Project Narrative

National Church Residences at Ash Branch Pembroke, Bryan County

National Church Residences at Ash Branch will provide 60-affordable units of new construction housing for seniors 55+ and over on 3.88 acres in Pembroke, Bryan County, GA. Pembroke, GA is located approximately 30 miles West of Savannah. Pembroke is the county seat for Bryan County and maintains an active downtown. The site is well located and within walking distance to City Hall and retail and commercial amenities.

This two-story elevator building will includes a unit mix of 50 one-bedroom units and 10 two-bedroom units. In order to serve some of the most vulnerable in the community, 20% of the units will serve extremely low income households at 30% AMI with remaining units targeting households at 60% AMI. Community amenities will include on-site property management and service coordinator offices, wellness center with wellness library, large community space with kitchen, fenced community garden, qazebo, and a laundry facility.

As the nation's largest non-profit owner and manager of affordable senior housing, National Church Residences is well-suited to serve the senior residents of this proposed development. National Church Residences will develop, own and manage National Church Residences at Ash Branch. National Church Residences has a 50-year history of being an innovative leader in integrating homes and supportive services for vulnerable individuals, enabling them to live healthier and more satisfying lives. National Church Residences has developed more than 22,000 units of housing to meet the needs of low-income residents across the United States, and has a commitment to developing and operating housing and services of the highest quality.

Based on National Church Residences' extensive experience with low-income seniors, the project will be designed to provide the amenities and services that will allow senior residents to enjoy maximum independence, providing a platform for residents to age-in-place.

PRIME LOCATION

The proposed development is ideally located within walking distance to all of downtown Pembroke. There are multiple banks, churches, restaurants, community centers, gas stations, and department stores all less than 2 miles from the project site.

STRONG MARKET VIABILITY

The Pembroke rental market is strong with market occupancy at 95.6%. The subject's proposed rents have a 55-5% discount to market rents depending on unit size and AMI and are expected to be very competitive with the existing properties. There are multiple pharmacies, convenience stores, department stores, banks and restaurants within close proximity of the site. In addition, schools and health care facilities are located within a reasonable distance from the subject.

ENHANCED AMENITIES

Through 50+ years of experience, National Church Residences has learned the importance of flexibility in project design and amenities when constructing or rehabilitating senior housing. When a resident first moves into senior affordable housing such as National Church Residences at Ash Branch, they typically have a more independent and active lifestyle. As time advances, residents become frailer and their dependency on supportive services in the community intensifies, making the accessible design features of a building a necessity rather than a luxury. With the costs of health care rising, more senior residents are opting to remain in independent living communities longer versus moving into assisted living facilities. To assist the residents in remaining independent and self-sufficient, or "Home for Life", it is crucial that additional supportive services and design amenities be provided upfront or that a quick and inexpensive modification can be provided when the need arises.

Design features that promote this philosophy include 100% of the units and common space accessible and adaptable, elevator serviced building, interior furnished gathering areas, handrails in all hallways, emergency pull-cords, and wider turn radius in unit's kitchens and bathrooms.

SERVICE ENRICHED SENIOR HOUSING

To ensure the resident needs are being met, a part time on-site Service Coordinator will be added for the benefit of National Church Residences at Ash Branch residents. The expense for Service Coordinator is included in the operating budget. The primary role of the service coordinator will be to identify the needs of each resident and coordinate with the appropriate service providers. Both the Property Manager and Service Coordinator will encourage the residents to participate in the services provided, especially those residents that have identified needs related to the program topics.

National Church Residences created an electronic decision-making tool, Care Guide, which enables Service Coordinators to track health and outcome data on residents. The Service Coordinator assesses residents (Care Guide) and identifies needs throughout the building. Based on these needs, the Service Coordinator determines the most appropriate agencies to serve the building. For example, because Care Guide evidences that 40% of assessed residents have diabetes, an increased focus is placed on partnering organizations with expertise concerning diabetes/wellness programming and appropriate exercise and culinary options.

Care Guide's main goals include: (1) an increase in access to physician care, (2) a decrease in hospitalizations and emergency room (ER) usage, (3) a decrease in hospital readmissions, and (4) a decrease in unnecessary move-outs to a higher level of care. Care Guide contributes to National Church Residences' goals of helping residents stay healthy and age in place – improving their stability and quality of life while proactively decreasing the strain on healthcare costs associated with the nation's rapidly increasing elderly population.

SERVICE PARTNER

National Church Residences at Ash Branch has signed an MOU with, A Hand to Hold. This partnership will bring a variety of services to residents on-site including blood pressure checks, diabetes monitoring, chronic health education and Hospital to Home Care transition at no cost to residents.

DEVELOPMENT TEAM MEMBERS

National Church Residences has a 50-year history of being an innovative leader in integrating homes and supportive services for vulnerable individuals, enabling them to live healthier and more satisfying lives. National Church Residences has developed more than 22,000 units of housing to meet the needs of low-income residents across the United States, and has a commitment to developing and operating housing and services of the highest quality. National Church Residences is supported by an experienced development team, including Foley Design Associates Architects, Inc. (architect) and Arnall Golden and Gregory LLP (real estate attorney).

	PART ONE -	PROJECT INFORMATION	- 2017-001 N	lational Chui	r <mark>ch Residenc</mark> e	s at Ash Bi	ranch, Pemb	roke, Bryan (County		
	Please note: May Final Revision		cells are unlo		and do not cor se and do conta			can be overwrit		A Use ONLY -	Project Nbr: 7-001
I.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-filled from late	er entries)	\$	664,310		DCA HOME	(from Conse	nt Form)	\$	
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive Round	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	>	Pre-Applicat	on Number		,	,		7-058
				•	Have any cha					Yes - see	Comment
	Was this project previously submitted to the Project Name previously used:				If Yes, please		DCA Projec	t Nbr previous	sly assigned		ed project:
	Has the Project Team changed?		as the DCA (Qualification L	Determination for	or the Team	in that reviev	< Select I	Designation :	>>	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION							-	Carrier Marc	David II.	····· D
	Name	Matthew D. Rule 2335 North Bank Drive						Title Direct Line	Senior Vice	President, Ho (614) 273-35	
	Address City	Columbus						Fax		(614) 451-03	
	State	OH		J Zip+4	43220	-0000	1	Cellular		(614) 579-45	
	Office Phone	(614) 273-3539		Ext.	10220	E-mail	mrule@nati	onalchurchres	sidences.org	(0.1.) 0.7.10	
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p								<u> </u>		
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION										
	Project Name	National Church Residence	es at Ash Bra	ınch			Phased Pro	iect?		No	
	Site Street Address (if known)	104 Ash Branch Road				DCA Project Nbr of previous phase:					
	Nearest Physical Street Address *	104 Ash Branch Road					Scattered Site? No			Nbr of Sites	1
	Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude: 32.141863N			81.621278W		Acreage			3.8800	
	City	Pembroke		9-digit Zip^^	31321	-0000		Census Tra		9201.02	-
	Site is predominantly located:	Within City Limits		County	Bryan			QCT?	No	DDA?	No
	In USDA Rural Area?	Yes In DCA Rur	,	No	Overall:	Rural		HUD SA:	MSA	Savannah	
	* If street number unknown	Congressional	State	Senate	State H		_	erified by appl		lowing website	
	Legislative Districts **	1		1	16	0	Zip Codes			sps.com/zip4/v	<u>relcome.jsp</u>
	If on boundary, other district:						Legislative Dis		http://votesmar	t.org/	
	Political Jurisdiction	City of Pembroke			7		Website	pembroke.g	a.net		
	Name of Chief Elected Official	Judy Cook		Title	Mayor		0'1	Danahaalia			
	Address Zip+4	PO Box 130 31321-0000	Phone	1	(91) 265-3413		City Email	Pembroke	nbroke.ga.net		
.,	•	31321-0000	PHONE		(91) 200-3413		EIIIaii	mayor@per	nbruke.ya.net		
٧.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION										
	A. Type of Construction: New Construction	!	60	1		Adaptive Re	NICO:	Non-historic	0	Historic	0
	Substantial Rehabilitation		0	1		Auapiive Re Historic Reh		NUTTHISUTE	U	THSIOTIC	0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation		0					ition, date of c	original constru	uction:	J

