Regional Center Medical

Situated adjacent to and including Southern Regional Medical Center, this area encompasses hospitals, medical offices, and laboratories. Complementary amenities comprise townhouses, multifamily dwellings, and hotels designed specifically to accommodate medical professionals and medical users, as well as food and service establishments accessible to workers and visitors. The purpose of this zone is to foster competitiveness through convenient access to doctors' offices, diagnostic laboratories, pharmacies, and advanced medical access. It aims to bolster existing healthcare services while accommodating advancements in medical technology and the life sciences sector.

Recommended Land Uses: Hospital, Medical office, Laboratory uses, Medical research and development, Medical production, Medical technology, Biomedical companies and technical training facilities, Continuing Care Retirement Community housing, Mixed-use redevelopment, Business-class hotels, Retail and service commercial (including casual and sit-down dining), Townhomes, and other housing for medical professionals.

- Encourage the prioritization of medically related development and established medical facilities with a focus on attracting medical technology
- Encourage supportive commercial development that serves the existing medical uses, including restaurants, retail, housing, and hotel
- Emphasize streetscape design that is pedestrian-oriented, connecting parcels to each other and to current and future transit
- Beautify properties along the street with landscaping and enhanced signage standards
- Renovate underutilized medical buildings for new lab spaces



Regional Center Medical

- Expanding the area as a healthcare cluster with medical offices and ancillary activities by identifying other medically related activities, possibly including a retirement community and housing targeted to healthcare staffing
- Encouraging general highway-related commercial and mixed-use activities that serve the existing medical uses, including limited-service business-class hotel and casual and sit-down dining
- Externally and street-oriented campuses focused on transit options and connectivity to nearby neighborhoods and residential developments
- Higher densities and taller buildings
- Encourage new structures to be built closer to the street front with parking in the rear
- Entrances should face the street to make visible active uses along the corridor



Image Caption: View of a medical clinic in Chamblee, GA Source: City of Tucker (2022)

Transit-Oriented Development

This character area aligns with the locations of the planned Metro Atlanta Regional Transit Authority (MARTA) Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Transit Oriented Development (TOD) sites along Riverdale Road, Garden Walk Boulevard, Upper Riverdale Road, and Mt. Zion Road. The purpose of the Transit Oriented Development Character Area is to encourage and enable the development of moderate to high-intensity, compact, mixed-use urban neighborhoods near transit stations where people can live, work, shop, dine, and pursue cultural and recreational opportunities while enjoying a range of mobility choices. TOD development standards, combined with limits on auto-centric uses, are designed to create vibrant neighborhoods with a robust network of streets, sidewalks, and bicycle paths, providing safe and convenient access to transit stations.

Recommend Land Uses: Mixed-Use, General Commercial, High Density Residential, Public Institutions, and Office/Business

- Encourage a mix of residential, commercial, and recreational spaces within walking distance of public transit stations
- Prioritize sidewalks, crosswalks, and pedestrian paths to ensure safe and convenient walking routes between destinations
- Image: Contract of the set of the set

- Designate space for transit stations, bus stops, and other public transportation facilities to be easily accessible and integrated into the community
- Encourage dense, compact development with buildings placed closer together to maximize land use efficiency and reduce sprawl
- Include bike lanes, bike racks, and bike-sharing programs to promote cycling as an alternative mode of transportation
- Incorporate parks, plazas, and greenery to provide residents and visitors with recreational areas and improve the overall aesthetic appeal

Transit-Oriented Development

- Include provisions for affordable housing options to ensure that people of all income levels can live within the community
- Provide amenities such as grocery stores, cafes, schools, and healthcare facilities within walking distance of transit stations to enhance the quality of life for residents
- Encourage new structures to be built closer to the street front with parking in the rear.
- Entrances should face the street to make visible active uses along the corridor.



Image Caption: View of a mixed-use development and green space in Mansfield, CT Source: Leyland Alliance (2017)



Image Caption: View of a multi-family development in Atlanta, GA Source: City of Tucker (2022)

3.5 COMMUNITY WORK PROGRAM

A Community Work Program defines the necessary steps for ensuring the effectiveness and success of a community's comprehensive plan. It encompasses the plan's objectives, the strategies and tactics devised to attain these objectives, a timeline for implementation, the roles and responsibilities assigned to stakeholders, and the resources and funding required to execute the plan.

| # | Activity | [°] 24 | Ti1 '25 | nefra '26 | те '27 | ' 28 | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source |
|--|--|-----------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---|---|--|
| | Econo | | | | | | | | |
| Items 1-13 are ongoing items from the 2019 CWP that are still relevant and are hence being included in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | |
| ED-1 | Trade Center and Small Business Incubator -Class A office building at the entrance to Clayton State University to attract | X | X | X | Х | X | \$2.2 million for build out | Econ. Dev. | SPLOST/ Private |
| ED-2 | "Welcome to Clayton County" Signage strategically placed at North, South, East, and West entrances into the County. The signs are anticipated to measure approximately 10 to 20 feet in width and be constructed of brick, stone or concrete. The greeting signs will showcase the County's new brand and image | | X | x | | | \$100,000- 200,000 | Econ. Dev. / Communications | SPLOST |
| ED-3 | Develop formal agreement and approach between the Cities and County to collectively shape a positive image for Clayton County, both internally and externally | X | X | X | Х | X | \$0 - \$100,000/ year for County | County/Cities/ Econ. Dev. Office/City and County Mgr. Offices | County/ Cities/ Tourism funds |
| ED-4 | Elevate importance of retaining and expanding existing industry in Clayton County. Broaden the number of people formally involved in the effort to visit, retain and expand existing industry | x | X | | | | Staff time | Chamber of Commerce/Econ. Dev. Office/ Dev. Auth./ Existing Industry Committee | Econ. Dev./ Dev. Auth. |
| ED-5 | Build upon existing activities that promote entrepreneurship and strengthen the small business community in Clayton County | X | X | | | | \$20,000 | Econ. Dev. | General Fund / ARPA Funds |
| ED-6 | Measure number and type of small business support activities occurring in Clayton County | x | X | X | Х | X | \$5,500 | Econ Dev. / Central Services | Econ. Dev. |
| ED-7 | Prioritize workforce development as a key economic development tool for improving family self- sufficiency and reducing unemployment | X | | | | | Staff time | Atlanta CareerRise Working Group/ Econ. Dev. Office | Econ. Dev./ Dev. Auth. |

| # | Activity | Timeframe | | | | | Estimated Cost | Responsible | Funding | | |
|----------------------|---|-------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 11 | | ' 24 | '25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Listinated Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | |
| Economic Development | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ED-8 | Formally outline roles for existing organizations focused on supporting small businesses | Х | X | Х | X | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev. | Econ. Dev. | | |
| ED-9 | Develop and implement incentives and programs to encourage redevelopment of vacant and underutilized commercial properties | Х | Х | Х | | | Varied, but could be significant depending on consensus | Econ. Dev./ County/ Chamber/ Dev. Auth. | County/ Chamber/ Dev. Auth. | | |
| ED-10 | Develop a framework within Clayton State University that fosters internal collaboration and external engagement towards the benefit of community and economic development initiatives | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Clayton State Univ./ County/ Econ. Dev | County/ Chamber | | |
| ED-11 | Promote the formation of Community Improvement Districts, especially near the airport, industrial districts, and along major commercial corridors | X | X | Х | X | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev./ County Chamber/ Private | Private/ County | | |
| ED-12 | Develop a current marketing plan for the County which determines the most effective media for promoting opportunities in Clayton County; i.e. magazines, Web sites, advertising, etc. | Х | Х | Х | X | Х | \$35,000 | Public Information Office / Econ. Dev. | General Fund / Econ. Dev. | | |
| ED-13 | Encourage establishment of businesses and activities that will provide for activity in the evening hours, particularly entertainment, shopping and dining options | х | | x | | x | Staff time (could range from minimal \$ for ordinance/overlay rewrite to more \$ for targeted recruitment and marketing effort) | Chamber of Commerce/ CDD-P&Z | County/ Tourism funds | | |
| | Items 14 - 2 | l7 ar | e nev | v iter | ns in | this | 2024 CWP | | | | |
| ED-14 | Develop a Clayton County marketing campaign and seek input and buy-in throughout the County. | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev. | Econ. Dev. | | |
| ED-15 | Expand website content with additional data related to targeted industries, workforce, programs, incentive opportunities and property tax rates. | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev. | Econ. Dev. | | |
| ED-16 | Develop an economic development incentive package | Х | Х | | | | Staff time | Econ. Dev. | Econ. Dev. | | |
| ED-17 | Develop a program to identify, assess, and clean-up brownfield sites for redevelopment in the county | X | X | X | X | x | \$7,000 | Econ. Dev./ Community Development | Grant pending | | |

| # | Activity | ' 24 | Tii '25 | mefra '26 | me '27 | ' 28 | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | | | |
|--|---|-------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 1 | Deve | elopn | nent | Aut | horit | У | | | | | |
| Item 1 is an ongoing item from the 2019 CWP which is still relevant and is hence being included in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DA-1 | Identify and develop plans for the revitalization of declining or vacant strip shopping centers and "big-box" commercial structures throughout the County | | X | | | | Staff time | Planning and Zoning/ Clayton County Development Authority (CCDA) | General Fund | | | |
| | Items 2 - 12 are new items in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DA-2 | Focus County resources on catalytic sites, including Mountain View, Tara Boulevard, and Clayton State University | х | х | х | Х | Х | Staff time | Econ. Dev./ Dev. Auth. | N/A | | | |
| DA-3 | Continue to work with the Development Authority to consolidate Mountain View properties | X | X | X | Х | Х | Varies | Econ. Dev. / Dev. Auth. | SPLOST/ County General Funds | | | |
| DA-4 | Appoint Mountain View Project Manager to oversee implementation | X | | | | | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Dev. Auth. | Econ. Dev. Office / Dev. Auth. | | | |
| DA-5 | Implement Mountain View implementation actions | х | Х | х | Х | Х | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Dev. Auth. | General Fund / Econ. Dev. Office / Dev. Auth. | | | |
| DA-6 | Leverage and market the planned MARTA BRT routes and transit center to attract higher density development and jobs | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Dev. Auth. | Econ. Dev. Office | | | |
| DA-7 | Coordinate improvements County-wide to freight operations enhancement with the Cities of Atlanta and Savannah | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Dev. Auth. | Econ. Dev. Office | | | |
| # | Activity | | Tiı | nefra | me | | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source |
|-------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--|---|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | 0030 | | Jouree |
| |] | Deve | lopn | nent | Aut | horit | у | | |
| DA-8 | Add workforce/labor force questions into the pre-application process as a means to connect workforce and educational offerings in the County with jobs | Х | | | | | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Comm. Dev. | Econ. Dev. Office / Comm. Dev. |
| DA-9 | Continue participation in Learn4Life and support priority wrap-around services in Clayton schools | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Schools | Econ. Dev. Office |
| DA-10 | Based on Clayton Facilities Master Plan results, partner with Clayton State University on space needs within existing excess buildings on campus - consider economic develop offices, community space, etc. | | X | X | | | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Intergovern- mental Coord | General Fund / Econ. Dev. |
| DA-11 | Create a marketing campaign to highlight and build on the success of Lake Spivey housing market | | Х | | | | \$10,000 | Econ. Dev. / Communica- tions | Econ. Dev. Office / Dev. Auth. |
| DA-12 | Identify locations for mixed-use and residential development that can accommodate diverse housing options | | X | X | | | Staff time | Econ. Dev. / Housing Authority / Community Development | County Econ. Dev. / Dev. Auth. / Comm. Dev. / Housing Authority |

| # | # Activity | | Tii | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--|---------|--|--|
| | , | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | |
| | | Off | ice o | f Re | silie | ncy | | | | | |
| Item 1 is a new item in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R-1 | Flint River Gateway Trails Master Plan | X | X | X | X | X | \$14,900,000 | Clayton County Office of Resiliency, ARC, and partners | ARC | | |

| # | Activity | | Tir | nefra | ıme | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding |
|-------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| | ý | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source |
| | Commu | nity | De | velo | pme | ent | | | |
| Items | 1-4 are ongoing items from the 2019 CWP that | are s | still r | eleva | int ai | nd ar | e hence beir | ng included in th | |
| CD-1 | Determine land use requirements that would support the concept of Aerotropolis | Х | Х | X | X | Х | Staff time | CDD-P&Z | Included in Staff time |
| CD-2 | Pursue funding assistance for the continuation of efforts to improve the Tara Boulevard entrance to Clayton County - via an LCI study grant | X | | | | | \$400,000 | Community Development/ ARC | ARC |
| CD-3 | Develop a formal forum for coordination between the Clayton County Board of Education and Clayton County with regard to new schools and residential developments deemed to have a significant impact on school capacity | Х | Х | х | X | Х | Staff time | Community Development/ CCPS | Included in Staff time |
| CD-4 | Pursue funding for streetscape improvements to enhance the visual quality of Clayton County's major roadways | X | | | | | Staff time | CDD-P&Z/ Transportation | Included in Staff time |
| | Items 5 - 23 are 1 | new | item | s in 1 | this 2 | 2024 | CWP | | |
| CD-5 | Develop and incorporate industrial design guides | | Х | х | | | \$10,000 - 20,000 | Community Development | ARC CDAP / Comm. Dev. |
| CD-6 | Consider development of a mixed-use industrial zoning category that includes residential uses | x | х | | | | Staff time | Community Development | ARC CDAP / Comm. Dev. |
| CD-7 | Update land use and zoning to support community greenspace policies | | X | X | | | Staff time | Community Development / Parks and Rec | ARC CDAP / Comm. Dev. |
| CD-8 | Develop a small area plan for the Clayton State University area, in partnership with the City of Morrow and Lake City | | Х | X | X | | \$150,000- 200,000 | Community Development | Comm. Dev. / General Funds |
| CD-9 | Implement county-wide public and private space design guides | | Х | X | | | \$75,000- 150,000 | Community Development | Comm. Dev. / General Funds |
| CD-10 | Create design standards for key overlay districts and corridors, including Mountain View, Tara Boulevard, and Clayton State University nodes | | X | X | | | \$20,000- 100,000 | Community Development | Community Develop- ment / Gen- eral Funds |
| CD-11 | Update zoning and land use based on recommendations from the Tara Boulevard LCI, once complete | | X | | | | Staff time | Community Development | Included in Staff time |
| CD-12 | Update land use and zoning to support transit stops and the transit transfer center. | | X | X | X | | TBD based on scope of work | Community Development | Community Develop- ment / Gen- eral Funds / ARC CDAP |

