2025 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects

Reviewer Name:	Date:	·	
Organization Name:			
Project Name:			
HUD Project Type: TH SSO-Standalone	SSO-Outreach SSC)-CE	
Requested Amount (General Information Question 6	s):		
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to S (Total number of households, Question 5b, seco			
Please read each application fully first before scoring application that applies specifically to that scoring or slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to the sa "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box follow reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each scoring rationale. Threshold Information	iteria. As the individual possigning a score.	oint amounts ma	y vary just mportant that
Threshold Statements	Yes/No		Score
I. TH Agencies submitting new projects had 8 requirements to meet in order to be considered for this funding (Joint TH-RRH must also meet HUD minimum standards**). All the requirements checked and/or addressed = Yes One or more of the requirements not checked or addressed = No		360.0	
Project Threshold Criteria		Capring	Reviewer Score
Applicant meets HUD's eligibility and threshold criter	ia	Scoring Pass/Fail	Reviewer Score
Applicant demonstrates adequate capacity to carry or required).*		Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements	5.	Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements. Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.		Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to mplement project.		Pass/Fail	
applicant does not have serious compliance or performance issues on urrent projects.		Pass/Fail	
roject demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.		Pass/Fail	
Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component M	inimum Standards**	Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.		Pass/Fail	
Threshold Sta	atements Comments		

Approved November 24, 2025 Page 1 of 11

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are	
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no	
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 10 Excellent*	
proposed.		
(10 possible points)	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 7.5 Good	
New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 2.5 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately demonstrated.	
full operational capacity. New project applications that do	*Transition grant applicants should receive full points for this criteria provided that match is adequately demonstrated.	
not demonstrate	Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns from	
capacity to carry out	HUD, DCA, or any other governmental or foundation funder, that doesn't	
project may be	demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action may lose additional	
rejected by the review	points or be determined not to meet threshold.	
team.		
	TOTAL (10 points maximum)	
Agency Capacity Comme	ents	
Please indicate in the co	mments if this is a Local Government or Transition Grant.	

Approved November 24, 2025 Page **2** of **11**

Proposed Project Information

Aganay Eynavianaa	Dossible Doints	Coore
Agency Experience 2. TH and SSO:	Possible Points Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant	Score
Relevant experience	experience of the applicant = 20	
serving Homeless Population and operating programs	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
Could include the following:	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
PSH: Homeless and	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
Permanent Supportive Housing Experience	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0	
RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Experience		
Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience		
(Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d)		
(20 possible points)		
Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience		
3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5	
Experience	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3	
(Question 1e) (5 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2	
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	
TOTAL		
	(25 points maximum)	
Agency Experience Com	ments	

Approved November 24, 2025 Page **3** of **11**

Progr	Program Monitoring		
	(9 points maximum)		
		TOTAL	
		= -5	
		Agency has current CoC funded project on pace for 75% or less spenddown = -5	
		Agency has gurrent CoC funded project on page for 75% or less are added.	
(5 pc	ossible points)	Agency does not have a current CoC funded project = 0	
(Que	estion 1h)	spenddown =2	
curr	ent CoC funding	Agency has current CoC funded project on pace for greater than 90%	
5.	Full utilization of	Agency has current CoC funded project on pace for 100% spenddown =5	
		that causes capacity concerns = 0	
		findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature	
(4	4 possible points)	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or	
,		a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
(0	Questions 1f & 1g)	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but	
Find	ings or Concerns	there are no outstanding Federal debts = 4	
4.	Monitoring	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns, and	

General Description	Possible Points	Score
6. Program Description	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 2	
(Question 2a)	Response has a clear description of prioritization of treatment and services to re-gain self-sufficiency = 2	
(8 possible points)	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support service needs of the participants = 1	
(See points value by	Response has clear proposed outcomes, <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem reasonable = 1	
question)	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely manner = 1	