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County B. Mixed Use No C. Unit Breakdown **PBRA** D. Unit Area Total Low Income Residential Unit Square Footage Number of Low Income Units 60 44,140 Total Unrestricted (Market) Residential Unit Square Footage Number of 50% Units 12 0 0 Total Residential Unit Square Footage Number of 60% Units 48 0 44,140 Total Common Space Unit Square Footage Number of Unrestricted (Market) Units 0 0 60 Total Square Footage from Units 44,140 **Total Residential Units Common Space Units** 0 **Total Units** 60 E. Buildings Number of Residential Buildings Total Common Area Square Footage from Nonresidential areas 18,810 Number of Non-Residential Buildings 62,950 **Total Square Footage** 0 **Total Number of Buildings** F. Total Residential Parking Spaces 60 (If no local zoning requirement: DCA minimum 1.5 spaces per unit for family projects, 1 per unit for senior projects) VI. TENANCY CHARACTERISTICS If Other, specify: **A. Family or Senior** (if Senior, specify Elderly or HFOP) **HFOP** If combining Other with Family **Elderly** Family or Sr, show # Units: **HFOP** Other % of Total Units B. Mobility Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: 5.0% Required: 5% 2 66.7% Roll-In Showers Nbr of Units Equipped: % of Units for the Mobility-Impaired Required: 40% C. Sight / Hearing Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: % of Total Units 3.3% Required: 2% VII. RENT AND INCOME ELECTIONS A. Tax Credit Election 40% of Units at 60% of AMI B. DCA HOME Projects Minimum Set-Aside Requirement (Rent & Income) 20% of HOME-Assisted Units at 50% of AMI VIII. SET ASIDES A. LIHTC: Nonprofit Yes B. HOME: **CHDO** No (must be pre-qualified by DCA as CHDO) IX. COMPETITIVE POOL Rural TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANCED PROJECT Issuer: Inducement Date: Office Street Address Applicable QAP: T-E Bond \$ Allocated: City State Zip+4 Contact Name Title E-mail 10-Digit Office Phone Website Direct line

\/I		I INJUTATIONIC E	COMPETITIVE ROUND
ΧI	$\Lambda W \Lambda P \Pi$	1 11V/11 1 A 1 11 11VI > F	

The	falloudage	a a atlana	براممه	ااہ ما	direct	and indirect	Oumara	Davidonara	لمصم	Canaultanta	/ E ~ + ! + .	and Dringing	۸.
HIE	IUIIUWIIIU	260110112	apply	w all	ullect	and munect	OWITEIS,	Developers	allu	Consulants i	.⊏HIUU\	and Principa	i) .

A. Number of Applications Submitted:

3

B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All Applications:

2,281,597

C. Names of Projects in which an Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals has a direct or indirect Ownership interest:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest
National Church Residence	True Light Haven	Direct	7		
National Church Residence	National Church Residences at Ash Branch	Direct	8		
National Church Residence	National Church Residences at Willowbrook	Direct	9		
The Hineshouse Development Inc.	National Church Residences at Willowbrook	Direct	10		
5			11		
6			12		

D. Names of Projects in which the Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals is partnering with an inexperienced unrelated entity for purposes of meeting DCA Experience Requirements:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Project Participant	Name of Project	
Hinesville Housing Authority	National Church Resiences at Willowbrook	7		
2		8		
3		9		
4		10		
5		11		
6		12		

XII. PRESERVATION

A. Subsequent Allocation	on
--------------------------	----

Year of Original Allocation

Original GHFA/DCA Project Number

First Year of Credit Period

Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)

Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Compliance pd

B. Expiring Section 8

C. Expiring HUD

HUD funded affordable **non**public housing project

No	

Nο

No

First Building ID Nbr in Project Last Building ID Nbr in Project

iA-	iΑ-		
	iA-		

HUD funded affordable public housing project

XIII. A	ADDITIONAL PROJECT INF	ORMATION									
1	A. PHA Units							_			
	Is proposed project part of						No			-	
	Number of Public Housing Units reserved and rented to public housing tenants:							% of Total Residential Units			0%
	Nbr of Units Reserved and	Rented to:	PHA Tenants w/ PBRA:		Households	on Waiting List:		% of Total Re	esidential Units	0%	0%
	Local PHA Street Address							Direct line			
	City				Zip+4			Cellular			
	Area Code / Phone				Email					•	
I	B. Existing properties: curr	ently an Exten	sion of Cancellation O	ption?	No	If yes, expi	iration year:		Nbr yrs to forgo cand	ellation option:	
	New properties: to exerc	ise an Extensi	on of Cancellation Opt	ion?	Yes	If yes, expi	iration year:	2039	Nbr yrs to forgo cand	ellation option:	5
(C. Is there a Tenant Owners	ship Plan?			No						
ı	D. Is the Project Currently (Occupied?			No	If Yes	>:	Total Existing	y Units		
		•				!		Number Occi			
								% Existing O	ccupied	L	
	E. Waivers and/or Pre-Appr Amenities?	ovals - have th	ne following waivers an	id/or pre-appr		pproved by	DCA?	Ouglification	Datarmination?	г	Vac
	Architectural Standards?				No Yes				Determination? Performance Bond (H	OME only)?	Yes No
	Sustainable Communities	Site Analysis P	acket or Feasibility study	?	No			Other (specify		SIVIL OTHY):	110
	HOME Consent?	,	, ,		No				Boost (extraordinary circ	umstances)	No
	Operating Expense?				No	-			>;		
	Credit Award Limitation (e:	,	cumstances)?		No	If Yes, new	Limit is		>:		
	F. Projected Place-In-Service	ce Date				ı					
	Acquisition Rehab										
	New Construction			October 15, 2	2019						
XIV.	APPLICANT COMMENTS	AND CLARIFI	ICATIONS				XV.	DCA COMMI	ENTS - DCA USE ONL	Υ	
	nit count has changed from the p	re-application. A	t pre-applciation, the unit co	ount was 56 and	the unit count h	nas increased					
to 60 ι	units.										
The nr	oject is designated Rural accord	ling to USDA and	is confirmed in Tab 00 Alt	hough in Section	n IV above it st	ates Bryan					
	y is not a DCA rural county, it is I										
The Pi	roject Team has not changed fro	m Pre-Applicatior	n.								
The A	rchitectural Waiver is included in	Tab 18.									

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

I. OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	Pembroke GA Senior Housing Li	mileu Partners	nip		Name of Principal	Matthew D. Rule
Office Street Address	2335 North Bank Drive				Title of Principal	Senior VP, Housing Develop
City	Columbus	Fed Tax ID:			Direct line	(614) 273-3539
State	OH Zip+4 432	220-0000	Org Type:	For Profit	Cellular	(614) 579-4588
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	mrule@nation	nalchurchresidences.org		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphen	ns, parentheses, etc - ex: 1234567890)			* Must be v	verified by applicant usi	ing following website:
3. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA	ATION			http://zin4.us	sps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	
1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)	111011			<u>11(tp://21p11.do</u>	pps.com/zip // weicome.jsp	
a. Managing Gen'l Partner	National Church Residences of F	Pembroke GA L	LC		Name of Principal	Matthew D. Rule
Office Street Address	2335 North Bank Drive		-		Title of Principal	Senior VP, Housing Develor
City	Columbus	Website	www.national	Ichurchresidences.org	Direct line	(614) 273-3539
State	OH	Zip+4	43220	1-0000	Cellular	(614) 579-4588
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(614) 273-3539	E-mail	mrule@nation	nalchurchresidences.org		
b. Other General Partner	•	<u> </u>			Name of Principal	
Office Street Address					Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			Cclididi	
**		_ L man				
c. Other General Partner					Name of Principal	
Office Street Address		\\\/ - ' -			Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED						
 a. Federal Limited Partner 	NHT Equity LLC				Name of Principal	Lore Little
Office Street Address	2335 North Bank Drive				Title of Principal	Vice President
City	Columbus	Website	naht.org		Direct line	
State	OH	Zip+4	43220		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(614) 451-9929	E-mail	llittle@naht.o	rg		
b. State Limited Partner	Sugar Creek Capital				Name of Principal	Chris Hite
Office Street Address	1819 Peachtree Road				Title of Principal	President
City	Atlanta	Website	sugarcreekca	pital.com	Direct line	
State	GA	Zip+4	30309	-0000	Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 343-1062	E-mail	chite@sugard	creekcapital.com		
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR		<u> </u>				
Nonprofit Sponsor	National Church Residences				Name of Principal	Matthew D. Rule
Office Street Address	2335 North Bank Drive				Title of Principal	Senior VP, Housing Develor
City	Columbus	Website	www national	churchresidences.org	Direct line	(614) 273-3539
State	OH	Zip+4	43220		Cellular	(614) 579-4588
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(614) 273-3539	E-mail		nalchurchresidences.org	Johnaia	(,
To Digit Office I Hoffe / Ext.	12.0.000	_ IIIuii	0.5 0 110(10)			