| # | Activity | | Tit | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible Dept./ | Funding Source |
|-----------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|--|---|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Agency | |
| | Comm | unit | y D | evel | opn | nent | | | |
| CD- 13 | Create TOD regulations/standards unique to Clayton County | | X | x | X | | \$50,000- 150,000 | Community Development | Community Development / General Funds / ARC CDAP |
| CD- 14 | Create a Medical District Overlay at Southern Regional Medical Center and surrounding parcels | X | x | | | | Staff time | Community Development | Included in Staff time |
| CD- 15 | Identify potential neighborhood serving nodes, particularly in high residential growth areas to ensure a balance of residential to neighborhood serving commercial | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Staff time | Community Development | Included in Staff time |
| CD- 16 | Conduct a land use viability study along the Flint River to ensure land uses are compatible with the trail planning and encourage economic development along this important asset. | | X | X | Х | | \$50,000- 150,000 | Community Development | Community Development / General Funds / ARC CDAP |
| CD- 17 | Create multi-family design guidelines to ensure quality of multi-family housing is achieved | | X | X | X | | \$20,000- 50,000 | Community Development / Housing Authority | Community Development / General Funds / ARC CDAP |
| CD- 18 | Review residential zoning to determine revisions that will encourage increased development of senior and missing middle housing | | X | X | | | Staff time | Community Development / Housing Authority | Community Development / General Funds / ARC CDAP |
| CD- 19 | Identify residential developments or areas in need of additional code enforcement or acquisition | | Х | Х | | | Staff time | Community Development | Community Development / General Funds / ARC CDAP |
| CD- 20 | As recommended in the Tara Boulevard LCI, induct a placemaking signage study to improve beautification of the corridor. | | Х | х | | | Staff time | Community Development | Community Development / General Funds / ARC CDAP |
| CD- 21 | Implement action items for the Blueprint 2.0 Community Sites that are within unincorporated Clayton County, including Mountain View and Dixie Road | X | X | X | Х | Х | Staff time | Community Development | TBD |
| CD- 22 | Identify strategies to deal with investor properties that are mismanaged/blighted, beginning with identifying and cataloging blighted properties in need of maintenance and inventorying investor-owned properties throughout the county. | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Housing Authority / Community Development | General Funds / Housing Authority / Community Development / ARC CDAP |

| # | Activity | (2.1 | | nefra | | | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./ | Funding Source |
|------------|---|-------|-------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Ϋ́ | '24 | ' 25 | °26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | | Agency | |
| T . | | | | tatio | | | | | |
| Item | s 1-13 are ongoing items from the 2019 CWP th | at ar | e stil | l rele | vant | and | are hence being | included in th | e 2024 CWP |
| T-1 | Roadway Capacity Improvements - Battle Creek Road from Southlake Pkwy to Valley Hill Road & Mt. Zion Blvd from Southlake Pkwy to Lake Harbin Rd. (these are combined items 1 & 2 from the 2019 CWP) | Х | Х | Х | Х | | \$100,000,000 | Transpor- tation / GDOT | 2024 - 2028 TIP/ County |
| T-2 | Traffic Signal, Signing and Pavement marking- related improvement in unincorporated areas | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$5,500,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-3 | County-wide sidewalk construction | Х | Х | х | Х | х | \$5,500,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-4 | Bridge/culvert upgrades and replacements in unincorporated areas | Х | Х | Х | Х | х | \$4,000,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-5 | CEI Services for projects | Х | Х | х | Х | х | \$1,000,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-6 | Street Resurfacing in unincorporated areas Maintenance | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$60,000,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-7 | Roadway Maintenance and Resurfacing | Х | Х | Х | Х | х | \$32,400,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-8 | Traffic Signals, Signage and Striping | Х | х | х | х | х | \$44,650,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-9 | Bridge/Culvert upgrades, Repairs and Replacements | Х | х | х | х | х | \$4,750,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-10 | Roadway Safety Improvements | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$3,500,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-11 | Sidewalk Installation | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$13,500,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-12 | Valley Hill Rd. Widening (Battle Creek Rd. to City of Riverdale) | Х | Х | Х | | | \$17,300,000 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| T-13 | Landscaping/Lighting | Х | х | х | х | х | \$684,279 | Transporta- tion | 2020 SPLOST |
| | Items 14 - 27 ar | e nev | w ite | ms in | n this | s 202 | 4 CWP | | |
| T-14 | Update Comprehensive Transportation Plan; create connectivity to adjacent properties for transit systems (such as sidewalks) | | X | x | | | \$150,000 - 200,000 | Transporta- tion | SPLOST |
| T-15 | Assist, as needed, with MARTA improvements to high ridership bus stop locations | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Staff time | Transporta- tion | Included in Staff time |
| T-16 | Continue to partner with MARTA during the design phase of the Southlake Rapid and State Route 54 Rapid BRT lines - align with land use and economic development efforts | Х | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Transporta- tion | Included in Staff time |

| # | Activity | | Ti | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible Dept./ | Funding Source |
|------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Agency | |
| | | Гran | spor | tatio | n | | | | |
| T-17 | Consider applying to the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) Grant Program and develop safety action plans | Х | Х | X | Х | X | Staff time | Transporta- tion | Included in Staff time |
| T-18 | Identify missing sidewalk infrastructure in high pedestrian locations, high ridership bus stops, and planned MARTA Bus Rapid stops | | X | X | | | Included in item 14 | Transporta- tion | SPLOST |
| T-19 | Prepare for next SPLOST and identify priority transportation projects to be funded | Х | Х | х | Х | х | Staff time | Transporta- tion | Included in Staff time |
| T-20 | Consider adopting TSPLOST to support infrastructure maintenance | Х | Х | Х | Х | X | Staff time | Transporta- tion | Included in Staff time |
| T-21 | Tara Blvd LCI: Complete pedestrian improvements at Arrowhead Blvd Sidewalk Connection | Х | Х | X | Х | X | Staff time | Transporta- tion | County/GDOT |
| Т-22 | Tara Blvd LCI: Complete intersection improvement at Valley Hill Rd and Tara Blvd crossing | X | Х | X | X | X | Staff time | Transporta- tion | County/GDOT |
| T-23 | Tara Blvd LCI: Complete pedestrian improvements at Tara Blvd | Х | Х | X | Х | X | Staff time | Transporta- tion | County/GDOT |
| T-24 | Tara Blvd LCI: Create a MARTA BRT Working Group | Х | X | X | Х | x | Staff time | Transporta- tion | County/Jones- boro/Lovejoy/ GDOT |
| T-25 | Tara Blvd LCI: Create a Tara Blvd Design Working Group | Х | Х | х | Х | х | Staff time | Transporta- tion | County/Jones- boro/Lovejoy/ GDOT |
| T-26 | Tara Blvd LCI: Implement GDOT planned pedestrian hybrid beacons | Х | Х | х | Х | х | Staff time | Transporta- tion | County/GDOT |
| T-27 | Tara Blvd LCI: Implement High-Priority Leading Pedestrian Interval locations | х | х | х | х | x | Staff time | Transporta- tion | County/GDOT |

| # | Activity | Timeframe | | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | | |
|-------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source |
| | Inter | gove | rnm | enta | 1 C o | ordir | nation | | |
| Items | s 1-5 are ongoing items from the 2019 C | WP tl | nat ar | e still | relev | ant ar | nd are hence bei | ng included in th | e 2024 CWP |
| IG-1 | Officially launch the Clayton County Economic Development Collaborative (CCEDC) which was started in 2013 by the COO which will allow the County and Cities to unite to build the economic landscape for the County and monitor the progress of the economic development strategic plan | X | х | X | X | X | Staff time | County Commission/ COO's Office | Staff time |
| IG-2 | Create a coordinated channel between the County and Cities to be updated on the progress of the 2009-2015 SPLOST programs for projects that are executed in the Cities and unincorporated areas | Х | х | Х | Х | X | \$268M | COO's Office | 2015 and 2021 SPLOST |
| IG-3 | Create coordinated channels for economic development between County and surrounding Counties to plan economic landscape for the region | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | County Commission., COO's Office, Econ. Dev. Depart, neighboring Counties. | Staff time |
| IG-4 | Develop a County-wide recycling and sanitation program to assist with beautification across the County | X | X | X | X | | Staff time | County Commission. COO's Office | Staff time |
| IG-5 | Assess and amend the County's current dispute resolution process as needed to ensure its effectiveness | Х | Х | | | | Staff time | County Commission | Staff time |

| # | Activity | | | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | | | |
|-------|---|---------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|------------------------------|
| | Inter | gove | rnm | enta | 1 C oo | ordin | nation | | |
| | Items 6 - | · 10 aı | re nev | v iten | ns in | this 2 | 024 CWP | | |
| IG-6 | Conduct quarterly meetings inclusive of Clayton County, Cities within Clayton County, and Clayton State University | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Intergovernmen- tal Coordination | Staff time |
| IG-7 | Conduct quarterly meetings inclusive of Clayton County and H-JAIA | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Intergovernmen- tal Coordination | Staff time |
| IG-8 | Update procedures to include only two opportunities for Future Land Use Map amendments per year | X | | | | | Staff Time | Intergovernmen- tal Coordination / Community Development | Included in staff time |
| IG-9 | Develop an outreach strategy to broadcast policy changes to county residents and property owners | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Staff time | Intergovernmen- tal Coordination / Communica- tions Depart- ment | Staff time |
| IG-10 | Create a dashboard to provide information about ongoing and upcoming development to the Clayton community | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Intergovernmen- tal Coordination / Communica- tions Depart- ment | Staff time |

| # | Activity | | r | mefra | r | | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | | - · P · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| | | | Scho | ol Sy | ysten | n | | | | | | | | | |
| Items | Items 1-3 are ongoing items from the 2019 CWP that are still relevant and are hence being included in the 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SS-1 | New 57 Classroom Middle School (Forest Park) | | Х | | | | \$75M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST | | | | | | |
| SS-2 | Lovejoy HS Auxiliary | | | X | | | \$110M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | | | | | | |
| SS-3 | General Renovations at Existing Schools | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$800M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | | | | | | |
| | Items 4 | - 6 ar | e new | v item | ns in t | his 2 | 024 CWP | | | | | | | | |
| SS-4 | Communications Support | X | X | X | X | Х | \$117M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | | | | | | |
| SS-5 | Operations of events planning service | X | X | X | X | Х | Staff time | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | | | | | | |
| SS-6 | North Clayton High School | Х | Х | | | | \$150M | CCPS Board of Education | SPLOST & CAPITAL OUTLAY | | | | | | |

| # | Activity | ' 24 | Tir '25 | nefra '26 | me '27 | ' 28 | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./ Agency | Funding Source |
|------|---|-------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Parks | and | l Ree | crea | tion | | | | |
| Item | as 1-4 are ongoing items from the 2019 CWP tha | t are | still r | eleva | nt an | nd are | e hence beir | ng included in t | the 2024 CWP |
| PR-1 | (Morrow/Lake City Park) Convert the concrete pad behind the concession building to a basketball court | Х | Х | | | | \$250,000 | Parks and Recreation | General/ SPLOST/ REC |
| PR-2 | (Jim Huie Recreation Center) Educational Program area; working with the Huie Foundation to get additional land donated to the Greenspace Program | x | Х | Х | x | Х | \$35,000 | Parks and Recreation | General/ SPLOST/ REC |

| # | Activity | | Tir | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible Dept./ | Funding Source |
|------|--|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | '24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Agency | |
| | | Р | arks | and | l Re | crea | tion | | |
| PR-3 | (Independence Park) Landscaping around park to assist with crime prevention; add restroom facility | Х | Х | | | | \$200,000 | Parks and Recreation | General/SPLOST/REC |
| PR-4 | 10 additional swing sets needed throughout the County (specifically for toddlers) and toddler play features in parks adjacent to existing playgrounds (this is item 4 and 5 from the 2019 CWP combined) | Х | х | х | | | \$1.5 M | Parks and Recreation | General/SPLOST/ REC/HUD Grant |
| | Item | s 5 - 9 |) are | newi | items | in th | is 2024 CWI |) | |
| PR-5 | Update the Parks and Recreation Master Plan, including updates to county-wide Trails Master Plan | Х | Х | X | | | \$400,000 | Transporta- tion / Parks and Rec | SPLOST / CDAS Funds |
| PR-6 | Participate in the development of Flint River trails with adjacent cities, counties, and the Atlanta Regional Commission | | Х | X | Х | | Staff time | Transporta- tion / Parks and Rec | U.S. Department of Transportation's Recon- necting Communities and Neighborhoods grant |
| PR-7 | Develop a pollution and noise mitigation plan and potential grant funding opportunities to mitigate noise, air, and water pollution impacts on legacy residential and businesses. | х | X | х | х | X | \$400,000 | Parks and Recreation / CCWA | SPLOST |
| PR-8 | Huie Nature Preserve Campground and Archery | X | X | х | | | \$4.7M | Parks and Recreation / CCWA / Huie Nature Preserve Foundation | Georgia Outdoor Stew- ardship Grant, Georgia 319(h), Georgia Depart- ment of Natural Resources Archery Grant |
| PR-9 | Huie Nature Preserve Outdoor Classrooms, Disk Golf and Open Space Fields | | | X | Х | X | \$15M | Parks and Recreation / CCWA / Huie Nature Preserve Foundation | TBD |