Approved November 24, 2025 Page **4** of **11**

7. Leveraging Housing and Health Care (TH Only) (5 possible points) Yes = 5 (Question 2b & 2c) 8. Transition Grant Projects Demonstrate Project has leveraged Housing equal to at least 25% of the units and has leveraged behavioral health services or healthcare equivalent to 25 % of funding being requested Yes = 5 Question 2b & 2c) Demonstrate Project has leveraged Housing equal to at least 25% of the units and has leveraged behavioral health services or healthcare equivalent to 25 % of funding being requested Yes = 5
(TH Only) (5 possible points) Yes = 5 (Question 2b & 2c) 8. Transition Grant Description of how the project will fully transition the currently
(5 possible points) Yes = 5 (Question 2b & 2c) 8. Transition Grant Description of how the project will fully transition the currently
Yes = 5 (Question 2b & 2c) 8. Transition Grant Description of how the project will fully transition the currently
8. Transition Grant Description of how the project will fully transition the currently
Projects funded CoC project to the new component by the end of the grant
period Clear concise strategy to transition the project= 5 points
(5 possible points) Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions, = 3 point
Explanation is unclear or does not show a strategy or commitment to
transition the project = 0 points
9. Estimated Schedule Applicant provided a complete timeline showing when the project
implementation milestones will occur, and they seem reasonable = 3
(Question 2d) Applicant provided a timeline showing when project implementation
milestones will occur, but is missing 1 or 2 milestones or some of the milestones seem unrealistic = 2
(3 possible points)
Implementation timeline is unclear or project has some feasibility concerns = 0
10. Determinations TH projects: by Project Type Response clearly describes a plan for service participation requirements and
strategy to exit clients to PH = 6
TH: Required Service Response describes a plan for service participation requirements and strategy
participation Plan to to exit clients to PH but leaves some unanswered questions = 4
exit 50% of clients to
permanent housing Response describes a minimal plan for service participation requirements and strategy to exit clients to PH, and leaves unanswered questions = 1
Standalone: Serving Response unclear, incomplete, or service participation is not required = 0
unsheltered and need
for the project Street Outreach and Standalone SSO projects:
Response is clear and describes a consistent plan to serve unsheltered
SSO CE: Prioritization population including why the project is necessary to assist people exit
and Referral Process homelessness = 6
(Question 2e) Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves
unanswered questions= 3
Response unclear, incomplete, or project only proposes providing services to
(6 possible points) clients in housing they operate = 0
** SSO-CE projects:
Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding prioritization = 6
Response gives an adequate description of the prioritization plan, but leaves
unanswered questions= 3
Response unclear or incomplete = 0

Approved November 24, 2025 Page **5** of **11**

11. Coordinated Entry	Agencies were required to explain and discuss:		
Participation	a) plans to assess clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment) = 3 points		
(Question 3)	if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		
Assessment, Prioritization, & Eligibility Requirements	b) how the project will work to ensure they are prioritizing people with the highest needs or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to prioritization of clients and project acceptance of clients through the referral process) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		
(9 possible points)	c) participant eligibility requirements around homelessness and disability (as applicable for PSH) for homeless persons to access and be accepted into this program = 3 points if fully met and demonstrates requirement will be met		
	Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C). Projects determined <i>not</i> willing to participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry System or <i>not</i> targeted for eligible populations may not meet threshold.		
	Award three points for each criteria that fully addresses and clearly demonstrates each requirement will be met. (9 possible total points)		
	TOTAL		
(36 points maximum)			
General Description Comments			

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
12. Educational Liaison	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison,	
(job title, responsibilities, and	describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5 Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
services)		
(Question 4a) (5 possible points)	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
13. Permanent	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist	
Housing Stability	participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response should also clearly demonstrate proposed housing type	
(Question 4b)	meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance, and support to be provided to participants, and how project will	
(10 possible	work to help participants set goals. = 10	
points) <mark>**</mark>	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response adequately demonstrates proposed housing type meets	

Approved November 24, 2025 Page **6** of **11**

the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance and support to be provided to participants, and how project will work to help participants set goals =8 Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 6 Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2 Response unclear or incomplete = 0 Projects proposed to exclusively serve victims of domestic violence should also describe safety planning to address the needs of participants. 14. Increase in Income Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management (Questions 4c and and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are 4c-1) assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream (10 possible benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10 points)** Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7 Response unclear or incomplete = 0 Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs

15. Supportive Services

Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered questions = 5

for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.