	Do NOT delete this tab from this	workbook. Do NOT Copy from ar	nother workb	ook to "Paste" here . Use "Paste Spe	ecial" and select "Valu	ies" instead.
II.	DEVELOPER(S)					
	A. DEVELOPER	National Church Residences			Name of Principal	Matthew D. Rule
	Office Street Address	2335 North Bank Drive			Title of Principal	Senior VP, Housing Develo
	City	Columbus	Website	www.nationalchurchresidences.org	Direct line	(614) 273-3539
	State	OH	Zip+4	43220-5423	Cellular	(614) 570-4588
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(614) 273-3539	E-mail	mrule@nationalchurchresidences.org		
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Gendiai	
					T.	
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address		\// a la a i t a	1	Title of Principal	
	City State		Website		Direct line	
	State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Zip+4 E-mail		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Priorie / Ext.		E-IIIdII			
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website	_	Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
Ш	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
					T N (D) 1	
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address City		Website	T	Title of Principal Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Celiulai	
			L-IIIali			
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR	TBD			Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY	National Church Residences			Name of Principal	Steve Bodkin
	Office Street Address	2335 North Bank Drive			Title of Principal	SVP, COO Housing
	City	Columbus	Website	www.nationalchurchresidences.org	Direct line	,
	State	OH	Zip+4	43220-5423	Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	sbodkin@nationalchurchresidences.org]	

	LOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Bran	
	this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Sp	
D. ATTORNEY Office Street Address	Arnall Golden Gregory LLP 171 17th Street Suite 2100	Name of Principal Jeff Adams Title of Principal Partner
City	Atlanta	Direct line (404) 873-7014
State	GA Zip+4 30363-1031	Cellular
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 873-8500 E-mail jeffrey.adams@agg.com	
E. ACCOUNTANT	Plante Moran	Name of Principal Gregg Harris
Office Street Address	250 South High Street, Suite 100	Title of Principal Associate
City	Columbus Website plantmoran.com	Direct line
State	OH Zip+4 43125-0000	Cellular
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(614) 222-9156 E-mail gregg.harris@plantmoran.com	
F. ARCHITECT	Foley Design Associates Architects, Inc.	Name of Principal William Foley
Office Street Address	950 Lowery Blvd. N.W.	Title of Principal President
City	Atlanta Website foleydesign.com GA Zip+4 30318-0000	Direct line (479) 400 4042
State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	GA Zip+4 30318-0000 E-mail billfoley@foleydesign.com	Cellular (678) 409-6042
	Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)	
A. LAND SELLER (If applicable)	Downtown Development Authority Principal Tiffany Walraven	10-Digit Phone / Ext.
Office Street Address	PO Box 130	City Pembroke
State	GA Zip+4 31321-0000 E-mail tiffany.walraven@pembro	
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST		
	If Yes, explain relationship in boxes provided below, and use Comment box at bottom of this	tab or attach additional pages as needed:
1. Developer and No		
Contractor?		
2. Buyer and Seller of No		
Land/Property?		
3. Owner and Contractor? No		
3. Owner and Contractor:		
4. Owner and Consultant? No		
4. Owner and Consultant? No		
	National Church Residences is one of the 13 non-profit members of Stewards for Affordable Housing for the Futur	∋ (SAHF). SAHF is an affiliate of NAHT
5. Syndicator and Yes	National Church Residences is one of the 13 non-profit members of Stewards for Affordable Housing for the Futur	e (SAHF). SAHF is an affiliate of NAHT
5. Syndicator and Yes Developer?	National Church Residences is one of the 13 non-profit members of Stewards for Affordable Housing for the Future	e (SAHF). SAHF is an affiliate of NAHT
5. Syndicator and Developer?6. Syndicator and No	National Church Residences is one of the 13 non-profit members of Stewards for Affordable Housing for the Futur	e (SAHF). SAHF is an affiliate of NAHT
5. Syndicator and Peveloper?	National Church Residences is one of the 13 non-profit members of Stewards for Affordable Housing for the Futur	e (SAHF). SAHF is an affiliate of NAHT
5. Syndicator and Developer?6. Syndicator and No	National Church Residences is one of the 13 non-profit members of Stewards for Affordable Housing for the Futur	e (SAHF). SAHF is an affiliate of NAHT

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

8. Other

Yes

National Church Residences of Pembroke GA LLC is the managing general partner of the project owner, Pembroke GA Senior Housing Limited Partnership. National Church Residences: (i) is the sole member of National Church Residences of Pembroke GA LLC, (ii) is the developer of the project, and (iii) will be the property manager of the project. There is an identity of interest between the General Partner of the Project Owner, the Developer, and the Property Manager.

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e	ntity ever	2. Is entity	3. Org Type	4. Project	5. Does this	s entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any
	been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)	?	a MBE/	(FP,NP,	Ownership	member,	officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the
			WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage	Applicant?	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment box at
]		,	J		the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use						γ
	Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation
Managing	'	No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	Yes	The managing General Partner will be National Church Residences of Pembroke
Genrl Prtnr						. 55	GA LLC, which has as its sole member National Church Residences. An identity
							of interest exists between the General Partner and the Developer, Non-Profit
							Sponsor and Property Manager, all entities which have National Church
							Residences as its parent company and all roles which will by filled by National Church Residences.
							ondron residences.
Other Genrl							
Prtnr 1							
Other Genrl							
Prtnr 2							
Federal Ltd		No	No	For Profit	98.9900%	No	
Partner							
State Ltd		No	No	For Profit	1.0000%	No	
Partner							
NonProfit		No	No	Nonprofit	0.0000%	No	
Sponsor							
Developer		No	No	Nonprofit	0.0000%	No	
0-							
Co-							
Developer 1 Co-							
Developer 2							
Owner							
Consultant							
Developer							
Consultant							
Contractor							
Managemen		No	No	Nonprofit	0.0000%	No	
t Company							
				Total	100.0000%		VI DCA COMMENTS DCA LISE ONLY

VI. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

VI.

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

The managing General Partner will be National Church Residences of Pembroke GA LLC, which has as its sole member National Church Residences. An identity of interest exists between the General Partner and the Developer, Non-Profit Sponsor and Property Manager, all entities which have National Church Residences as its parent company and all roles which will by filled by National Church Residences.

PART THREE - SOURCES OF FUNDS - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits			No	FHA Risk Share	No	Georgia TCAP *	
No	Historic Rehab Credits			No	FHA Insured Mortgage	No	USDA 515	
No	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$			No	Replacement Housing Funds	No	USDA 538	
No	Taxable Bonds			No	McKinney-Vento Homeless	No	USDA PBRA	
No	CDBG		No	FHLB / AHP *	No	Section 8 PBRA		
No	HUD 811 Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD)		No	NAHASDA	No	Other PBRA - Source:	Specify Other PBRA Source here	
No	DCA HOME * Amt \$			No	Neigborhood Stabilization Program *	No	National Housing Trust	Fund
No	Other HOME * Amt \$			No	HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods	No	Other Type of Funding - de	escribe type/program here
	Other HOME - Source	Specify Other HOME Source	e here		_		Specify Administrator of O	ther Funding Type here

^{*}This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) regmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Financing Type		Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A					38
Mortgage B					
Mortgage C					
Federal Grant					
State, Local, or Private C	Grant				
Deferred Developer Fees	S				
Federal Housing Credit Equity		NAHT & Sugar Creek Capital	5,364,303		
State Housing Credit Equ	uity	Sugar Creek Capital	3,344,801		
Other Type (specify)	General Partner Equity		100		
Other Type (specify)					
Other Type (specify)					
Total Construction Fina	ancing:		8,709,204		
Total Construction Period	d Costs from Development Budget:		8,709,204		
Surplus / (Shortage) of C	Construction funds to Construction cos	sts:	0		

PART THREE - SOURCES OF FUNDS - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

PERMANENT FINANCING

I ERWANEINT I INANCINO			Cffootivo	Torm	A ma art	Annual Daht Carriag in	
Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Principal Amount	Effective Int Rate	Term (Years)	Amort. (Years)	Annual Debt Service in Year One	Loan Type
Mortgage A (Lien Position 1)	j j	,		(33 3/	(33 37		Amortizing
Mortgage B (Lien Position 2)							
Mortgage C (Lien Position 3)							
Other:							
Foundation or charity funding*						•	
Deferred Devlpr Fee							
Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15:	1,024,456						
DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15)	0.000% 0.000%						
Cash flow covers DDF P&I?			_				
Federal Grant							
State, Local, or Private Grant				<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Housing Credit Equity	NAHT & Sugar Creek Capital	5,646,635		5,64	5,635	0.00	% of TDC
State Housing Credit Equity	Sugar Creek Capital	3,520,843		3,520	0,843	-0.25	62%
Historic Credit Equity							38%
Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds							100%
Invstmt Earnings: Taxable Bonds							
Income from Operations							
Other:	General Partner Equity	100					
Other:							
Other:							
Total Permanent Financing:		9,167,578					
Total Development Costs from Dev	relopment Budget:	9,167,578					
Surplus/(Shortage) of Permanent fu	unds to development costs:	(0)					
undation or charity funding to cover co	osts exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Sect	on II).	1				

^{*}Four

APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY IV. The new construction of National Church Residences at Ash Branch will be funded from federal and state tax credit equity. Ash Branch assumes 11,071 in federal tax credits per unit or a total of 664,310. Federal LIHTC represents only 61.6% of total sources and is significantly below the maximum allowed credit allocation of 850,000 per project for the Rural Pool.

PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

Non-Depreciable Basis Ba
Property Appraisal 6,000 6,000 5,000 5,000
Market Study
Environmental Report(s)
Soil Borings
Boundary and Topographical Survey Zoning/Site Plan Fees Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >
Zoning/Site Plan Fees Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other description here; provide detail & justification in tab Pa
Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: ACQUISITION 400,000 Site Demolition 300,000 Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures) 500 Existing Structures 500 Existing Structures 500 LAND IMPROVEMENTS Site Construction (On-site) Per acre: 64,433 Subtotal 250,000 Structures Structures Structures - New Construction 4,909,180 Residential Structures - Rehab 4,909,180
Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>
Other:
Subtotal 42,500 42,500 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
ACQUISITION Land Site Demolition Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures) Existing Structures Subtotal Site Construction (On-site) Site Construction (Off-site) Subtotal S
Land 400,000 300,0
Site Demolition 300,000 Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures) 500 Existing Structures 100,000 Subtotal 800,500 LAND IMPROVEMENTS LAND IMPROVEMENTS Site Construction (On-site) Per acre: 64,433 250,000 Site Construction (Off-site) Subtotal 250,000 STRUCTURES STRUCTURES Residential Structures - New Construction Residential Structures - Rehab 4,909,180 4,909,180
Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures) 500 100,000
Existing Structures
Subtotal
LAND IMPROVEMENTS LAND IMPROVEMENTS Site Construction (On-site) Per acre: 64,433 250,000 250,000 ————————————————————————————————————
Site Construction (On-site) Per acre: 64,433 250,000 250,000 - - - Site Construction (Off-site) Subtotal 250,000 250,000 - - - - STRUCTURES STRUCTURES Residential Structures - New Construction 4,909,180 4,909,180 -
Site Construction (Off-site) Subtotal 250,000 250,000
STRUCTURES STRUCTURES Residential Structures - New Construction 4,909,180 4,909,180 4,909,180 Residential Structures - Rehab 4,909,180 4,909,180 4,909,180
STRUCTURES Residential Structures - New Construction Residential Structures - Rehab
Residential Structures - New Construction Residential Structures - Rehab
Residential Structures - Rehab
Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, maintenance bldg, etc.) - New Consti
Accessory Structures (ie. community blug, maintenance blug, etc.) - Renab Subtotal 4,909,180
CONTRACTOR SERVICES DCA Limit 14,909,100
Builder Profit: 6.000% 309,551 6.000% 309,551 309,551
Builder Overhead 2.000% 103,184 2.000% 103,184 103,184
General Requirements* 6.000% 309,551 6.000% 309,551 309,551
*See QAP: General Requirements policy 14.000% 722,285 Subtotal 722,285
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS (Non-GC work scope items done by Owner) Others are Enter description bars provided detail 8, instiffection in tab Port IV by
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>
$\underline{\underline{I}}$ otal $\underline{\underline{C}}$ onstruction $\underline{\underline{H}}$ and $\underline{\underline{C}}$ osts Average TCHC: 98,024.41 per $\underline{\underline{Res'I}}$ unit 98,024.41 per unit 93.43 per total sq ft
5,881,464.50 133.25 per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF 133.25 per unit sq ft
CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY
Construction Contingency 5.00% 294,073 294,073

PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-0011	rational orial oritios				
I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		New	A	Dalada Pilatian	Amortizable or
iii berees ment bobber (oomb)		Construction	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Non-Depreciable
	TOTAL COST	Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		Busis	CONSTRUCTION P	ERIOD FINANCING	Dusis
Bridge Loan Fee					
Bridge Loan Interest					
Construction Loan Fee					
Construction Loan Interest					
Construction Legal Fees					
Construction Period Inspection Fees					
Construction Period Real Estate Tax					
Construction Insurance	32,071	32,071			
Title and Recording Fees	32,071	32,011			
Payment and Performance bonds	30,000	30,000			
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	30,000	30,000			
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Subtotal	62,071	62,071			
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	02,071	02,071	DDOEESSION	AL SERVICES	_
Architectural Fee - Design	232,930	232,930	FROI ESSION	AL SERVICES	
Architectural Fee - Design Architectural Fee - Supervision	77,644	77,644			
Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000	20,000	20,000			
	4,000	4,000			
Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft)	20,000	20,000			
Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review Construction Materials Testing	20,000	20,000			
3	20,000	20,000			
Engineering Real Estate Attarney	50,000	50,000			
Real Estate Attorney	12,000	4,000			8,000
Accounting	5,000				8,000
As-Built Survey		5,000			
Other: Building Envelope and Elevator Review	18,500	18,500			0.000
Subtotal	460,074	452,074	- 1 OCAL COVE	- DNIMENT FFFC	8,000
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 0			LUCAL GUVE	RNMENT FEES	
Building Permits	-				
Impact Fees	-				
Water Tap Fees waived? Yes	-				
Sewer Tap Fees waived? Yes	-				
Subtotal	-	-	- DEDMANIENT E	-	-
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES			PERMANENT FI	NANCING FEES	
Permanent Loan Fees					
Permanent Loan Legal Fees	F0.000				F0 000
Title and Recording Fees	50,000				50,000
Bond Issuance Premium					
Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	50,000				50.000
Subtotal	50,000				50,000

2017 Funding Application PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		TOTAL COST	New Construction Basis	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS			Dusis	DCA-RELA	ATED COSTS	Dusis
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)						
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		5,500				5,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees						·
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	53,145	53,145				53,145
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	48,000	48,000				48,000
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)		3,000				3,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV	-b >>					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV	-b >>					
	Subtotal	109,645				109,645
EQUITY COSTS				EQUIT	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees		5,000				5,000
Tax Credit Legal Opinion						
Syndicator Legal Fees						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						
	Subtotal	5,000				5,000
DEVELOPER'S FEE				DEVELO	PER'S FEE	
·	0.000%					
	0.000%					
	0.000%					
Developer's Profit	100.000%	1,104,467	1,104,467			
	Subtotal	1,104,467	1,104,467	-	-	-
START-UP AND RESERVES		10.000		START-UP A	ND RESERVES	
Marketing	01.701	10,000				10,000
Rent-Up Reserves	86,784	86,784				86,784
Operating Deficit Reserve:	173,567	181,000				181,000
Replacement Reserve	1 222	00.000	00.000			
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	1,333	80,000	80,000			
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV		257.704	00.000			277.704
OTHER COSTS	Subtotal	357,784	80,000		R COSTS	277,784
OTHER COSTS				UTHE	K COS13	
Relocation Others are Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV	hss					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV	Subtotal					
	SUDIVIAI		-	-		
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		9,167,578	7,916,650	-	-	1,250,929
Average TDC Per: Unit: 152,792.97 Sq	juare Foot:	145.63				

PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

	TAKT FOOK - 03E3 OF FONDS - 2017-001 National Charen Resid	acrides at Asir Bran	ion, i cinbroke, bryan	County	
II.	TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD	New Construction	4% Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	
	Subtractions From Eligible Basis	Basis			
	Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other <enter comments="" description="" detailed="" here;="" if="" needed="" section="" use=""> Total Subtractions From Basis:</enter>	0		0	
	Eligible Basis Calculation				
	Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation	7,916,650 0 7,916,650 100.00% 7,916,650 100.00% 7,916,650 9.00% 712,498	0 0 100.00% 0 0 712,498	0 0 0 100.00% 0 100.00% 0 3.24%	
III.	TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD				
	Equity Gap Calculation				
	Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap	9,167,590 9,167,578 100 9,167,478	from foundation or charital	provide amount of funding ble organization to cover the ding the PCL: 0	If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N): Hist Desig
	Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation	/ 10 916,748 1.3800 664,310	Federal = 0.8500	State + 0.5300	
	TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:	664,310	7		
	TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	664,310			
IV	TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum	664,310	Ī		
. v .	The Street Meed of their of tax of call request and tax of call troject inaximali	33 1/0 10			

PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY
National Church Residences worked with the Archite (Foley Design) and a General Contractor in reviewing the scope of work and proposed Hard Cost budget.		

PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-001 - National Church Residences at Ash Branch - Pembroke - Bryan, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification		
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS				
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Total Cost - Total Basis -				
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Total Cost - Total Basis -				
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Total Cost - Total Basis -				

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
Building Envelope and Elevator Review	These services are necessary to ensure proper scope, design, and installation of these building systems.	Engineers providing a 3rd party report to support our proposed structure is up to code and will be built correctly and efficiently. Engineering is a basis eligible expense
Total Cost 18,500 Total Basis 18,500		

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section NameSection's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification		
START-UP AND RESERVES				
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Total Cost - Total Basis -				
OTHER COSTS				
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Total Cost - Total Basis -				

PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

DCA Utility Region for project: South

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

I. UTILITY ALLOWANCE SCHEDULE #1		Source of Utility Allowances							
			Date of Utility Allowances				Structure		
		Paid By (c	heck one)		Tenant-l	Paid Utility A	Allowances by	Unit Size (t	t Bdrms)
Utility	Fuel	Tenant	Owner		Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat	Electric		Х						
Cooking	Electric		Х						
Hot Water	Electric		Х						
Air Conditioning	Electric		Х						
Range/Microwave	Electric		Х						
Refrigerator	Electric		Х						
Other Electric	Electric		Х						
Water & Sewer	Submetered*? <select></select>		Х						
Refuse Collection			Х						
Total Utility Allowa	nnce by Unit Size			•	0	0	0	0	0
II. UTILITY ALLOWAI	NCE SCHEDULE #2	Source of L	Itility Allowar	nces					
• , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	102 001125022 112		ty Allowance				Structure		
			•	73					
		Paid By (check one)		Tenant-Paid Utility Allowances by Unit Size (# Bdrms)					
Utility	Fuel	Tenant	Owner		Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat	< <select fuel="">></select>								
Cooking	< <select fuel="">></select>								
Hot Water	< <select fuel="">></select>								
Air Conditioning	Electric								
Range/Microwave	Electric								
D. C	□ a a turi a								
Refrigerator	Electric								
Other Electric	Electric								
Other Electric	Electric								
Other Electric Water & Sewer	Electric Submetered*? <select></select>				0	0	0	0	0
Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa	Electric Submetered*? <select> ance by Unit Size</select>				0	0	0	0	0
Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units	Electric Submetered*? <select> ance by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered.</select>	ue .			0	0	0	0	0
Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units APPLICANT COMM	Electric Submetered*? <select> nnce by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered. MENTS AND CLARIFICATION</select>		no Htility Allo	uanaa in ira	·	0	0	0	0
Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units APPLICANT COMM	Electric Submetered*? <select> ance by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered.</select>		no Utility Allov	wance is inc	·	0	0	0	0
Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units APPLICANT COMM Owner will cover all utility	Electric Submetered*? <select> nnce by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered. MENTS AND CLARIFICATION</select>		no Utility Allov	wance is inc	·	0	0	0	0
Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units APPLICANT COMM	Electric Submetered*? <select> nnce by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered. MENTS AND CLARIFICATION</select>		no Utility Allov	wance is inc	·	0	0	0	0

2017 Funding Application

PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

IOME proje	ects - Fix	ed or F	loating ι	units:			Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS	SA:	AMI	Certifie
re 100% o	f units H	UD PBR	A?	No	Max	Pro-posed	Allowance	Provider or			Savannah		63,500	Historio
					Gross	rio-poseu	(UA Sched 1 UA, so							Deeme
Rent	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Rent	Gross	over-write if UA	Subsidy ***	Monthly	Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historio
Type	Bdrms	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QA
50% AMI	1	1.0	9	698	357	357	0		357	3,213	No	2-Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	1.0	41	698	714	714	0		714	29,274	No	2-Story	New Construction	No
50% AMI	2	1.0	3	924	438	429	0		429	1,287	No	2-Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	1.0	7	924	858	858	0		858	6,006	No	2-Story	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>									0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<<0e1e0i>>		TOTAL	60	44,140			U		THLY TOTAL	39,780				

^{***} NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

II. UNIT SUMMARY

Units:			
NOTE TO APPLICANTS : If the numbers compiled in this Summary	Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total		60% AMI 50% AMI Total
do not appear to match what was entered	PBRA-Assisted (included in LI above) PHA Operating S		60% AMI 50% AMI Total
in the Rent Chart above, please verify	Assisted (included in LI above)	•	50% AMI Total
that all applicable columns were completed in the rows	Type of Construction Activity	New Construction Acq/Rehab Substantial Rehab	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc
used in the Rent Chart above.		Only Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic	Unrestricted Total + CS
	Building Type: (for <i>Utility</i> <i>Allowance</i> and other purposes)	Multifamily	1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story Historic
		SF Detached Townhome	Historic
		Duplex	Historic Historic
		Manufactured home	Historic

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	41	7	0	0	48	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	9	3	0	0	12	units)
0	50	10	0	0	60	a.i.io)
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	50	10	0	0	60	
0	0	0	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	50	10	0	0	60	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	J
0	0	0	0	0	0]
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	50	10	0	0	60]
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	50	10	0	0	60	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	
0	0	0	0	0	0]
0	50	10	0	0	60	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	50	10	0	0	60	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0 0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
ő	0	0	0	0	0	

Georgia Department of	Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		Н	ousing Finance	and Development D	ivision
Building Type: (for Cost Limi t	Detached / SemiDet	ached	Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	
purposes)	Row House		I lie te vie		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Walkup		Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Elevator		Historic		0	0 50	0 10	0	0	0 60	
	Elevator		Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Square Footage:			COO/ AMI		0	00.040	0.400	0	0	25.000	
Low Income			60% AMI 50% AMI		0	28,618 6,282	6,468 2,772	0 0	0	35,086 9,054	
			Total		0	34,900	9,240	0	0	44,140	
Unrestricted Total Residenti	al				0	0 34,900	9,240	0	0	0 44,140	
Common Spac					0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	NOOME (comment				0	34,900	9,240	0	0	44,140	
I. ANCILLARY AND OTHER Ancillary Income	INCOME (annual al	mounts)		9,547		Laundry vend	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual not	t of PGI:	2.00%	
Other Income (OI) by Year:				5,041		Lauriary, von	uiiig, app icco, c	no. Autual po	01101.	2.0070	
Included in Mgt Fee:		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Operating Subsidy Other:											
Total OI in Mgt F NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	ee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property Tax Abatement											
Other: Total OI NOT in I	Agt Egg		_			_	_			-	
Included in Mgt Fee:	vigt ree	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Operating Subsidy											
Other: Total OI in Mgt F	ee	-	-	_		-	-	_	_	_	
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:											
Property Tax Abatement Other:											
Total OI NOT in I	Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee:		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Operating Subsidy Other:											
Total OI in Mgt F NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	ee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property Tax Abatement											
Other: Total OI NOT in I	Mat Foo										
Included in Mgt Fee:	vigi ree	31	32	33	34	- <u> </u> 35	-	-	-	-	
Operating Subsidy		J.	7 _		- 1						
Other:	ee	-	-	-	_	-					
Intal () I in Mat E					-	-					
Total OI in Mgt F NOT Included in Mgt Fee:											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other:											

IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

Subtotal

Subtotal

On-Site Staff Costs	
Management Salaries & Benefits	43,022
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	30,808
Support Services Salaries & Benefits	45,000
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	118,830

Subtotal	118,830
On-Site Office Costs	
Office Supplies & Postage	3,348
Telephone	6,776
Travel	6,354
Leased Furniture / Equipment	
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	964
Bookkeeping & DP	9,537

Maintenance Expenses	
Contracted Repairs	3,999
General Repairs	3,634
Grounds Maintenance	8,000
Extermination	2,676
Maintenance Supplies	4,968
Elevator Maintenance	4,532
Redecorating	2,705
Uniforms	371

On-Site Security	
Contracted Guard	

Contracted Guard	
Electronic Alarm System	4,464
Subtotal	4,464

Professional Services	
Legal	1,030
Accounting	3,940
Advertising	4,799
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	9,769

Utilities	(Avg\$/mth/unit)	1
Electricity	82	58,798
Natural Gas	0	
Water&Swr	22	15,632
Trash Collec	tion	4,362
Other (describe	here)	
	Subtotal	78,792

VI.