| # | Activity | | Ti | mefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding |
|-------|---|-------------|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| # | Activity | ' 24 | '25 | '26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source |
| | | I | ibra | ry Sy | sten | n | | | |
| Items | 1 - 7 are ongoing items from the 2019 C | WP tl | hat ar | e still | relev | ant a | nd are hence bei | ng included in th | e 2024 CWP |
| LS-1 | Landscape all libraries | Х | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Clayton County Library System | General Fund |
| LS-2 | Repair roof at Morrow | Х | X | X | | | \$23,000 | Clayton County Library System | SPLOST/ GPLS |
| LS-3 | Repair Circulation Desk at all Branch Locations | Х | X | | | | Staff time | Clayton County Library System | General Fund |
| LS-4 | Paint library interior at all locations | Х | X | X | X | X | Staff time | Clayton County Library System | General Fund |
| LS-5 | Purchase additional volumes for each branch to meet the State's minimum level of service standards | Х | X | X | X | X | \$638,000/year (\$441,838 proposed) | Clayton County Library System | General Fund |
| LS-6 | Implement a plan to replace public and staff computers at the County's public libraries every three years | | | X | X | X | Cost to be determined at time of scope | Clayton County Library System | General Fund |
| LS-7 | Improvement and renovation of existing Libraries | Х | X | X | X | X | \$55,000 | Clayton County Library System | 2009 SPLOST & State Funds |
| | Items 8 - | 15 ar | e new | v iten | ns in t | this 2 | 024 CWP | | |
| LS-8 | Riverdale Renovation and Expansion project | Х | X | x | | | \$1,400,000.00 | Clayton County Library System | GPLS |
| LS-9 | Headquarters Library Renovations and Monument Signage | Х | X | X | Х | X | \$500,000.00 | Clayton County Library System | GPLS |
| LS-10 | New Jonesboro Branch and Rex Branch | Х | X | X | Х | X | \$50,000.00 | Clayton County Library System | SPLOST |
| LS-11 | Install Panic Buttons for Headquarters, Love Joy, Forest Park, Morrow, Northwest, and Riverdale Branches | Х | X | х | X | X | \$482 | Clayton County Library System | CBDG |
| LS-12 | Install Monument Signs at Headquarters, Love Joy, Forest Park, Morrow, and Riverdale Branches | Х | X | X | X | X | \$150,000.00 | Clayton County Library System | CBDG |
| LS-13 | Paint interior of all library branches | X | X | X | X | X | \$175,000.00 | Clayton County Library System | CBDG |
| LS-14 | Paint exterior of Headquarters branch. | X | X | X | X | X | \$85,000.00 | Clayton County Library System | CBDG |
| LS-15 | Upgrade alarm systems at all branches. | Х | X | X | X | X | \$77,148.00 | Clayton County Library System | CBDG |

| # | Activity | ' 24 | Tin '25 | mefra '26 | me '27 | ' 28 | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./Agency | Funding Source | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Police Department | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Item 1 is an ongoing item from the 2019 CWP which is still relevant and is hence being included in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PD-1 | Police vehicles with equipment | XX | | | | | \$20,000,000 | Clayton County Police Department | SPLOST 2015 | | | | | |
| | Items 2 - 3 are new items in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PD-2 | Police Academy | X | X | X | Х | Х | \$500,000 | Clayton County Police Department | SPLOST 2021 | | | | | |
| PD-3 | Refurbishing of Police Department (replace flooring) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | \$2,000,000 | Clayton County Police Department | SPLOST 2021 | | | | | |

| # | Activity | | Ti | mefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | | | |
| Fire and EMS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Items 1 - 2 are ongoing items from the 2019 CWP that are still relevant and are hence being included in the 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FE-1 | Develop and implement new EMS Delivery Model for Clayton County | X | X | X | X | X | Will vary based on model. | Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services | General Fund | | | | |
| FE-2 | Build new fire stations to address 2012 ISO evaluation (Stockbridge and Lovejoy) | X | X | X | Х | X | \$4,000,000 | Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services | Fire tax/ General Fund | | | | |
| | Item 3 is a new item in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FE-3 | Riverdale Merger | x x x x | | \$8.5M | Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services | Fire tax/ General Fund | | | | | | | |

| # | Activity | | Tit | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | , | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | | | | |
| | Water Authority | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Items 1 - 2 are ongoing items from the 2019 CWP that are still relevant and are hence being included in the 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WA-1 | Stormwater System Rehabilitation | x x x x x | | | | Х | \$3,500,000/ year | User Fees | | | | | | |
| WA-2 | Emergency Generators - all Water Facilities | | Х | | | | \$9,000,000 | CCWA | User Fees | | | | | |
| | Items 3 - | 4 are | e new | item | s in tl | his 20 | 24 CWP | | | | | | | |
| WA-3 | Identify the best option forward to resolve flooding issues near the SRMC - such as dredging the Flint River or raising the Riverdale Road bridge. | X | X | X | X | X | Staff time | CCWA / Inter- governmental Coordination | Included in Staff time | | | | | |
| WA-4 | CCWA to continue efforts to remove contaminants from the drinking water including PFOS from the drinking water. | | | Х | Х | X | \$450,000,000 | CCWA | User Fees | | | | | |

| # | Activity | | Tir | nefrai | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | | |
| Digital Equity | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Items 1 - 3 are new items in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DE-1 | Partner with DCA to develop Broadband Ready Sites - focused on development focus areas- Mountain View and Tara Blvd at Old Dixie | x x x x x | | | | X | Staff time | General Funds | | | | |
| DE-2 | Explore the option for Clayton County to become an internet provider | X | Х | Х | | | Staff time | Digital Equity | General Funds | | | |
| DE-3 | As federal financial assistance programs to help cover the cost of broadband services are available, promote the offering to the Clayton community and make sign-up assistance available. | Х | Х | Х | Х | х | Staff time | Digital Equity | Included in Staff time | | | |

| # | Activity | | Tiı | nefrai | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source | | | | | |
| | Building and Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Item 1 is an ongoing item from the 2019 CWP which is still relevant and is hence being included in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BM-1 | Renovate Old 911 Building, 7946 N. McDonough St. Jonesboro, GA 30236 | 5 X X X X X X | | | | Х | \$1,500,000 | Buildings and Maintenance | General Funds/2015 SPLOST | | | | | |
| | Items 2 - 6 are new items in this 2024 CWP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BM-2 | Facilities Master Plan | X | Х | Х | | | \$200,000,000 | Building and Maintenance | 2015 SPLOST / Bond Funds | | | | | |
| BM-3 | Administrative Building | X | X | Х | | | \$40,000,000 | Building and Maintenance | 2021 Bond | | | | | |
| BM-4 | Behavioral Health Crisis | Х | Х | | | | \$4,400,000 | Building and Maintenance | 2020 Bond | | | | | |
| BM-5 | Medical Examiners Office | Х | Х | | | | \$5,000,000 | Building and Maintenance | ARPA Fund- ing | | | | | |
| BM-6 | VIP Complex Amphitheater | X X X | | | | | \$5,000,000 | Building and Maintenance | 2021 Bond | | | | | |

| # | Activity | | Tir | neframe | | Estimated | Responsible Dept./ | Funding |
|-------|---|-------------|-------|------------------------|--------|-----------|---|-----------------|
| ++ | Activity | ' 24 | '25 | ' 26 ' 2 | 7 '28 | Cost | Agency | Source |
| | H | Ious | ing 1 | Depart | ment | | | |
| | All ite | ms ar | e nev | v in this | 2024 C | WP | | |
| HD-1 | Administrative costs to provide management and oversight of the CDBG Program. | | Х | | | \$472,974 | Housing Urban Development Department | CDBG Program |
| HD-2 | Administrative costs associated with the implementation of the Essential Home Repair Program | | Х | | | \$80,000 | Housing Urban Development Department | CDBG Program |
| HD-3 | Demolition of existing concession stand/restroom and replace with concrete pre-cast structure. | | Х | | | \$100,000 | Community De- velopment (CD)/ Housing | CDBG Program |
| HD-4 | Demolition of existing concession stand/restroom and replace with concrete pre-cast structure. | | Х | | | \$100,000 | CD/Housing | CDBG Program |
| HD-5 | Demolition of existing concession stand/restroom and replace with concrete pre-cast structure. | | Х | | | \$100,000 | CD/Housing | CDBG Program |
| HD-6 | Gateway signage, lighting and landscaping at a busy County though fare | | Х | | | \$100,000 | Economic Development/ CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-7 | Installation of playground equipment for children ages 5-17 | | X | | | \$100,000 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-8 | Vouchers will be provided to youth ages 5-17 to attend Parks and Recreation Summer Camp. | | Х | | | \$25,000 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-9 | Improvements to upgrade playgrounds, sport fields and picnic areas. | | Х | | | \$250,000 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-10 | Improvements to upgrade playgrounds, sport fields and picnic areas. | | Х | | | \$100,000 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-11 | The Youth Services Division, with the support of the Information Technology Department and Clayton County Library System, will create a coding bootcamp to empower Clayton County citizens with IT skills ages 16-24 years old. A free coding bootcamp will offer underrepresented groups, like at risk youth and young women, a means of exposure to IT training. | | Х | | | \$48,000 | CD | CDBG Program |

| # | Antining | | Tit | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding |
|-------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| ++ | Activity | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source |
| | Ηοι | ising | g Dej | partr | nent | | | | |
| HD-12 | The current park facility consists of sidewalks and unimproved open area. The sidewalks initially constructed of brick pavers need replacement due to shifting and uneven surfaces. A portion of the open area of the park has a low area consisting of bad soils and wet subsurface conditions creating. Funding would assist in the improvements as follows: demolish and remove current brick pavers/sidewalks; install new ADA sidewalks; install underdrain systems to properly drain low area; haul in and grade low area to provide for adequate recreational use of area; install outdoor exercise equipment; install gazebo and other sitting areas; install Wi-fi capability for persons using the park area; install recreational courts such as pickle ball and badminton courts; and construct an outdoor viewing area for movies in the park to include a retaining wall/sitting area. | | X | | | | \$87,500 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-13 | Purchase and install additional playground equipment, pavilion, tables and grilling area at the major City park | | Х | | | | \$50,000 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-14 | Conversion of existing commercial building to a community facility. | | Х | | | | \$504,074 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-15 | Provide access to art services for low income youth. | | Х | | | | \$35,000 | CD | CDBG Program |
| HD-16 | HOPE Shelter provides shelter assistance to women and children facing homelessness. Emergency shelter is provided up to 90 days. During this time, clients are assisted with referral services that aid participants in regaining sustainable housing. | | X | | | | \$30,000 | Homeless Prevention (HML) | CDBG Program |
| HD-17 | Metro Fair Housing Services will assist the County through the provision of services which include, Education and Outreach, Intake and Counseling, and Enforcement of federal and state fair housing laws | | Х | | | | \$75,000 | CD/Housing | CDBG Program |

| # | Activity | | Tit | nefrai | me | | Estimated Cost | Responsible Dept./ | Funding Source |
|-------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Agency | Source |
| | Housir | ng Do | epar | tmer | nt | | | | |
| HD-18 | Southern Crescent Sexual Assault Center will continue their Sexual Assault Forensic Program in Jonesboro, Georgia. The agency will conduct forensic medical examinations and evidence collection at the Jonesboro clinic, to provide direct victim assistance for LMI individuals in Clayton | | X | | | | \$35,000 | Homeless Prevention (HML) | CDBG Program |
| HD-19 | Administrative costs associated with the implementation of home repairs for County residents | | Х | | | | \$72,324 | Housing Urban De- velopment Department (HUD) | CDBG Program |
| HD-20 | Administrative costs to provide management and oversight of the HOME program. | | Х | | | | \$102,047 | HUD | HOME Program |
| HD-21 | HOME guidelines require each year that a minimum of 15% of available funds be allocated toward projects completed by certified Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO) within the jurisdiction. Clayton County as designated 24% of its HOME award to assist in the development of affordable housing. CHDO's that have certified with the Office of Grants Administration will become eligible to make requests against these funds. | | X | | | | \$153,070 | HUD | HOME Program |
| HD-22 | Construction of senior housing. | | Х | | | | \$500,000 | HUD | HOME Program |
| HD-23 | | | Х | | | | \$265,346 | HUD | HOME Program |

| # | Activity | | Tir | nefra | me | | Estimated | Responsible | Funding |
|-------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | ' 24 | ' 25 | ' 26 | ' 27 | ' 28 | Cost | Dept./Agency | Source |
| | He | ousir | ng D | epar | tmer | nt | | | |
| HD-24 | ESG will support the following agencies in completing activities related to homelessness prevention and emergency shelter: 1 (ESG24 Homeless Prevention) Office of Grants Administration SHIP Initiative will receive \$15,000 to provide short- and medium-term security deposit, rent and utility assistance to 15 SHIP participants. 2 (ESG24 Rapid Rehousing) Hearts to Nourish Hope will receive \$184,902 to provide rehousing beds, utilities, and case management for 110 homeless families in Clayton County. | | Х | | | | \$199,902 | HML | ESG Pro- gram |
| HD-25 | Home Repair Program | Х | | | | | \$380,000 | HUD | CDBG Program |
| HD-26 | Down-Payment Assistance | Х | | | | | \$150,000 | HUD | HOME Program |
| HD-27 | Tenant Based Rental Assistance | Х | | | | | \$250,000 | HUD | HOME Program |
| HD-28 | Atlanta Legal Aid | Х | | | | | \$30,000 | Legal Services | CDBG Program |
| HD-29 | Mobile Health Clinic | Х | | | | | \$35,000 | Medical Services | CDBG Program |
| HD-30 | Calvary Homeless Shelter | | | | | | \$40,000 | Emergency Shelter | ESG Pro- gram |
| HD-31 | NACA Public Housing Home Ownership (HOT-PHA) Assistance Program | X | X | X | X | X | N/A | HUD | HUD/ PHA Home- ownership Program |

This page has been intentionally left blank.