(Question 4d and 4e)

(5 possible points)

Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4

Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3

Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1

Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0

Approved November 24, 2025 Page 7 of 11

TOTAL	
(30 points maximum)	
Supportive Services Comments	

Service Participation	Possible Points	Score	
Requirements for			
Participants			
16. Service	Applicant clearly shows that service participation is required for program		
Participation	participants and includes appropriate documentation = 10 points		
Requirements			
	Applicant requires service participation of program participants but does not		
(10 Possible Points)**	have the appropriate documentation = 5 points		
(O			
(Question 4h)			
17.On-Site Treatment:	Full points for projects that have onsite treatment and at least 4 recovery		
Project will have on site	beds = 5 points		
treatment for substance			
abuse or recovery beds	On Site treatment but no recovery beds = 2 points		
as evidenced by number			
of beds and narrative in			
application			
(5 points possible)**			
(Question 4i)			
	TOTAL		
(15 points maximum)			
Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments			

Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments

Proposed Performance	Possible Points	Score
Measures		
18. Housing Stability	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic	
(Question 6a)	that will help at least 85% of participants reach housing stability = 4	
(Question 6a)	Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to	
(4 possible points)	help 85% of participants reach housing stability = 2	
Standard Baseline = 85% of households	Response does address or adequately describe how project will help participants reach housing stability, or narrative notes an anticipated rate less than 85% of households = 0	

Approved November 24, 2025 Page 8 of 11

19. Income	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic that will help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 4	
(4 possible points) Increase in Total Income	Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 2	
(Question 6b)	Response does not address or adequately describe how project will help participant households increase income = 0	
Standard Baseline = 54% of households		
TOTAL		
(8 points maximum)		
Proposed Performance Measures Comments		

Budget	Possible Points	Score
20. Budget	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate,	
	and realistic, and leave no questions = 10	
(Question 7)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts complete, accurate,	
(10 possible points)	and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7	
(10 possible points)	The hudgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, complete,	
	accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many unanswered questions = 0	
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		

Budget Comments

Project Match	Possible Points	Score
21. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:	
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at		
least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and	Well defined = 5	
administrative costs.	Acceptable = 3	
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match	Unacceptable = 0	
(specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)	
TOTAL		
(5 points maximum)		
Match Comments		

Approved November 24, 2025 Page **9** of **11**

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (148 maximum points):

Davis Dainte	Danible Dainte	C
Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)		
	** Application**	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for youth-	No = 0	
headed households (aged 18-24 yrs.		
old).	** Application**	
(5 possible points)		
SSO Project serving integrated housing		
community	SSO Project Site is within an Integrated	
(5 possible points)	Supportive Housing County?	
	Yes = 5	
	163 3	
Integrated Supportive Housing counties	No = 0	
are: Butts, Berrien, Dooly, Gilmer,	140 - 0	
Grady, Hall, Hart, Liberty, Thomas, Tift,		
Troup, or Upson		
Project applicant is a Faith-based	Project Applicant is a Faith-based	
<u>Organization</u>	Organization?	
	Yes = 5	
(5 possible points)		
	No = 0	
Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus	Yes = 5	
points available to project applications		
submitted by an agency that served as a	No = 0	
homeless count coordinator for the		
Annual Point in Time Count conducted		
in January 2024.		
Coordinated Entry Implementation –	Yes = 5	
Project proposed is critical and proposed		
by applicant currently managing the	No = 0	
assessment, prioritization, and referral		
process for a Coordinated Entry		
implementation area.		

Approved November 24, 2025 Page **10** of **11**

(30 possible bonus points)	
	TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (30 maximum points):
	TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (148 maximum)
	TOTAL BONUS POINTS (30 maximum)
	TOTAL POINTS (178) maximum)
Ove	all Comments, Concerns or Recommendations

Approved November 24, 2025 Page 11 of 11