Taxes and Insurance

	45 747
Other (describe here)	
Insurance**	19,617
Real Estate Taxes (Gross)*	26,100

Manao	ement	Fee:	
mana 9	CITICITE		

31,698

568.06 Average per unit per year 47.34 Average per unit per month

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 347,134

Average per unit 5,785.57

Total OE Required

210,000

Replacemen	15,000					
Proposed averag	250					
Minimum Replacement Reserve Calculation						
Unit Type	Units x RR Min	Total by Type				

Unit Type		Units x RR Min	Total by Type		
Multifamily					
Rehab		0 units $x $350 =$	0		
New Constr		60 units x \$250 =	15,000		
SF or Duplex		0 units x \$420 =	0		
SF or Duplex Historic Rhb		0 units x \$420 =	0		
	Totals	60	15,000		

TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES

000	4	
362	. 1	

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Real Estate Taxes are calcuated per Bryan County valuation. The cap rate, expense load and millage rate are per Bryan County. The calculation for the annual Real Estate Taxes is provided in Tab 1.

26,979

30,885

Insurance is based on the annual premium provided by the insurance agent.

PART	SEVEN - OPE	RATING PRO	FORMA - 201	17-001 Nationa	al Church Res	idences at Ash	Branch, Pem	broke, Bryan	County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
Revenue Growth		Asset Manager		unt (include total	7,500	Yr 1 Asset	Mgt Fee Perce	ntage of EGI:	-1.66%	
•	3.00% 3.00%		charged by all lend	•	e (choose one)		Vr 1 Prop M	Mgt Fee Percer	otage of EGI:	7.00%
Vacancy & Collection Loss				owth Rate (3.0			•	cate Yr 1 Mgt F	•	7.0070
	2.00%		•	Effective Gross	,	Yes		cate actual per		7.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	477,360	486,907	496,645	506,578	516,710	527,044	537,585	548,337	559,303	570,489
Ancillary Income	9,547	9,738	9,933	10,132	10,334	10,541	10,752	10,967	11,186	11,410
Vacancy	(34,084)	(34,765)	(35,460)	(36,170)	(36,893)	(37,631)	(38,384)	(39,151)	(39,934)	(40,733)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(315,436)	(324,899)	(334,646)	(344,685)	(355,026)	(365,677)	(376,647)	(387,946)	(399,585)	(411,572)
Property Mgmt	(31,698)	(32,332)	(32,978)	(33,638)	(34,311)	(34,997)	(35,697)	(36,411)	(37,139)	(37,882)
Reserves	(15,000)	(15,450)	(15,914)	(16,391)	(16,883)	(17,389)	(17,911)	(18,448)	(19,002)	(19,572)
NOI	90,690	89,199	87,580	85,826	83,931	81,891	79,698	77,346	74,830	72,140
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	83,190	81,699	80,080	78,326	76,431	74,391	72,198	69,846	67,330	64,640
DCR Mortgage A										
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR										
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.25	1.24	1.23	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.19	1.17	1.16	1.15
Mortgage A Balance										
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

PART	SEVEN - OPE	RATING PRO	FORMA - 20	17-001 Nationa	al Church Res	idences at Ash	Branch, Pem	nbroke, Bryan	County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
	2.00% 3.00%		Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 7,500 charged by all lenders/investors)				Yr 1 Asset	-1.66%		
Reserves Growth	3.00%			ee Growth Rat		:		Mgt Fee Percer		7.00%
Vacancy & Collection Loss Ancillary Income Limit	7.00% 2.00%		•	owth Rate (3.0 Effective Gross	,	Yes		icate Yr 1 Mgt f icate actual per		7.000%
Anomary moonic Emil	2.0070		i ercent or i	-IIEGUVE GIOSS	income	163	> II 163, IIIu	icate actual per	centage.	7.00070
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	581,899	593,537	605,408	617,516	629,866	642,464	655,313	668,419	681,788	695,423
Ancillary Income	11,638	11,871	12,108	12,350	12,597	12,849	13,106	13,368	13,636	13,908
Vacancy	(41,548)	(42,379)	(43,226)	(44,091)	(44,972)	(45,872)	(46,789)	(47,725)	(48,680)	(49,653)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(423,920)	(436,637)	(449,736)	(463,228)	(477,125)	(491,439)	(506,182)	(521,368)	(537,009)	(553,119)
Property Mgmt	(38,639)	(39,412)	(40,200)	(41,004)	(41,824)	(42,661)	(43,514)	(44,384)	(45,272)	(46,178)
Reserves	(20,159)	(20,764)	(21,386)	(22,028)	(22,689)	(23,370)	(24,071)	(24,793)	(25,536)	(26,303)
NOI	69,272	66,217	62,967	59,515	55,853	51,972	47,863	43,518	38,927	34,079
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	61,772	58,717	55,467	52,015	48,353	44,472	40,363	36,018	31,427	26,579
DCR Mortgage A										
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR										
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.14	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.10	1.09	1.08	1.07	1.06	1.05
Mortgage A Balance			_							
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County										
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
	2.00% 3.00%		Asset Manager	ment Fee Amou	unt (include total	7,500	Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.66%			
Reserves Growth	3.00%		Property Mgt F	ee Growth Rate		:	Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:			7.00%
Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00%			Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)				> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:			
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of Effective Gross Income			Yes	<u>res</u> > If Yes, indicate actual percentage:			7.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	709,332	723,518	737,989	752,749	767,804	783,160	798,823	814,799	831,095	847,717
Ancillary Income	14,187	14,470	14,760	15,055	15,356	15,663	15,976	16,296	16,622	16,954
Vacancy	(50,646)	(51,659)	(52,692)	(53,746)	(54,821)	(55,918)	(57,036)	(58,177)	(59,340)	(60,527)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(569,713)	(586,804)	(604,408)	(622,540)	(641,216)	(660,453)	(680,267)	(700,675)	(721,695)	(743,346)
Property Mgmt	(47,101)	(48,043)	(49,004)	(49,984)	(50,984)	(52,003)	(53,043)	(54,104)	(55,186)	(56,290)
Reserves	(27,092)	(27,904)	(28,742)	(29,604)	(30,492)	(31,407)	(32,349)	(33,319)	(34,319)	(35,348)
NOI	28,967	23,578	17,903	11,929	5,646	(957)	(7,895)	(15,179)	(22,823)	(30,840)
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	21,467	16,078	10,403	4,429	(1,854)	(8,457)	(15,395)	(22,679)	(30,323)	(38,340)
DCR Mortgage A										
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR										
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.04	1.04	1.03	1.02	1.01	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.97	0.96
Mortgage A Balance										
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.

Revenue Growth 2.00% Asset Management Fee Amount (include total Expense Growth 3.00% charged by all lenders/investors)

7,500 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:

-1.66%

Expense Growth 3.00% charged by Reserves Growth 3.00% Property Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00% Expense Growth Services Tools T

2.00%

Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):
Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)
Percent of Effective Gross Income

Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:
--> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:
--> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:

Yes

7.00%

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Ancillary Income Limit

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	864,672	881,965	899,604	917,596	935,948
Ancillary Income	17,293	17,639	17,992	18,352	18,719
Vacancy	(61,738)	(62,972)	(64,232)	(65,516)	(66,827)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(765,646)	(788,615)	(812,274)	(836,642)	(861,741)
Property Mgmt	(57,416)	(58,564)	(59,736)	(60,930)	(62,149)
Reserves	(36,409)	(37,501)	(38,626)	(39,785)	(40,979)
NOI	(39,243)	(48,049)	(57,271)	(66,925)	(77,028)
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	(46,743)	(55,549)	(64,771)	(74,425)	(84,528)
DCR Mortgage A					
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR					
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.92
Mortgage A Balance					
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO	O FORMA - 2017-001 National Church Res	idences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Revenue Growth 2.00% Expense Growth 3.00% Reserves Growth 3.00% Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00% Ancillary Income Limit 2.00% II. OPERATING PRO FORMA	Please Note: Green-shaded cells Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors) Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one) Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income	> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: 7.000%
III. Applicant Comments & Clarifications There is no debt service.		IV. DCA Comments
THOIC IS NO WORL SCIVICE.		