Cultural Places | Beautiful Places



MOVING CLAYTON FORWARD

2024 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN APPENDIX







CREDITS

CLAYTON COUNTY

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Jeffrey E. Turner, *BOC Chairman* Gail Hambrick, *Assistant Director, District 2 Commissioner* Felicia Franklin, *Assistant Director, District 3 Commissioner* DeMont David, Vice Chair District 4 Commissioner

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STAFF

Patrick Ejike, Director, Community Development Cheryl Brooks, Assistant Director, Community Development Mary Darby, Planning Administrator, Community Development

CONSULTANT TEAMS

SIZEMORE GROUP

Bill de St. Aubin, AIA, LEED AP *Principal-in-Charge*

Deanna Murphy, AICP Project Manager & Lead Planner

Randy G. Gibbs, AICP *Planner*

Nishant Ostwal *Planner*

Ashlyn Oakes *Planner*

SYCAMORE CONSULTING

Jen Price, AICP Senior Project Manager

Madison Davis, AICP *Planner*

KIMLEY-HORN ASSOCIATES

Jon Tuley, AICP Economic Development Planner

Elena Oertel, AICP Transportation Engineer

STEERING COMMITTEE

Levon Allen, Clayton County Sheriff's Office Meia Ballinger, D4 Resident Glenn Baker, D4 Resident Garvey Cills, Resident Altimese Dees, D3 Resident Clea Etheridge, Resident Lawanda Folami, D3 Resident Montrese Adger Fuller, Clayton State University Tasha Galloway, D1 Resident Troy Hodges, Clayton County Parks and Recreation Nicole Hornes, Clayton County Office of Youth Services Shannon James, Aerotropolis Atlanta Daunta Long, Pastor, Seed Planters Church Captain Sean Macdonald, Clayton County Code Enforcement Unit Gerald McDowell, ATL Airport CIDs Jeff Metarko, Clayton County Transportation & Development Mokita Rials, D1 Resident Scott Parham, Clayton County Library System Tamara Patridge, See Clayton County Convention and Visitors Bureau Kevin Roberts, Clayton County Police Department Erica Rocker-Wills, Clayton County Economic Development Greg Scandrett, D4 Resident Daniel Small, Clayton County Soil & Water Conservation District Andrew Smith, Atlanta Regional Commission Anthony W. Smith, Clayton County Board of Education Tori Strawter-Tanks, Clayton County Senior Services Tim Sweat, Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services Nicole Tubner, D1 Resident Valencia Williams, Clayton County Chamber of Commerce Larry Vincent, Development Authority of Clayton County

CONTENTS

| PPENDIX | 5 |
|---|----|
| Land Use - Zoning Classification Matrix | 6 |
| General Public Announcements | 8 |
| Steering Committee Meeting 1 | 26 |
| Public Meetings, Series 1 | 29 |
| Steering Committee Meeting 2 | 44 |
| Public Meetings, Series 2 | 48 |
| Steering Committee Meeting 3 | 52 |
| Public Meetings, Series 3 | 54 |
| Moving Clayton Forward Guidebook | 58 |
| Interactive Mapping Exercise | 59 |
| Online Survey Responses | 60 |

APPENDIX

* * -

Sa ma

Supplementary material regarding the Comprehensive Plan Update is detailed on the following pages. This includes additional information and images from various Public meeting announcements, meeting summaries, and survey results.

LAND USE - ZONING CLASSIFICATION MATRIX

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Z | loni | ng C | las |
|---------------------------------|----|----|----|--------|--------|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|
| Future Land Use Designation | AG | ER | CS | RS-180 | RS-110 | RG-75 | RMH | RMSTF | RM | RTH | PUD | UV | MXR | NMX | MX | MMX | MCD | MC | MXI | RMX |
| Agriculture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | |
| Conservation Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Commercial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Industrial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Light Industrial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Low-Density Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medium-Density Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High-Density Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mixed-Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mixed-Use Commercial-Industrial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transit-Oriented Development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neighborhood Commercial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Office/Business | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parks/Recreation/Conservation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Planned Unit Development (PUD) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mixed-use PUD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public/Institutional | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transportation/Utilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

AG — Agriculture

ER — Estate Residential District

CS - Conservation Subdivision District

RS-180 — Residential District 180

RS-110 — Residential District 110

RG-75 — Residential District 75

RMH — Mobile Home District

RMTSF — Residential Multi-Family to Single-Family

RM — Multi-family Residential District

RTH --- Single-Family Residential Attached Townhome

PUD - Planned Unit District

UV — Urban Village

MXR — Mixed-Use Residential

NMX - Neighborhood Mixed-Use

MX — Mixed-Use District

MMX — Medical Mixed-Use

MCD — Medical Center District

MC — Medical Center

MXI — Mixed-Use Commercial-Industrial

RMX - Regional Mixed-Use

GB — General Business

OI - Office/Institutional

| sifica | ifications | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|-----|----|----|----|------|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------------------|
| GB | OI | OIV | WH | LI | HI | INDP | IÞ | TOD | MVEDU | MVIMO | MVMME | MVMUR | MVREN | MVRMR | ODGRN | ODMUR | ODHTL | ODMMX | Future Land Use Designation |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Agriculture |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Conservation Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | General Commercial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Heavy Industrial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Light Industrial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Low-Density Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Medium-Density Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | High-Density Residential |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed-Use |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed-Use Commercial-Industrial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Transit-Oriented Development |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Neighborhood Commercial |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Office/Business |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Parks/Recreation/Conservation |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Planned Unit Development (PUD) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed-use PUD |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Public/Institutional |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Transportation/Utilities |

OIV — Office Institutional Vertical
WH — Warehouse District
LI — Light Industrial
HI — Heavy Industrial
INDP — Industrial Park District
IP — Industrial Park
TOD — Transit-Oriented Development
MVEDU — Mountain View Overlay - Education
MVIMO — Mountain View Overlay - International Mixed-Use Office

MVMME — Mountain View Overlay - Mixed Use Makers and Advanced Manufacturing

MVMUR — Mountain View Overlay - Mixed-Use Residential

- MVREN-Mountain View Overlay Regional Entertainment
- MVRMR Mountain View Overlay Regional Mixed-Use Retail
- ODGRN-Overlay District Greenspace
- ODMUR Overlay District Mixed-Use Residential

ODHTL - Overlay District - Hotel & Mixed-Use Retail

ODMMX - Overlay District - Medical Mixed-Use

GENERAL PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENTS

Public Hearing Announcements

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR ZONING MATTERS Clayton County will hold meetings to consider the following zoning matters in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance (Appendix A of the Code of Clayton County, Georgia):

BOC-2307-0339 (TEXT) Clayton County retained the Sizemore Group, Sycamore Consulting, and Kimley Horn consultants to conduct the five-year update to Clayton County's 2034 Comprehensive Plan. At the meetings below, the county will present the process of the comprehensive plan update and seek the approval of the Board of Commissioners to transmit the updated draft document to the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) for review and approval. The updated 2034 Comprehensive Plan will become the county's 2039 Comprehensive Plan when adopted. The Board of Commissioners must adopt the plan by October 31, 2024.



BOC-2307-0339 (TEXT) A request by the Board of Commissioner to update the Comprehensive Plan. The County has hired a vendor to update the Comprehensive Plan. This update is required by State law to be completed by October 31, 2024. This hearing is the first required public hearing to kick off the Comprehensive Plan Project. The purpose of this hearing is to brief the community on the process to be used to develop the plan, opportunities for public participation in development of the plan, and to obtain input on the proposed planning process. The study will review and recommend amendments to all parts of the comprehensive plan. RFP #23-66 and Initiation Resolution 2023-119.

A2 + WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 9, 2023 + NEWS-DAILY.COM

GBI investigates officer-involved shooting in Forest Park

.m.,

FOREST PARK – The GBI is investigating an officer-involved shooting in For-est Park. The Forest Park Police Department asked the GBI to conduct an independent investigation on Aug. 6. One man was injured dur.

From staff reports

Investigation on Aug. 6. One man was injured dur-ing the incident, the GBI said, and no officers were injured. The GBI said preliminary information shows that at about 2:40 a.m., Forest Park Police received 911 calls about a domestic dispute



These photos, courtesy of the GBI, show the so officer-involved shooting took place Aug. 6 in 1



Forest Park installs speed detection cameras at school zones From staff reports

PUBLIC NOTICE

tal of 41 750 wed from the Clavtor A total of 41,750 galons of wastewater overflowed from the Clayton County Water Authority's (COVA) Santury Sever Collection System im Ovalia Create due to a blockage in the santiary sever main on July 27, 2023. The discharge was located at 10268 Commons Creasing, Jonesborn, Creave responded quickly to set up a bypass pump to slop the overflow from occurring. The sever lines and the area will be inspected, and the cause of the overflow the revestigated.

ently has several projects underway to identify problems in the collect these projects identify problems, additional corrective actions will ending the results of those inspections and final confirmation of the over

A staff of 40 full-time sever maintenance employees inspect, test and direct repairs to CCWM is sever system that include over 1,300 miles of sever times, carrying severage to there water rectanation facilities or teatment plants. This staff is trained and certified to address any problems that may lead to sever overflows. Whenever problems are found in the Calyot Courth system, COVA repairs them as soon as possible.

CCWA is following all Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division (EPD) requirements in regard to, evaluating additional regaris that may be needed to the system, monitoring stream conditions, and issuing a public notice. CCWA saks for the public 's assistance in reporting any potential sever overflow or maintenance problem they may see in the county, to assure a timely response and proper assessment and correction from the CCWA staff.

If you have any questions, you may direct them to Chief Executive Officer H. Bernard Franks at 770.960.5217.



driving through or near a didated should be a solution of the sol

tro sidewalks, as well as tro sidewalks, as well as tor sidewalks, as well as

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR ZONING MATTERS Clayton County will hold meetings to consider the following zoning matters in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance (Appendix A of the Code of Claytor County, Georgia):

B0C-2202-0187 (FLUM) A request by Creative Community Concepts, LLC to amend the Future Land Use Map Category for 7229 Mt Zion Bivd, Jonesboro GA 30236 from Low Densit General Commercial for a Personal service shop. Said property, consisting of ±1.308 acres, is further known as Parcel 12078B A001 and located between Spring PI and Mt Zion Cir. eral Commerciai io rict 4 – DeMont Da

BOC-2202-0166 (REZ) A request by Creative Community Concepts, LLC to rezone 7229 MI Zion Blvd, Jonesboro GA 30236 from AG (Agriculture) to GB (General Business) for a P Said property, consisting of ±1.308 acres, is further known as Parcel 12078B A001 and located between Spring PI and MI Zion Cir. (Commission District 4 – DeMont Davis)

LS-2302-0061 (PPLAT) A request by Gaskins+LeCraw for approval of a Preliminary Plat to constru Zoning District at 950 Highway 138, Joneaboro GA 30236. Said property, consisting of ±10.88 a c St, and is further known as Pared 13200A.001, Preliminary Plats do and require a Public Hearing Meeting and the Zoning Advisory Group. (Commission District 4 – DeMont Davis) uct a fee-simple single-family attached townhome development in the RM (Multiple F cres, is located in the North Tara Bivd Overlay District at the intersection of Highwa 1g before the Board of Commissioners. As such, this project will only go to the Com

n at 5698 Highway 85, Riverdale GA 30349. Said property, co Mill Rd and Orr Rd. (Commission District 2 – Gail Hambrick BOC-2306-0334 (CUP) A request by Alston & Bird LLP for a Conditional Use Permit for mining acres, is in the HI (Heavy Industrial) Zoning District, further known as Parcel 13215A A006, and

BOC-2305-0309 (FLUM) A request by Civil Co for a Convenience store with gasoline sales. (Commission District 3 – Felicia Franklin) nsulting Engineers, Inc. to amend the Future Land Use Map Category for 470 Flint River Rd, Jor Said property, consisting of ±2.343 acres, is further known as Parcel 05243A A002, and locs

DOC-2304-0301 (REZ) A request by Civil Consulting Engineers, Inc. to rezone 4 store with gasoline sales. Said property, consisting of ±2.343 acres, is further 3 – Felicia Franklin) one 470 Flint R

BOC-2304-0302 (CUP) A request by Civil Consulting Engineers, Inc. for a Conditional Use Permit for a Convenience store with gasoline sales at 470 Flint River Rd, Jonesbor consisting of ±2.343 acres, is further known as Parcei 05243A A002, and located at the intersection of Flint River Rd and Roberts Rd. (Commission District 3 – Felicia Fra

BOC-2304-0303 (MOD) A request by the Board of Commissioner to modify the text of the Ellenwood Planned Unit Development to preserve and protect the Ellenwood PUD a permitted uses and development standards. The boundary includes portions of Land Lots 215, 234, 235, 236, 245, 246, 247 of the 12th District. (Commission District 1 – Dr. Al BOC-2306-0327 (TEXT) A request by the Board of Commissioner to amend Article 6, Sec. 6.8 - Archite Appearance (AA) to reflect the County's vision of the built environment. Initiation Resolutions 2023-116 ar ce for Commercial and Industrial (AC) and Sec. 6.7 - Arr

BOC-2306-0328 (TEXT) A request by the Board of Commissioner to amend Article 9, Sec. 9.6 – Nonconforming signs; Art Sec. 13.12 – Appeals to Superior Court, Sec. 13.18 – Appeals to Superior Court, add new Article 15 – Certiorari. Initiation

BOC-2306-0329 (TEXT) A request by the Board of Commissioner to amend Article 1, Sec. 1.5 - Defined Words; Article 3, Sec. 3.29 - LI Light Industrial Dist Uses, Sec. 3.31 - HI Heavy Industrial District Intent, Permitted Uses and Conditional Uses, and Sec. 3.36 - Land Use Matrix, and Article 6, add new Sec.