Applicant Response DCA USE

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	
.)		
.)		
.)		
.)		
.)		
.)		
.))		
0.)		
1.)		
3.) 4.)		
5.) 6.)		
7.)		
9.) 0.)		
PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORMA	ANCE WITH PLAN Pass?	
Threshold Justification per Applicant National Church Residences has experience managing an array of project types including hexperiencing homelesness, assisted living communities, and continuing care communities, new construction development, such as National Church Residences at Ash Branch. The new construction of National Church Residences at Ash Branch will be funded from feet per unit or a total of 664,310. Federal LIHTC represents only 61.6% of total sources and is	With this experience we are able to analyze our existing portfilio as we prepare, designment of the state tax credit equity. National Church Residences at Ash Branch assumes	n and budget for a proposed 11,071 in federal tax credits
DCA's Comments:		
DONG COMMUNIC.		

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

								Applicant Respo	nse DCA USE		
EINIAI	TUDEQUAL F) DETEDI	MINATION (DCA Use C)nlv)		oring section reviews pertain only to th		ing round and have			
		DETERN	MINATION (DCA USE C	Jiliy)	no effect or	n subsequent or future funding round so	oring decisions.	B 0			
	T LIMITS			7		D. I. T. 101 1		Pass?			
NOTE: Unit counts are linked to Rent Chart in Part VI Revenues & Expenses Tab. Cost Limit Per Unit totals by unit type are auto-calculated.					Historic Rehab or Transit-Oriented Devlpmt qualifying for Historic Preservation or TOD pt(s)		Is this Criterior	n mot?			
Ехропосо тар.			/icquisition//terlabilitation	<u> </u>	. , ,	<u> </u>	,	12 IIII2 CHIGHOL	n met? Yes		
	Unit Type	Nbr U	***************************************	, ,,	_	Unit Cost Limit tota	, ,,				
Detached/Se	,		0 128,669 x 0 units =	0	0	141,535 x 0 units =	0	MS <i>F</i>	A for Cost Limit		
mi-Detached			0 168,462 x 0 units =	0	0	185,308 x 0 units =	0		purposes:		
	2 BR		0 204,394 x 0 units =	0	0	224,833 x 0 units =	0		F F		
	3 BR		0 250,016 x 0 units =	0	0	275,017 x 0 units =	0		Savannah		
	4 BR		$\frac{0}{0}$ 294,230 x 0 units =	0		$323,653 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0				
	Subotal		•	Ū	O .		-	Tot De	evelopment Costs:		
Row House	Efficiency		0 120,734 x 0 units =	0	0	132,807 x 0 units =	0	9	,167,578		
	1 BR		0 158,379 x 0 units =	0	0	174,216 x 0 units =	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	2 BR		0 192,727 x 0 units =	0	0	211,999 x 0 units =	0	Cost	Waiver Amount:		
	3 BR		0 237,087 x 0 units =	0	0	260,795 x 0 units =	0				
	4 BR		$\frac{0}{0}$ 281,584 x 0 units =	0	$- \frac{0}{0}$	309,742 x 0 units =	0	I linta vi	in Dranamintina Dta		
	Subotal		•	0	-		-	HISTORI	ic Preservation Pts		
Walkup	Efficiency		0 100,204 x 0 units =	0	0	110,224 x 0 units =	0		0		
	1 BR		0 138,379 x 0 units =	0	0	152,216 x 0 units =	0	Commu	unity Transp Opt Pts		
	2 BR		0 175,464 x 0 units =	0	0	193,010 x 0 units =	0		2		
	3 BR		0 229,044 x 0 units =	0	0	251,948 x 0 units =	0				
	4 BR		$\frac{0}{0}$ 285,392 x 0 units =	0		$313,931 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	Pro	oject Cost		
Flavoton	Subotal		•	0	0	444 504 0	0		mit (PCL)		
Elevator	Efficiency 1 BR		0 104,177 x 0 units =	7 202 400	0	114,594 x 0 units =	0		` '		
	2 BR		50 145,848 x 50 units = 0 187.519 x 10 units =	7,292,400 1,875,190	0	160,432 x 0 units =	0	9,	,167,590		
	3 BR		0 250,025 x 0 units =	1,073,190	0	206,270 x 0 units = 275,027 x 0 units =	0				
	4 BR		0 250,025 x 0 units = 0 312,532 x 0 units =	0	0	343,785 x 0 units =	0		PUCL Waiver has been		
	Subotal		312,332 x 0 units =	9,167,590	$ \frac{0}{0}$	343,765 X 0 utilis =	0		ed by DCA, that amount		
T-1-1 D 1					= =		0		supercede the amounts shown at left.		
	Construction Type hold Justification pe		60	9,167,590	U DCA's Com	monto	U		SHOWIT at left.		
	Development Cost fa		roject Cost Limit		DCA'S COIII	ments.					
				as.	HFOP			Pass?			
3 TENANCY CHARACTERISTICS This project is designated Threshold Justification per Applicant			40.	DCA's Com	ments:		1 455.				
	sed development wi		ts 55+.		20/10 00///	monto.					
A. Applicant certifies that they will designate the specific services and meet the additional policies related to services. Does Applicant agree? Agree											
	B. Specify at least 2 basic ongoing services from at least 2 categories below for Family projects, or at least 4 basic ongoing services from at least 3 categories below for Senior projects:										
	1) Social & recreational programs planned & overseen by project mgr Specify: Semi-monthly recreational programs (potlucks, movie nights, etc.)										
	n-site enrichment cla		ica a overseen by project mgr	Specify:	gardening class and arts		ovic ingino, co	<i>y.</i> ,			
,						nronic Health Education Classes					
,	ther services approv			Specify:	Trockly Omorno rieditir t	-uudulion oludded					
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	existing congregate supportive hou		ments:						
			ontinuum of care or service provid		<u> </u>						
	hold Justification pe				DCA's Com	ments:					

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

FART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at ASII Branch, Fembroke, Bryan County							
	Applicant Response DCA USE						
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)	Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.						
A Hand to Hold is committed to providing weekly classes primarily focusing on chronic disease self-management and healthy living classes. An MOU detailing these services is located in Tab 40. Gardening classes will be centered around the on-site equipped garden area.							
Gardening classes will be centered around the on-site equipped garden area.							

		A	Applicant Re	esponse	DCA USE
	FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews portion on effect on subsequent or future		round and have Pass?		
		II Group			
		ven to ten months			
	C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate	.60%			
	D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units	.30%			
	E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and project name	ne in each case.			
	Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project Nbr P	roject Name		
	1 2014-04 Sawmill Landing 3	5			
	2 4	6			
	F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?		F.	Yes	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant				
on p	The market study confirms strong demand for senior housing in Pembroke, GA. The overall market occupancy rate is confirmed on page in page 14 of the report. O14-004 Sawmill Landing is a workforce housing development and will not compete with National Church Residences at Ash Branch, where the page is a superscript of the report.	·	·	e rate is als	o maicateu
	DCA's Comments:				
6	6 APPRAISALS		Pass?		
6				No	
6	A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		A	No	
6	A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?			No No	
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 		A B.		
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 		A. B.		
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 		A. B.		
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3)		
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4)		
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unendered. 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C.		
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unenvalue of the property? 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4)	No	
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unenvalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C.	No No Yes	
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unenvalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1) 2)	No	
6	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unenvalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 3) Modified? 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1)	No No Yes	
	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unenvalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 3) Modified? Threshold Justification per Applicant 	cumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1) 2)	No No Yes No	
The	 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unenvalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 3) Modified? 		A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1) 2) 3)	No No Yes No No	with all
The	A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unenvalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 3) Modified? Threshold Justification per Applicant There is no identity of interest between the buyer and seller, therefore no appraisal is required or included.		A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1) 2) 3)	No No Yes No No	with all