BOC-2307-0336 (TEXT) 3 29 - 111 joht I

BOC-2307-0339 (TEXT) A requi to develop parts of the

The Co 138 SE nty will hold a Community Information Meeting (CIM) on W

The Zoning Advisory Group (ZAG) of Clayton County will hold a Public Hea Smith St, Jonesboro GA 30236 (inside the Clayton County Administration Bui The Clayton County Board of Commissioners (BOC) will hold a Public Hearing to c 112 Smith St, Jonesboro GA 30236 (inside the Clayton County Administration Building)

cipate in-person at the addresses stated above. Please check with the Clayton County website (www.claytoncountyga.gov) for further updates regarding public or table for examination by appointment at the Clayton County Community Development; please call 770.477.3590 or email <u>planning congregoration</u> In many be submitted prior to the hearings to the Office of Planning, Zoning, and Sustanability ware and in mail to rait NotConough SL, Jonesboro, GA, 30338. The public is The project



NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR ZONING MATTERS

Clayton County will hold meetings to consider the following zoning matters in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance (Appendix A of the Code of Clayton County, Georgia):

BOC-2307-0339 (TEXT) A request for the Board of Commissioners to adopt the Comprehensive Plan 2039 Update as reviewed by the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) and the Georgia Department of Community Affairs GA DCA).

Join us for a Community Conversation!



What's your vision for your community?

Mon., Jul. 01, 2024, 7 PM BOC Board Room 112 Smith Street, Jonesboro, GA 30236

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help us understand the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its dwellers over the next 25 years.



<text>

What's your vision for your community?

Tues., Jul. 16, 2024, 6 PM BOC Board Room 112 Smith Street, Jonesboro, GA 30236

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help us understand the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its dwellers over the next 25 years.



Join us for a Community Conversation!



What's your vision for your community?

Mon., Aug. 26, 2024, 7 PM BOC Board Room 112 Smith Street, Jonesboro, GA 30236

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help us understand the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its dwellers over the next 25 years.



<text>

What's your vision for your community?

Tues., Sept. 17, 2024, 6 PM BOC Board Room 112 Smith Street, Jonesboro, GA 30236

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help us understand the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its dwellers over the next 25 years.



Community Service Advertisements



Join us for a community conversation at SpringFest 2024!

What's your vision for your community? TAKE THE SURVEY NOW!

Scan the QR code or go to the website to take the survey.



FutureFocusClayton.com



¡Invitamos a la comunidad a participar!

¿Cuál es su visión para la comunidad? ¡HAGA ESTA ENCUESTA AHORA!

Escanee el código QR o vaya al sitio web a completar la encuesta.



FutureFocusClayton.com

Future Focus Clayton es el Plan Integral actualizado del condado de Clayton. Sus comentarios nos ayudarán a comprender mejor cómo la comunidad espera que el condado de Clayton evolucione en los próximos 25 años para asistir a los residentes.



Tham gia để trò chuyện cùng cộng đồng với chúng tôi!

Tầm nhìn của quý vị cho cộng đồng của quý vị là gì? THAM GIA KHẢO SÁT NGAY BÂY GIỜ!

Quét mã QR hoặc truy cập trang mạng để thực hiện khảo sát.



FutureFocusClayton.com

Future Focus Clayton là bản Cập Nhật Kế Hoạch Toàn Diện của Quận. Phản hồi của quý vị sẽ giúp vẽ nên một bức tranh rõ ràng về tầm nhìn của cộng đồng về cách Quận Clayton nên thực hiện phát triển để phục vụ cư dân của mình trong 25 năm tới.
Public Meetings Series 1 Advertisements



Join us for a community conversation!

What's your vision for your community?

DISTRICT 1 Mon., Oct. 23, 2023 6 PM - 8 PM Sonna Singleton Gregory Center 3215 Anvil Block Rd. Ellenwood, GA 30294 DISTRICT 3 Wed., Oct. 25, 2023 6 PM - 8 PM Flint River Community Center 153 Flint River Rd. Riverdale, GA 30274

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help paint a clear picture of the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its residents over the next 25 years.



Visit www.FutureFocusClayton.com for more info!

Public Meetings Series 2 Advertisements



Join us for a community conversation!

What's your vision for your community?

DISTRICT 4 Mon., Feb. 12, 2024 6 - 8 PM Lakeview Event Center 2300 Highway 138 SE Jonesboro, GA 30236 DISTRICT 2 Mon., Feb. 19, 2024 6 - 8 PM Frank Bailey Senior Center 6213 Riverdale Rd. Riverdale, GA 30296

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help paint a clear picture of the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its residents over the next 25 years.



Visit www.FutureFocusClayton.com for more info!



invitamos a la comunidad a participar!

¿Cuál es su visión para la comunidad?

DISTRITO 4 Lu., 12 de febrero 2024 6 - 8 PM Lakeview Event Center 2300 Highway 138 SE Jonesboro, GA 30236 DISTRITO 2 Lu., 19 de febrero 2024 6 - 8 PM Frank Bailey Senior Center 6213 Riverdale Rd. Riverdale, GA 30296

Future Focus Clayton es el Plan Integral actualizado del condado de Clayton. Sus comentarios nos ayudarán a comprender mejor cómo la comunidad espera que el condado de Clayton evolucione en los próximos 25 años para asistir a los residentes.



¡Vaya a www.FutureFocusClayton.com para obtener más información!



Tham gia để trò chuyện cùng cộng đồng với chúng tôi!

Tầm nhìn của quý vị cho cộng đồng của quý vị là gì?

KHU VỰC 4 12 tháng 1 hai 2024 6 - 8 PM Lakeview Event Center 2300 Highway 138 SE Jonesboro, GA 30236 KHU VỰC 2 19 tháng 1 hai 2024 6 - 8 PM Frank Bailey Senior Center 6213 Riverdale Rd. Riverdale, GA 30296

Future Focus Clayton là bản Cập Nhật Kế Hoạch Toàn Diện của Quận. Phản hồi của quý vị sẽ giúp vẽ nên một bức tranh rõ ràng về tầm nhìn của cộng đồng về cách Quận Clayton nên thực hiện phát triển để phục vụ cư dân của mình trong 25 năm tới.



Truy cập www.FutureFocusClayton.com để biết thêm thông tin!

Public Meetings Series 3 Advertisements



Join us for a community conversation!

What's your vision for your community?

IN-PERSON Thu., Apr. 18, 2024 6 - 8 PM Flint River Community Center 153 Flint River Rd. Riverdale, GA 30274

VIRTUAL Tue., Apr. 23, 2024 6 - 8 PM FutureFocusClayton.com

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help paint a clear picture of the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its residents over the next 25 years.



Visit www.FutureFocusClayton.com for more info!



Join us for a community conversation! What's your vision for your community?

Tue., June 11, 2024 6 - 8 PM Commissioners Board Room 112 Smith Street Jonesboro, GA 30236 Wed., June 12, 2024 6 - 8 PM J. Charley Criswell Senior Center 2300 Highway 138 S.E. Jonesboro, GA 30236

Future Focus Clayton is the County's Comprehensive Plan update. Your feedback will help paint a clear picture of the community's vision for how Clayton County should evolve to serve its residents over the next 25 years.

Visit www.FutureFocusClayton.com for more info!



Steering Committee Invitation

Hello!

Clayton County is working with its citizens and community stakeholders to update the County-wide Comprehensive Plan within Unincorporated Clayton County as well as adjacent areas three-to five miles outside the county. This plan will include both practical and implementable recommendations that promote safe communities, sustained economic development, diverse housing, transportation options, recreation and wellness, and other development and redevelopment efforts.

Periodic updates of local planning documents like the comprehensive are required in order to retain eligibility for various resources and programs administered by the State. Public involvement is a key component of this process and is critical for truly understanding the vision, goals, and needs in Clayton County.

As an active and engaged Clayton County stakeholder, we would like to invite you to help guide this update as a member of the Steering Committee. This group will work with the project team to provide input on the identification of goals, vision, needs, and proposed solutions. All significant findings and recommendations will be presented to the committee for review, validation, and feedback, as well as any displays, handouts, and presentations prior to public meetings.

We will hold up to four (4) Steering Committee meetings during the planning process which is anticipated to conclude in September 2024. The first meeting will be held **virtually** on **Tuesday, October 17th at 6:30 PM**. Please be on the lookout for a calendar invitation with login information from our Consultant Team. **Please let us know if you or an appointee will be participating as a member of the Steering Committee.** Feel free to also forward the calendar invitation to whoever from your team should attend.

The remaining meetings will be virtual or in-person depending on the group's preferences and the content that will be shared. Meetings will be approximately 90 minutes in length.

Thank you in advance for your time. We look forward to working with you!

Steering Committee Attendance

| Name | Organization | 10.17.23 | 2.2.24 | 4.11.24 |
|---------------------|---|----------|--------|---------|
| Altimese Dees | Resident | X | Х | X |
| Andrew Smith | Atlanta Regional Commission | X | | |
| Anthony W. Smith | Clayton County Board of Education | | Х | |
| Sean Macdonald | Clayton County Code Enforcement Unit | X | | |
| Cephas Archie | Clayton State University | | Х | |
| Clea Etheridge | Resident | X | Х | |
| Daniel Small | Clayton County Soil & Water Conservation District | | | |
| Daunta Long | Resident | | | |
| Erica Rocker-Wills | Clayton County Economic Development | | X | X |
| Garvey Cills | Resident | X | X | |
| Gerald McDowell | ATL Airport Community Improvement Districts | X | | |
| Glenn Baker | Resident | | | |
| Greg Scandrett | Resident | | | |
| Jeff Metarko | Clayton County Transportation & Development | | | |
| Frank Thomas | Clayton County Police Department | X | | |
| Larry Vincent | Development Authority of Clayton County | | Х | |
| Lawanda Folami | Resident | | | X |
| Levon Allen | Clayton County Sheriff's Office | | | |
| Meia Ballinger | Resident | | | |
| Mokita Rials | Resident | X | | |
| Nicole Horne | Clayton County Office of Youth Services | | | |
| Nicole Tubner | Resident | | | |
| Scott Parham | Clayton County Library System | | | |
| Brian Dorelus | Aerotropolis Atlanta | X | X | X |
| Tamara Patridge | See Clayton County Convention and Visitors Bureau | | | |
| Tasha Galloway | Resident | X | | |
| Tim Sweat | Clayton County Fire & Emergency Services | | | Ì |
| Tori Strawter-Tanks | Clayton County Senior Services | | | |
| Nigel Grant | Clayton County Parks and Recreation | | | X |
| Valencia Williams | Clayton County Chamber of Commerce | | | 1 |

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING 1

Steering Committee Meeting 1 on Tuesday, October 17, 2023; 6:00 PM - 8:00 PM (held virtual on Microsoft Teams)

Public Meeting Team Members

Cheryl Brooks, Clayton County Garvey Cillis, Resident Madison Davis, Sycamore Consulting, Inc. Altimese Dees, Resident Brian Dorelus, Aerotropolis ATL Patrick Ejike, Clayton County Clea Etheridge, Resident Tasha Galloway, Resident Randy Gibbs, Sizemore Group Sean Macdonald, Clayton County Code Enforcement Unit Gerald Mcdowell, ATL Airport CIDs Deanna Murphy, Sizemore Group Mokita Rials, Resident Anthony W. Smith, Clayton Public School District Frank Thomas, Clayton County Police Department

Engagement Purpose

The purpose of the Steering Committee 1 was introducing the project's intent, process and schedule, and setting expectations for the steering committee. The team also collected input and feedback on the previous Comprehensive Plan's vision and goals. The project team selected Microsoft Teams as the venue for this meeting. The goal of the engagement activities was to educate and solicit general feedback on the planning process and planned public engagement strategy; to identify existing needs and opportunities throughout the county; and to encourage committee members to advertise the then-upcoming Public Meeting Series 1.

Engagement Summary

The meeting consisted of a presentation given by the project team to provide an overview of the comprehensive planning process, as well as discuss previously adopted vision and goals statement and identified needs and opportunities across the comprehensive plan elements. The attendees offered the following comments and suggestions during the meeting:

GENERAL NOTES

- Project team identified a handful of days/times that worked well for future meetings:
 - o Mondays/Tuesdays
 - o Noon or evening (6:30-7:00 PM start time)

Plan Process Notes

Public Input Strategy Feedback

• Can the interactive map be used to identify things residents would want to see in the county and not just for identifying problem areas? (Yes)

Previous Comprehensive Plan Items Section

Comprehensive Plan Goals/Elements Feedback

- Do any of the previous plans address transportation from a "drive through" perspective? Particularly thinking about those who travel through Clayton to get further north or south. (Plan will address this aspect in transportation analysis)
- Member expressed gratitude for being selected for the committee and the comprehensiveness of the planning effort.
- Consider sustainability, technology, image/marketing, and arts/culture elements
 - o Sustainability linking walkability and wellness
 - o Arts/culture creating identity and providing alternative economic drivers
 - o "Clayton is where you lay your head and not where you play."
 - o Image has largely improved and the "county speaks with one voice" but marketing piece is lacking
- Consider how the county will be able to keep up with new development/residents and how things will impact existing departments capacity (i.e. police department, transportation facilities)

Land Use Element Feedback

- Include language in comp plan to encourage mixed use development particularly linking housing, recreation, and commercial
- Need additional entertainment and sports infrastructure outside of SE side of County
 - School board in working on a new indoor sports complex in Morrow and well as a convocation center next to the mall

Housing Community Feedback

- A lot of new apartment buildings/multifamily units being built along Mt Zion road.
 - Road lacks capacity to handle increased density and traffic
- Condemned properties need to be redeveloped/repurposed
- County lacks starter homes to attract young families and workforce.
 - o New construction is too expensive at the price/wage point available

Intergovernmental Coordination Element Feedback

- Leadership at all levels need to get on the same page
 - Host leadership meetings between the cities, county, and state to discuss county priorities/initiatives
- Ongoing communications between the county and invested stakeholders
 - o Aerotropolis meets with all cities/counties' economic development teams on a bimonthly basis

Economic Development Element Feedback

- Centralized incentive system for developers to invest in the County -- consistency across all jurisdictions for ease of doing business and regional/national competitiveness (county economic dev is working on an incentive program)
- Improve educational opportunities/attainment throughout the county to attract a diversity of businesses and highlight educational standards/initiatives in Clayton through advertisements.
- Address fear/inaction from leadership with moving forward on big ideas (i.e. redeveloping Tara Blvd to address dilapidated properties)
 - o Too much land being converted to warehouses and becoming "unlivable"
 - o Need old/underused property redevelopment in major activity centers
- Form additional community improvement districts throughout the county (at least one per district)

Transportation Element Feedback

- Do not need another recreation center (in District 3)
- Micromobility expansion is needed over the next 5 years
 - Several active demonstrations/pilots happening within the county (particularly within Aerotropolis)
- · County is making improvements to sidewalk network/connectivity
- Need to explore how to connect people with layovers at Hartsfield-Jackson into the County to spend money (potentially via transit or micromobility solutions)

"Who is Clayton County?" Feedback

- Would like the county to be a place where you can raise a family, work, and play safely while being progressive and forward-thinking in governance and development
- Great landscaping needed to attract visitors and create sense of pride

PUBLIC MEETINGS, SERIES 1

Series 1, Meeting 1 on October 23, 2023

Sign-in sheets





| NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS |
|---|-------|---------------|
| Frances Houston | | |
| Javonni Alexander | | |
| Frances Houston Javonni Alexander PATURIA DULIVAI | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Series 1, Meeting 2 on October 25, 2023

Sign-in sheets



SIGN IN SHEET

| NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS |
|----------------------|-------|---------------|
| Lena D. Bennett | | |
| Glenn McGrier | | |
| Deetra Bindexter | | |
| Keith Jones | | |
| KIM LEWIS | | |
| KNY WAIKER | | |
| Daviet Amule | | |
| John & Attania Funny | | |
| Shurley Infatson | | |
| Alexis Bushell | | |
| III I R. A. | | |
| WICHAEL Jushell | | |



| NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------|
| T. Evans | | |
| L. Lyons | | |
| Gwen Williams | | |
| Crystel Coopee | | |
| Robin Rackley | | |
| Tashé Allen | | |
| Alcelia fitrayne William | | |
| Kyradiah Cephus | | |
| WILLIAMY | | |
| _ | | |
| MercedesBinns | | |
| Jochie Welch | | |

Series 1, Meeting 2 on October 25, 2023

Sign-in sheets



SIGN IN SHEET

| NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS |
|-------------------|-------|---------------|
| Shirley Fullwood | | |
| TernySims | | |
| Frankie D. Smith | | |
| Samt Cembury | | |
| Lena D. Bennett | | |
| Jim Helleryd | | |
| A'Kera Clay | | |
| Vaterie Henderson | | |
| Vette Spann | | |
| Erica Rocken | | |
| | | 0 |



| NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------------|---|
| FRANKLIN JACKSON | | | |
| Ell Lowe | | | |
| André Turner | | | |
| Willie J. Welcome | | | |
| Audarin Davis | | | |
| Gwandolyn Presbury Shokeer | | | |
| (Atkie. D Whammod | | | |
| Ellight Contry | | | |
| Kin GREENWEll | | | |
| A VALERIE HENDERSON | | | |
| Muric Morton | | · | |
| Shaken Mukanne | | 7 | U |
| | | | |







| NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS |
|------------------|-------|---------------|
| JodieChambus | | |
| MARY WARD | | |
| Phyllis Brinn | | |
| Susanneth Japp | | |
| Dee Hill | | |
| Dwayne Clark | | |
| Job Levy | | |
| Courshey Thomas | | |
| DellaAshley | | |
| Melanic Williams | | |
| ļ | | |

Series 1, Pop-up Event on November 11, 2023 Sign-in sheets



MAILING LIST SIGN UP

Pop-Up Event | November 11, 2023

| NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Alyssa Barchay Janice Loue | - | |
| Tisha Lawrence | - | |
| MARY MOOPE Connie Colton | | |
| ALLAR STARA | , - | |
| Gayle Washington | - | |
| Jail Davengat | | |
| Sharon Johnson | | 9 |
| | | |
| | | |

Summary of Public Meeting Series 1 + Pop-up Event

- Meeting 1: Monday, October 23, 2023; 6:00 8:00 PM at the Sonna Singleton Gregory Senior Center
- Meeting 2: Wednesday, October 25, 2023; 6:00 8:00 PM at the Flint River Community Center
- Meeting 3: Saturday, November 11, 2023; 10:00 AM 12:00 PM at the Jim Huie Recreation Center

Public Meeting Team Members

- Cheryl Brooks, Clayton County
- Patrick Ejike, Clayton County
- Randy Gibbs, Sizemore Group
- Ashlyn Oakes, Sizemore Group
- Madison Davis, Sycamore Consulting, Inc.

Engagement Purpose

The purpose of the Public Meeting Series 1 was introducing the project's intent, process and schedule, and collect input on existing conditions. The team also collected input and feedback on the Comprehensive Plan vision and goals and presented the prior plan's goals to the public. The project team selected the Sonna Singleton Gregory Senior Center location for the first meeting of this series and the Flint River Community Center for the second meeting. The team was also invited to join the Communities of the Rise event at Jim Huie Recreation Center for the first popup event. The locations were selected with the intention of making the first series accessible to a larger number of residents by rotating public engagement opportunities around the different districts of Clayton County. The Sonna Singleton Gregory Senior Center is in District 1, and the Flint River Community Center and Jim Huie Recreation Center are located in District 3. The goal of the engagement activities was to educate and solicit general feedback on five defined goal categories from the previous plan; to ask what opportunities and challenges the community would like to prioritize; and to direct the public to engage with the interactive project website.

Overall Attendance

A total of 86 people signed into these meetings. Attendees at the meeting included community residents, business owners, places of worship, and non-profit organizations.

Engagement Summary

The series consisted of a presentation given by the project team to discuss the comprehensive planning process and purpose of the plan update along with a brief overview of the previous plan's goals, as well as seven activity boards on easels and a table with flyers advertising the project website and stickers for the engagement activities. For five of the activity boards, each participant was given sticky notes and directed to write down different issues and opportunities he/she/they support and want prioritized within the following five goal categories displayed on the presentation board:

- Economic Development: What are the current conditions of economic well-being and prosperity (i.e. workforce development, business attraction, educational services, etc.)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?
- Housing: What are the current conditions and quality of housing (i.e. renter vs owner-occupied balance, housing type diversity and distribution, etc.)?

- Intergovernmental Coordination: What are the current conditions of government relationships and interactions (i.e. decision-making and communication between local governments)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?
- Land Use: What are the current conditions of land use (i.e. mix of uses, amenity and resource accessibility, sense of identity and place, etc.)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?
- Transportation: What are the current conditions of transportation (i.e. transit accessibility, walkability and pedestrian safety, connectivity, etc.)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?

For activity #2, participants were given dot stickers and asked to indicate locations where they would like to see more development. Participants placed colored dots on the designated locations on the study area map provided on the presentation board with the prompt:

• Where are the development opportunities (i.e. land use, transportation, housing, economic development, nature, historic preservation, etc.)?

For activity #3, participants were given sticky notes and asked to provide their thoughts on the focus of the Comprehensive Plan Update. Participants wrote down their responses to the following prompts:

- Who is Clayton County?
- Who do we want to be?

The results for each activity and photos from the events are attached.

General Feedback/Notes

- Participants want more emphasis placed on landscaping, pollution, and other environmental concerns within the plan, as well as emergency and health services
- Participants wanted more notice about the meeting time and greater explanation of the activities/requested input from the project team
- Participants raised concerns about the selection of the steering committee and sought more transparency about how public input will be incorporated into the plan
- Participants requested that action items from the previous plan be presented along with a report of accomplishments to feel confident that the county is progressing on previously established goals before moving onto new goals

Board Responses

Activity #1:

Economic Development

- Issues:
 - Residents see a lack of diverse dining, recreation, retail, and employment opportunities across the county. Plainly, residents want additional work opportunities outside of the service industry and logistics via warehousing. Residents also think that there are too many of certain businesses that are continuing

to proliferate across the county. Specifically, residents want to see fewer fast-food restaurants, car/tire shops, beauty supply stores, and storage facilities. Residents would also like to see additional medical facilities and resources made available to them.

- Opportunities:
 - Residents presented a myriad of economic development opportunities across the county. Residents
 want more emphasis placed on workforce skill development at all levels, and stressed the need for
 job opportunities at small, local businesses for young residents to create community camaraderie and
 visibility for the business. They also want larger firms and businesses to extend their reach into Clayton
 County to create more economic viability and upward mobility for young professionals and their
 households. Residents would also like to see more dining and entertainment resources/amenities, in the
 form of sit-down restaurants, comedy clubs, and concert venues. Ultimately, county residents desire
 "higher end" amenities, and thus see an opportunity to increase household wages and reduce poverty to
 create a more conducive environment for those amenities. Residents also see an opportunity to increase
 investment in "green" and eco-friendly initiatives and infrastructure across the county.

Housing

- Issues:
 - Residents see major issues with the affordability of the existing housing stock across the county, especially for the aging population and seniors. In the eyes of many, rent costs are increasing "without concern for citizens" and even property taxes are rising to an extent with which homeowners have trouble keeping up. Residents have asked for additional tax exemptions and abatement in order to compete with property investors who are edging people out of the community. Residents think too many properties are investor-owned and operated as rental properties, as opposed to being owner-occupied, leading to poor maintenance of the physical dwellings and deteriorating neighborhood quality. This sentiment is particularly prominent as it relates to out-of-state or overseas investors and their properties in the county. Additionally, residents cite noise pollution from new development and aircrafts as a detrimental factor in their neighborhoods and recommend some form of soundproofing support from the county for homeowners.
- Opportunities:
 - o Residents proposed several solutions and presented opportunities to improve housing conditions across the county. Residents see an opportunity to develop more live-work-play, mixed use communities with housing priced in the \$300,000's. Additionally, residents want more affordable housing options and housing specifically for seniors; however, some residents have also raised a concern about this focus on senior housing, given the large number of young residents in the county. Residents suggest the reuse and renovation of old, dilapidated buildings (residential or otherwise) into vibrant new housing for different income and age levels. Residents also suggested additional coordination with the US Department of Housing and Urban Development to better support housing voucher recipients and other low-income residents. They also proposed novel tax incentive programs to incentivize civic-minded community engagement, such as tax relief/exemptions for residents who help make their neighborhood more accessible to the elderly or people with disabilities.

Intergovernmental Coordination

- Issues:
 - Residents view intergovernmental coordination as a "critical area" of concern within the county. Communication and transparency between the county and residents, as well as between the county and the city governments, is seen as lacking, particularly regarding upcoming developments, zoning changes, flood mitigation, and a myriad of interrelated issues. Residents indicated that this lack of transparency and communication also creates a knowledge gap for residents around the importance of the comprehensive planning process. Additionally, residents see the patchwork of unincorporated Clayton County interspersed between the municipalities as an impediment to them exercising their democratic rights and receiving services from the county. Ultimately, residents want more of a team dynamic amongst the different government departments and entities to dissolve siloes and produce better outcomes across the county.
- Opportunities:
 - Residents highlighted several opportunities to improve intergovernmental coordination and build upon existing successes. Primarily, residents stressed the need to improve communication with and responsiveness to county residents particularly as it relates to connecting residents with resources to improve their overall quality of life. Suggested methods include writing newsletters that include summaries of major department activities and providing more information offline for folks with limited technical capacity or knowledge.

Land Use

- Issues:
 - Residents presented a myriad of land use issues and concerns which range from concerns with environmental degradation and maintenance all the way to policy connected with housing. Residents notice that vacant properties are often left in a state of disarray and are not well-maintained, and these properties, along with other sites across the county, are hotbeds for illegal dumping. In parallel to the concerns raised by vacant areas, residents fear that new developments are being done "without regard to nature" and will have negative downstream effects on flooding, pollution, and wildlife populations. Residents also take issue with the amount of surface parking for semi-trucks across the county.
- Opportunities:
 - Working with existing build out, residents see many opportunities to diversify land use to attract companies and residents to contribute to the county's economy. Residents want to see abandoned or underutilized buildings turned into housing for special populations or other modern amenities/housing. For example, one resident suggested recycling Southlake Mall into a residential/commercial mixed-use area similar to Atlantic Station. Residents generally want to see improvements to greenspace accessibility and county aesthetics, additional mixed-use spaces, and an emphasis on the rural, rustic quality and history of the county as a source of cohesion across land uses.
- Transportation
- • Issues:

- Residents see an issue with the level of investment in pedestrian safety and facilities, as well as public transit. Specifically, residents want to see more sidewalks and street lighting along Tara Boulevard, Evans Drive, Rex Road, Highway 54, and residential areas near public schools. Residents also want an extension of MARTA routes to popular destinations in the county, such as International Park. Additionally, residents feel under protected from traffic and the elements at MARTA bus stops and want to see more protected bus shelters and seating at stops. Residents also noted issues with the current routing of school buses creating congestion issues on thoroughfares and the need to improve roadway conditions for motorists.
- Opportunities:
 - o Residents identified opportunities to improve roadway infrastructure, pedestrian-oriented facilities, and improve MARTA facilities and services. Residents want the county to fix the roads by filling potholes, putting traffic signals at busy intersections, and building new roundabouts where appropriate. Residents also want general improvement of sidewalk conditions/coverage and look forward to continuing to see these improvements come along with new developments. For MARTA, residents want more consistent and comprehensive coverage from MARTA's bus fleet, improved bus shelters to include rain protection and seating, and the extension of the heavy rail system. Residents suggested that revenue from Hartsfield-Jackson Airport be used to fund MARTA expansion into the county. Residents also want additional multi-use trail connectivity within and beyond Clayton County, specifically asking for more interaction from the PATH Foundation. Many land use opportunities involved improving roadway conditions and beautifying landscaping around popular thoroughfares, such as SR 54, SR 85, Tara Boulevard, and Flint River Road.

Activity #2:

Development Opportunities (Where are the development opportunities i.e. land use, transportation, housing, economic development, nature, historic preservation, etc.)?

• Residents identified several locations across the county that would be suitable for development. Notable geographic clusters include along Tara Boulevard throughout the county, south of Hartsfield-Jackson Airport, west of Jonesboro near the border with Fayette County, and south of I-75 outside of Morrow. Eleven dots were placed at Public Meeting 1, 24 dots were placed at Public Meeting 2, and five dots were placed at the popup event.

Activity #3:

Who is Clayton County?

• Clayton County is seen as "a county that treat its residents with respect, goal setting, dignity and trust." However, while residents view the county as a positive, "growing force in Atlanta," they also acknowledge that the county is largely pigeonholed as a logistics "dumping ground" for the rest of the metro area, impacting residential quality of life and the county's external image. Additionally, residents recognize a need to revitalize popular thoroughfares such as Tara Boulevard, and address infrastructure and development shortcomings throughout the county. These shortcomings include the need to have more grocery store options, new medical care facilities, park renovations (particularly in terms of accessibility for special needs individuals/families),

additional housing options (senior housing, affordable, and luxury), and beautifying existing properties and streets through better landscaping. Residents also see a need to instill educational quality and outcomes, the safety of students, and the health and wellbeing of all residents as focuses for the plan update.

Who do we want to be?

• Clayton County residents want to see the county develop safely and prosperously to become "a place where people come...not a place where people pass through." To establish the county as a true live-work-play destination, residents want better landscaping and trash maintenance across the county for beautification, more shopping and retail venues, additional restaurants with outdoor seating, and other amenities and services. Residents also suggest that the county works to prevent gentrification and the displacement of legacy residents, provide free recycling to residents, improve leadership quality and transparency, and additional support for long-term and older residents. Ultimately, residents want Clayton County to be "self-improving, collaborative, united, trustworthy" and integrous.

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING 2

Steering Committee Meeting 2 on Friday, February 2, 2024; 11:00 AM - 1:00 PM at the Jim Huie Recreation Center

Public Meeting Team Members

Cephas Archie, Clayton State University Cheryl Brooks, Clayton County Garvey Cillis, Resident Mary Darby, Clayton County Madison Davis, Sycamore Consulting, Inc. Altimese Dees, Resident Brian Dorelus, Aerotropolis ATL Patrick Ejike, Clayton County Clea Etheridge, Resident Deanna Murphy, Sizemore Group Erica Rocker, Clayton County Anthony W. Smith, Clayton Public School District Jon Tuley, Kimley Horn Larry Vincent, Clayton County

Engagement Purpose

The purpose of the Steering Committee 2 was reviewing the project's intent, process and schedule, and gaining input on future land use changes and development nodes. The team also collected input and feedback on the newly drafted Comprehensive Plan vision and goals. The project team selected the Jim Huie Recreation Center for this meeting. The goal of the engagement activities was to educate and solicit general feedback on the future land use map; to confirm opportunities and challenges within the community that should be prioritized for the community work program; and to summarize engagement input gathered from the public online and in-person.

Engagement Summary

The meeting consisted of a presentation given by the project team to provide an overview of the comprehensive planning process, as well as discuss the results of community engagement thus far and draft vision and goals. The team also invited attendees to review a 1:600 scale future land use map of the county and provide feedback on new land uses and potential development nodes. The attendees offered the following comments and suggestions during the meeting:

General Notes

• Project team needs to ensure that the objectives of the plan are communicated for a layperson and reduce technical jargon whenever appropriate

Community Engagement Notes

Econ Dev Community Feedback

- A larger emphasis on educational quality and outcomes can be explored through indirect methods (i.e. ARC's Learn4Life and other wrap around services) in collaboration with public schools and Clayton State
 - Continue to push information through PTA meetings/school system to increase knowledge of services and resources available in Clayton
 - o Explore physical and digital media to communicate resources
- Need to consider how marketing and other items to address negative perception can be incorporated into community work program
 - o Specifically promoting school accreditation and awards, low unemployment rates, income increases, etc.

Housing Community Feedback

- Continue to focus on ways to address housing quality throughout the county, particularly with investor-owned properties where the property owner is "collecting rents but not maintaining" the property.
 - Potentially explore higher fees and harsher penalties for negligent property owners/lack of code compliance
 - o County is working on a property maintenance ordinance
 - o Nearby models: City of Roswell, DeKalb County
 - o County has the most issues with multi-family housing units
 - County can explore greater collaboration with HOAs to address blighted properties and illegal dumping in their neighborhoods
- Lack of housing to support local university student/faculty capacity

Intergovernmental Community Feedback

- County needs to collaborate with the cities to address major corridors (i.e. Tara Boulevard) and building blight
 - o Determine responsibility for managing and maintaining thoroughfares across jurisdictions
- County needs to collaborate with local institutions (i.e. Clayton State University, MARTA) to ensure that strategic efforts and initiatives can synergize
 - o Reduce outsourcing of plans/studies and utilize university student/faculty/staff
 - Collaborate with MARTA to increase "ownership" and influence decision-making surrounding incoming BRT lines

Land Use Community Feedback

- Utilize Clayton land bank to acquire dilapidated properties to convert into greenspace or centers for missing amenities/resources (i.e. hotels, restaurants, grocery stores)
 - o Suggestion to reference Fayetteville's development

Transportation Community Feedback

- Transit access remains a critical issue across the county and infrastructure is needed to support Clayton (and the metro area's) demand/need
 - Clayton State University and other community-serving institutions and businesses (healthcare, grocery stores, etc.) have difficulty attracting/supporting students and faculty/staff
 - o Lack of knowledge about upcoming BRT routes in Clayton amongst residents
 - Companies hesitant to relocate to the county because of lack of access to prominent job centers (i.e. Fort Gillem)

Vision & Goals Section

- Add additional goals connecting educational outcomes to economic development and explore supportive work items
 - o Additional focus on innovation, entrepreneurship, and business incubation
- Develop and formalize strategy for redevelopment nodes
 - Explore Clayton State as an independent development node (outside of Old Dixie node)
 - o Advertise potential commercial development sites to businesses
 - o Create local incentives to drive interest from business community
 - o Potential utilize eminent domain for strategic assemblage of properties
 - o Tara Boulevard
- Explore new industrial park development
- Continue to learn from other local municipalities
 - o Lovejoy, Sandy Springs, Fayetteville
- Create apparatus to allow continual co-development of strategic plans across jurisdictions and institutions

FLUM Section

- 15A/Southlake Mall node may support mixed use next to light industrial uses and this node is key to the corridor's development
 - o Chance to further relationship with adjacent landowners who may need space to grow

- Harvest Urban Village has a newly approved 67 acre development mimicking a Portuguese architectural style
- Old county buildings could potentially be turned into something new through collaboration with Jonesboro
 - Changes need to be made in the zoning code to create a platform to standardize new development types that are not currently supported

PUBLIC MEETINGS, SERIES 2

Series 2, Meeting 1 on February 12, 2024

Sign-in sheets



SIGN IN SHEET

Public Meeting | February 12, 2024

| NAME | PHONE EMAIL ADDRESS |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Jim Hellerud | |
| Robin Stewart | |
| Allex axes 3 | |
| BASHUN LAVENDER | |
| Daniel Small | |
| JANARY Fitz | <u>c</u> on |
| Brian Donelus | |
| Kay Hartsaw | |
| Sierra Fierds | |
| Ataina Reaves | |
| | |
| VASNER Julio | |
| | |
| | |



Public Meeting | February 19, 2024

| | NAME | PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS | |
|---|--------------------|-------|---------------|---|
| | Jennette C, Fields | | | |
| | mary ulash | | | a |
| | Jonguit Patterson | | | |
| | Actuan Alsobrack | | | 2 |
| | Monica Alsobrook | | | |
| (| D. Binns | | | |
| | & Dane. | | | |
| | Cephes Archa | | | J |
| | James Pete | | | |
| | le Carrondras Ecky | | | |
| | Sill Robbins | | | |
| | | | | |

Summary of Public Meeting Series 2

- Meeting 1: Monday, February 12, 2024; 6:00 8:00 PM at the Lakeview Event Center
- Meeting 2: Monday, February 19, 2024; 6:00 8:00 PM at the Frank Bailey Senior Center

Public Meeting Team Members

- Cheryl Brooks, Clayton County
- Patrick Ejike, Clayton County
- Deanna Murphy, Sizemore Group
- Ashlyn Oakes, Sizemore Group
- Madison Davis, Sycamore Consulting, Inc.
- Jen Price, Sycamore Consulting, Inc.

Engagement Purpose

The purpose of the Public Meeting Series 2 was to get more detailed input from the community on the previous plan's goals and strategies, and to identify areas of challenge and opportunity in regard to land use and transportation. The project team selected the Lakeview Event Center location for the first meeting of this series and the Frank Bailey Senior Center for the second meeting. The locations were selected with the intention of making the second series accessible to a larger number of residents by rotating public engagement opportunities around the different districts of Clayton County as the first series' meetings were held in the other two districts (The Sonna Singleton Gregory Senior Center is in District 1 and the Flint River Community Center and Jim Huie Recreation Center are located in District 3). The goal of the engagement activities was to educate and solicit general feedback on five defined goal categories from the previous plan; identify potential future land use changes; discuss opportunities and challenges the community would like to prioritize; and to direct the public to engage with the interactive project website.

Overall Attendance

A total of 20 people signed into these meetings. Attendees at the meeting included community residents, business owners, places of worship, and non-profit organizations.

Engagement Summary

The series consisted of a presentation given by the project team to discuss the comprehensive planning process and purpose of the plan update along with a brief overview of the previous plan's goals, as well as seven activity boards on easels (duplicate activities from Public Meeting Series 1), two mapping exercises, and a table with flyers advertising the project website and stickers for the engagement activities. For five of the activity boards, each participant was given sticky notes and directed to write down different issues and opportunities he/she/they support and want prioritized within the following five goal categories displayed on the presentation board:

- Economic Development: What are the current conditions of economic well-being and prosperity (i.e. workforce development, business attraction, educational services, etc.)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?
- Housing: What are the current conditions and quality of housing (i.e. renter vs owner-occupied balance, housing type diversity and distribution, etc.)?
- Intergovernmental Coordination: What are the current conditions of government relationships and interactions

(i.e. decision-making and communication between local governments)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?

- Land Use: What are the current conditions of land use (i.e. mix of uses, amenity and resource accessibility, sense of identity and place, etc.)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?
- Transportation: What are the current conditions of transportation (i.e. transit accessibility, walkability and pedestrian safety, connectivity, etc.)? What are the Issues? What are the Opportunities?

For activity #2, participants were given dot stickers and asked to indicate locations where they would like to see more development. Participants placed colored dots on the designated locations on the study area map provided on the presentation board with the prompt:

• Where are the development opportunities (i.e. land use, transportation, housing, economic development, nature, historic preservation, etc.)?

For activity #3, participants were given sticky notes and asked to provide their thoughts on the focus of the Comprehensive Plan Update. Participants wrote down their responses to the following prompts:

- Who is Clayton County?
- Who do we want to be?

For activity #4, participants were presented with a large map of the county with areas highlighted to showcase potential future land use changes and solicit feedback on future development nodes.

For activity #5, participants were presented with a large map of the county designed to highlight mobility challenges around the county and solicit feedback on preferred alternatives.

General Feedback/Notes

- Participants desired additional emphasis placed on environmental concerns within the health and sustainability element
- Participants wanted more notice about the meeting time and greater explanation of the activities/requested input from the project team
- Participants wanted more information on how partnerships were being utilized to create the plan and transparency around who has been involved
- Participants reiterated a need to reign in investor-owned properties by non-County residents

Map Responses

- Activity #4: Transportation Map
 - Additional safety features and road improvement needed on key roads throughout the County (e.g. McDonough Road, SR 314, Tara Boulevard)
- Activity #5: Future Land Use Map
 - Emphasis on Clayton State as its own independent development node outside of the Old Dixie Hwy node

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING 3

Steering Committee Meeting 3 on Thursday, April 11, 2024; 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM at Flint River Recreation Center

Public Meeting Team Members

Christopher Blocker, Clayton Economic Development Cheryl Brooks, Clayton County Mary Darby, Clayton County Madison Davis, Sycamore Consulting, Inc. Altimese Dees, Resident Brian Dorelus, Aerotropolis ATL Patrick Ejike, Clayton County Community Development Lawanda Folami, Resident Nigel Grant, Clayton County Parks and Recreation Deanna Murphy, Sizemore Group

Engagement Purpose

The purpose of the Steering Committee 3 was to review Comprehensive Plan draft concepts and collect feedback on various plan elements, particularly the Community Work Program (CWP) and character area maps for discussion. The project team selected the Flint River Recreation Center for this meeting. The goal of the engagement activities was to educate and solicit general feedback on the character area maps; to workshop CWP items; and to summarize engagement input gathered from the public online and in-person.

Engagement Summary

The meeting consisted of a presentation given by the project team to provide an summary of data collection and analysis thus far and discuss the results of community engagement thus far and draft vision and goals. The team also invited attendees to review CWP items and provide feedback on new character areas. The attendees offered the following comments and suggestions during the meeting:

General Notes

- How many people were in attendance at the first two public meeting series?
- How is the consultant team melding the desires of the county commissioners/elected officials with those of the residents (particularly incompatible desires)? How are you synthesizing the different input into a coherent whole?
- How is the consultant team describing CWP items to make them tangible, easy-to-program/implement action items for commissioners?

Community Work Program Notes

Land Use CWP Feedback

- CWP items are dependent upon community "beautification" in order to attract the kinds of development desired and prevent incompatible uses (i.e. industrial next to residential)
 - o County can mirror other local methods to enhance the "character" of the county.
 - o Trees Atlanta-style clean-up/planting/landscaping projects
 - Land bank areas can be used as catalyst sites for clean-up projects or updated compatible uses (e.g. community garden space)

Housing CWP Feedback

- Adequate quality workforce housing is missing throughout the County and this issue needs to be address directly somewhere in the plan,
 - o Team needs to tie housing needs into workforce development priorities
 - Also need to be specific about what "missing middle" means in the context of Clayton County so that the public walk away with an appropriate impression of the housing typology
- Is there a way to mandate or add a benchmark for number and types of houses at particular price points throughout the County through the comprehensive plan? (Yes, but it could be problematic to attempt to dictate the market in that way.)
- Updating design standards for new multifamily developments could be the "fix" to make workforce housing more attractive for residents
 - o No one wants to live in the type of low price point housing currently coming to the county

Economic Development CWP Feedback

- Economic development department is currently working on fulfilling the RFP for a public relations campaign as well as other items listed in the CWP (in conjunction with ATL Airport CIDS and other entities)
- Overall, the comprehensive plan should be economic development heavy and items in other areas of the plan should lead back to economic development in a meaningful way
 - Working with Aerotropolis to encourage relationships with metro developers and align rezoning/other planning efforts with outside economic development initiatives
 - o "Clayton is ready to play but we have to say that through others"
 - o "Development is heading south and we don't want it to pass us by (again)"
PUBLIC MEETINGS, SERIES 3

Series 1, Meeting 1 on April, 18 2024

Sign-in sheets

| Clayton (purily tuture tocus | _ |
|--|---|
| Clayton County Future Focus mtg 4-18-2024 | |
| 4-18-2024 | |
| Sign-in sheet | |
| - Dign-Cil Stille | |
| Name Eraul Address | |
| | - |
| Daniel Small | - |
| lisha Lawrence | |
| Jim Helleryce | |
| MARY WARD | |
| David Hamrick | |
| Attanta Jean - Funny | |
| | |
| Christy Williams | |
| Jodie Chambers | |
| Joseph letheeler | |
| Croyle Washington | |
| ALLICIA STARK | |
| TIROS PETREA- | |
| hadry DARRy . | |
| Dificione Johnson - C | |
| | |
| | _ |
| | _ |
| | _ |
| | _ |
| | |
| | _ |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Summary of Public Meeting Series 3 + Pop-up Event

- Meeting 1: Saturday, March 30, 2024; 1:00 3:00 PM at the Kiwanis Stadium
- Meeting 2: Thursday, April 18, 2024; 6:00 8:00 PM at the Flint River Community Center
- Meeting 3: Tuesday, April 23, 2024; 10:00 AM 12:00 PM, held virtually via Zoom

Public Meeting Team Members

- Cheryl Brooks, Clayton County
- Patrick Ejike, Clayton County
- Deanna Murphy, Sizemore Group
- Ashlyn Oakes, Sizemore Group
- Madison Davis, Sycamore Consulting, Inc.

Engagement Purpose

The purpose of the Public Meeting Series 3 was to review the draft vision statements, future land use map changes, and new goals/comprehensive plan elements proposed by the project team, as well as get more detailed input from the community about potential community work program items. The project team selected the Flint River Community Center location for the first meeting of this series and held a virtual gathering via Zoom for the second meeting. The team was also invited to join the SpringFest 2024 event at Kiwanis Stadium as a pop-up event.

Overall Attendance

A total of 20 people signed into these meetings. Attendees at the meeting included community residents, business owners, places of worship, and non-profit organizations.

Engagement Summary

The series consisted of a presentation given by the project team to discuss the draft comprehensive plan elements with an emphasis on the plan's goals and community work program, as well as a voting and budgeting exercise to identify priorities for said community work program items. For activity 1 at the meeting series, attendees were given \$100 USD in faux money and asked to "fund" various aspects of the CWP by placing their money in specified buckets for each plan element. They were also given four stickers to "vote" for their highest priority CWP item and encouraged to speak amongst themselves about priorities within the County.

At the pop-up event, attendees were invited to interact with three activity boards showing a series of photos of different density typologies and asked to review the images. Participants were then asked to apply a red or green dot on what they felt was an appropriate or inappropriate density for potential development nodes and to leave sticky note comments describing why they felt a particular way about the images. As a youth-oriented activity, participants were given dry erase markers and asked to write or draw their responses to the prompts: 1) "My favorite thing about Clayton County is...," 2) "My favorite place to go Clayton County is...," and 3) "My favorite thing to do in Clayton County is...," Additionally, adolescent participants were encouraged to write themselves "postcards from the future" and talk about their idealized future vision of Clayton County.

General Feedback/Notes

- Adolescent participants want more emphasis placed on open spaces and youth-oriented activities to encourage age-appropriate social interaction
- · Additional methods needed to distribute information to community about the plan
- Participants want Clayton Co. to develop in its own unique model without mimicking surrounding areas
- · Participants stressed the need for additional emphasis on mental health services in the County

Activity Responses

Activity #1: Budgeting and voting exercise ranking by most valued element:

1. Housing - \$653

Top voted goal/CWP item: Create and preserve a variety of housing options for existing and future residents, from senior housing, missing middle housing, to affordable housing, middle-income housing and high-end housing; and Support programs and policies which guide residents on a pathway to homeownership (5 votes)

2. Economic Development - \$464

Top voted goal/CWP item: Attract high quality, mixed-use development; AND encourage young workforce development and jobs placement; AND improve coordination of economic development and planning; AND improve quality of life through focus on health, safety, and welfare of residents; AND improve image and perception of the County to attract quality development and investment (2 votes)

3. Transportation - \$417

Top voted goal/CWP item: Ensure the Comprehensive Transportation Plan is updated to align with current County goals and objectives; AND Identify missing sidewalk infrastructure in high pedestrian locations, high ridership bus stops, and planned MARTA Bus rapid stops (3 votes)

4. Land Use - \$276

Top voted goal/CWP item: Leverage greenspace and natural resources for community quality of life (4 votes)

5. Health, Wellness, and Sustainability - \$230

Top voted goal/CWP item: Develop a pollution and noise mitigation plan and potential grant funding opportunities to mitigate noise, air, and water pollution impacts on legacy residents and businesses (5 votes)

6. Intergovernmental Coordination - \$150

Top voted goal/CWP item: Improve communication to and with residents and business communities (4 votes)

7. Broadband - \$57

No votes received

Activity #2:

Visual preference survey ("How do you envision low/medium/high-density in Clayton County? Please consider the following images and express your preferences by placing a green sticker if you like the image and a red sticker if you dislike it. You can write additional thoughts or comments on a post-it note and place it on the table below."):

Low density

- Green: 9
- Red: 2
- Comments:
 - I would like to see development along transportation nodes and business parks like Fort Gillem and Anvil Block Road.
 - o I would like for trail ways in neighborhoods to be leveraged for mixed use development.

Medium density

- Green: 10
- Red: 5

High density

- Green: 12
- Red: 2

Activity #3:

Youth Activity Boards (1) "My favorite thing about Clayton County is...," 2) "My favorite place to go Clayton County is...," and 3) "My favorite thing to do in Clayton County is..."?)

- My favorite thing about Clayton County is..."
 - o Swimming in the community pool and making new friends
- "My favorite place to go Clayton County is..."
 - o Home
 - The nail shop
 - o The mall (x4)
 - o To the store (x2)
 - The water park
 - o The library
- "My favorite thing to do in Clayton County is..."
 - o Swimming classes in the park
 - o Art classes
 - o Ballet classes
 - o Play games (x2)
 - o Eat
 - o Go to the park

MOVING CLAYTON FORWARD GUIDEBOOK





A GUIDE TO MOVING CLAYTON FORWARD - 2024 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

CLAYTON COUNTY: WHERE THE WORLD LANDS AND OPPORTUNITIES TAKE OFF

Clayton County is a bustling hub of education, business, and industry which drives job creation and enhances the lives Clayton County is a bustling hub of education, business, and industry which drives job creation and enhances the laves of both residents and enterprises. This plan leverages the County's many opportunities and strengths, including but not limited to: direct access to Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport; a \$64.9 million bipartisan federal grant for multi-purpose trail development, connecting the Beld Ian to Lovejoy; the Six West and Fort Gillern developments; the Acerotropolis and Airport Area Community Improvement Districts; Clayton State University and the Clayton County School Board – recognized as an Exemplary School Board; and MARTA's advancing Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) expansion within the county





Transit Oriented Developm COMMUNITY WORK PROGRAM

principles for each character area. CHARACTER AREAS

> Employment Cente Gateway Cooridor

Historic Area

Mixed-use Industrial

Regional Center

Neighborhood Center

Regional Center Medical

Industrial

nercial Redevelopr Conservation/Environmental Conservation/Flint River Activity

The Community Work Program (CWP) outlines specific steps and initiatives which support the implementation of the comprehensive plan and guide access to potential grant and funding opportunities. The 2024 CWP considers the comprehensive pain and guide access to potential grant and tunding opportunities. The 2024 UWF considers action items, implementation timeframes, cost estimates, and funding sources across the following categories and county departments: Economic Development; the Development Authority; the Office of Resiliency; Community Development; Transportation; Intergovernmental Coordination; the School System; Parks and Recreation; the Library System; the Police Department; Fire and EMS; the Water Authority; Digital Equity; Building and Maintenance; and the Housing Department.

To view the full plan, please visit the Community Development Department website at: https://www.claytoncountyga.gov/government/community-development/

INTERACTIVE MAPPING EXERCISE



ONLINE SURVEY RESPONSES

Number of Survey Responses by Day



Q1. Attracting new residents to Clayton County



Q2. Building high-value residential units



Q3. Building senior housing





Q4. Building workforce housing

Q5. Ensuring the quality of existing housing







Q7. Maintaining affordability





Q8. Maintaining legacy residents

Q9. Maintaining neighborhood character



Q10. Providing housing for all residents



Q11. Supporting residential projects that promote economic development





Q12. What is your top housing priority for Clayton County?

Q13. How would you describe the quality of housing and overall neighborhood conditions in the County?









Q15. Adding more bicycle lanes, multi-use trails, and other bike facilities

Q16. Adding more sidewalks







Q18. Beautifying existing roads



Q19. Constructing new roadways where needed to improve connectivity



Q20. Constructing new trails where needed to improve connectivity





Q21. Expanding transit services throughout the county (i.e. MARTA, XPress Bus, etc.)

Q22. Improving key intersections, adding turn lanes and traffic signals when warranted





Q23. Improving mobility and traffic flow

Q24. Making roadways safer





Q25. Maintaining the character of roadways

Q26. Maximizing the use of emerging technologies (e.g., electric vehicle charging stations, intelligent transportation systems integration, etc.)





Q27. Minimizing conflicts between bicyclists and motor vehicles

Q28. Minimizing conflicts between freight trains and roadways





Q29. Minimizing conflicts between heavy truck and personal vehicles

Q30. Minimizing conflicts between pedestrians and motor vehicles





Q31. Road maintenance, resurfacing, striping, signage

Q32. Transportation projects that promote economic development





Q33. Widening major roadway corridors to allow more vehicles

Q34. What is your top transportation priority for Clayton County?







Q36. How would you describe the experience of getting around your neighborhood and the County using the these transportation modes?





141 answers





Q38. Alleviating household poverty

Q39. Attracting new industries and businesses



Q40. Developing new recreational amenities (e.g. restaurants, theaters, etc.)



Q41. Enhancing existing recreational amenities (e.g. restaurants, theaters, etc.)





Q42. Expanding workforce training in public school system

Q43. Improving educational outcomes and quality





Q44. Improving employment options for residents

Q45. Increasing household incomes and wages





Q46. Increasing small business development support

Q47. Increasing workforce development opportunities



Q48. Maintaining and expanding existing industries and businesses



Q49. What is your top economic priority for Clayton County?



Q50. How would you describe the current level of county services provided to the following populations?

141 answers







Q52. Encouraging sustainable development practices




Q53. Implementing new land use policies

Q54. Improving planning and decision-making around new developments







Q56. Improving access to cultural amenities (e.g., theaters, museums, etc.)



Q57. Improving access to park space



Q58. Improving access to natural amenities (e.g., lakes, forests, etc.)





Q59. Improving landscaping around Clayton County

Q60. Protecting and conserving cultural resources (e.g., historic sites, legacy property, etc.)



Q61. Protecting and conserving natural resources (e.g., creeks, wetlands, etc.)



Q62. What is your top land use priority for Clayton County?



Q63. How would you describe the availability of the following land uses?

140 answers





Q64. Developing new decision-making and operations processes

Q65. Expanding coordination between county and city government initiatives





Q66. Expanding coordination between county government and local businesses

Q67. Improving coordination between Comprehensive Plan and local decision-making





Q68. Improving communication between county and city government officials

Q69. Improving communication between county government and residents





Q70. Improving operations within county government

Q71. Increasing level of service provided from county government







Q73. What is your top intergovernmental coordination priority for the county?



Q76. What is your age group?



Q77. How do you identify?



Q78. Please use the space below to add other comments or input.



Q74. Where do you live?



Q75. Where do you work?







Cultural Places | Beautiful Places