					Applicant	Response	DCA USE
EI	NAI THRESHOLD D	ETERMINATION (DC	V 1160 (VRIV)		nly to the corresponding funding round and have		
		•	A USE Offig)	ffect on subsequent or future funding	round scoring decisions. Pass?		
1	ENVIRONMENTAL RE	QUIREMENTS			Pass?		
	A. Name of Company that pr	epared the Phase I Assessment i	n accordance with ASTM 1527-13:	A. Geotec	hnical & Environmental Consulta	ints, Inc.	
	B. Is a Phase II Environment	al Report included?			B.	No	
	C. Was a Noise Assessment	: performed?		_	C.	Yes	
	1) If "Yes", name of com	pany that prepared the noise ass	essment?	1) Geotec	hnical & Environmental Consulta	ints, Inc.	
	If "Yes", provide the m	naximum noise level on site in de	cibels over the 10 year projection:		2)	41.6	
		contributing factors in decreasing	order of magnitude?				
	railway approx. 2000 f	t south of the site					
	D. Is the subject property location	ated in a:			D.		
	 Brownfield? 				1)		
	2) 100 year flood plain / f				2)	No	
	If "Yes":	 a) Percentage of site that is wi 			a)		
		b) Will any development occur	•		b)		
		c) Is documentation provided a	s per Threshold criteria?		c)		
	3) Wetlands?				3)	-	
	If "Yes":	a) Enter the percentage of the			a)		
		b) Will any development occur			b)		
		c) Is documentation provided a	as per Threshold criteria?		c)		
	,	/Buffers and Setbacks area?			4)	No	
		ofessional identified any of the fo	, , , ,	N.	0) M-140	N -	
	Lead-based paint?	No	5) Endangered species?	No	9) Mold?	No	
	2) Noise?	No	6) Historic designation?	No	10) PCB's?	Yes	
	3) Water leaks?	No	7) Vapor intrusion?	No	11) Radon?	No	
	4) Lead in water?	No l	8) Asbestos-containing materials?	Yes			
	12) Other (e.g., Native Am	erican burial grounds, etc.) - desc	cribe in box below:				
	E la all additional agricultura	antal dan manatation as a size of face	LIOME application included and are				
		•	HOME application included, such as:		4)	No	
		r Wetlands and/or Floodplains red			1)		
		pleted the HOME and HUD Envir	onmental Questionnaire <i>?</i> ny activities that could have an adverse effect or	the cubiect property?	2)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	previously granted, has the HUD		i the subject property?	3) G.	N/A	
Dro		. , , ,	te and Neighborhood Standards:		0.	N/A	
110		•	ose either <i>Minority concentration</i> (50% or more i	minority) Racially	H. < <select>></select>	< <sel< td=""><td>ect>></td></sel<>	ect>>
		ty), or <i>Non-minority</i> (less than 25		milonty), raolany	11.	1100.	00127
	I. List all contiguous Census	· ` ` ` .			l		
	J. Is Contract Addendum inc				J.		
	Threshold Justification per Ap	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			-		
GE			appeared to be in good condition with no visible	e signs of leakage beneath	. No other suspected PCB-containi	ing equipment	was
obs	served on-site.						
	and and the HOME and HUB (and the section is	l de net emply				
ine	ere are no hoivie of hod funds	proposed and therefore items F	- Ј ио пот арріу.				

no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding	ng round and have		

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

DCA's Comments:

	· · ·			
	<u>Ap</u>	plicant l	Response	DCA USE
F	INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round scoring decisions.	ind and have		
		Pass?		
8	SITE CONTROL			
	A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 12/31/17 B. Form of site control: B. Contract/Option	A.	Yes	
	·		< <select>></select>	
	C. Name of Entity with site control: D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?		N.	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant	D.	No	
Δ	copy of the purchase option has been included in Tab 8, which also provides for an assignment to the General Partner or proposed Limited Partner.			
Α (DCA's Comments:			
	25.10 00.11.10.11.0.			
_	SITE ACCESS	Pass?		
9				
	A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?	A.	Yes	
	B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for	В.		
	funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?	J.		
	C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the	C.		
	development budget provided in the core application?			
	D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and	D.		
	are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?			
	Threshold Justification per Applicant e conceptual site plan is included in Tab 9 and confirms the property is legally accessible via Ash Branch Road and Lewis Street. Site area photographs are included			
	ms B - D are not applicable.			
	DCA's Comments:			
10) SITE ZONING	Pass?		
•	A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	A.	Yes	
	B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	В.	Yes	
	C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?	C.	Yes	
	If "Yes": 1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application?	1)	Yes	
	2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property?	2)	Yes	
	3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the	3)	Yes	
	zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	ĺ		
	4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?	4)	Yes	
	5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland?	5)	N/Ap	
	D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?	D.	Yes	
	E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	E.	Yes	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Zo	ning for the existing and planned use of this site conforms to City requirements and is noted in Tab 10.			

DCA's Comments:

Georgia Department of Community Affairs

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA -	2017-001 No	ational Citui	cii itesi	delices at Ash Branch	, rembioi	ke, bi yali co	unity	
						Applicant I	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use	e Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA T		Scoring section reviews pertain only to the on subsequent or future funding round sc		inding round and have		
11 OPERATING UTILITIES	3,		no chect (on subsequent of future furturing round se	oring decisions.	Pass?		
A. Check applicable utilities and enter provider name:	1) Gas	Г	N/A			1)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	2) Electric		Georgia P	ower		2)	Yes	
There is no gas service at the property. The GA Power availability letter is in	cluded in Tab 11	l				,		
DCA's Comments:								
12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEWER						Pass?		
A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this app	lication for this c	riterion as it perf	ains to sin	gle-family detached Rural pro	jects?	A1)	No	
If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineering rep	ort confirming the	e availability of v	vater and t	the percolation of the soil?		2)		
B. Check all that are available to the site and enter provider	 Public wate 		City of Per			B1)	Yes	
name:	Public sewe	er	City of Per	mbroke		2)	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant								
No waiver is required for Question A1. The Water and Sewer availability lett	er is included in	Tab 12.						
DCA's Comments:								
13 REQUIRED AMENITIES						Pass?		
Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application for the	his criterion?						No	
A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Amenia		nce with DCA Am		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	egory):	A.	Agree	
Community area (select either community room or community but	0,		,	Room				
2) Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided at right	nt):		,	Gazebo		If "Other", explain he	re	
3) On site laundry type:			,	On-site laundry				
B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Site Ar						В.	Agree	1.0
The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total unit of		•			h a h ala\			I Amenities
Additional Amenities (describe in space provided below) 1) Wellness Suite	Guidebook ivie	t? DCA Pre-approve	a? 3)	Additional Amenities (descri	be below)		Juidebook iviet?	DCA Pre-appro
2) Equipped Garden Area			3) 4)					1
C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenities:			7)			C.	Agree	
Typindam agrees to provide the following required offic vinternates. 1) HVAC systems						1)	Yes	
Energy Star refrigerators						2)	Yes	
Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USDA or HUD)	properties)					3)	Yes	
4) Stoves	p p					4)	Yes	
5) Microwave ovens						5)	Yes	
6) a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed at	ove the range co	ook top, OR				6a)	Yes	
b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top burn	ers					6b)	No	
D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applicant ag	rees to provide th	ne following addi	tional requ	uired Amenities:		D.	Agree	
1) Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground fl	oor.					1)	Yes	
2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furnishe	d gathering area	s in several loca	tions in the	e lobbies and/or corridors		2)	Yes	
3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defined by	y the Fair Housin	g Amendments	Act of 1988	8		3a)	Yes	
b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted?						3b)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant								
The proposed development will comply with all DCA required amenities and	additional require	ed amenities for	Senior pro	ojects.				
DCA's Comments:								

	Ар	plicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use (Dnly) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding ro no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	und and have		
14 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO		Pass?		
A. Type of rehab (choose one):	A. < <select>></select>		< <select>></select>	
B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):	В.			
Name of consultant preparing PNA:				
Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?	ildina Analysta	0		
C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:	liding Analyst?	C.		
	ab,and clearly indicates percentages of each item to be either "demoed" or replaced	D.		
DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	1)		
addresses:	2. All application threshold and scoring requirements	2)		
	3. All applicable architectural and accessibility standards.	3)		
	4. All remediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.	4)		
set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and rec	ject must meet state and local building codes, DCA architectural requirements as juirements. Applicant agrees?	E.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable				
DCA's Comments:				
DOA'S COMMENTS.				
5 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELOR	PMENT PLAN	Pass?		
A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has it Manual?	been prepared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural	A.	Yes	
Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected	in this application indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan?		Yes	
B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (si	te geo coordinates) & shows entire municipality area (city limits, etc.)?	В.	Yes	
C. Ground level color photos of proposed property & adjacent surrounding	properties & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes	
Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?			Yes	
D. Aerial color photos are current, have high enough resolution to clearly in Threshold Justification per Applicant	dentify existing property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes	
	rdinates are shown on the Location/Vicinity map and the Aerial photos were taken May	0. 2017 ar	nd delineate pi	roperty
oundry. The Ground level color photos of the proposed property and adjacant		,		
DCA's Comments:				
6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY		Pass?		
completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction	A.	Agree	
B. Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly inc meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Man	ficate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that ual?	B.	Agree	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		•		
he project will comply with EnergyStar V3 and High Performance Building Des	ign. Documentation supporting these programs is included in Tab 29.			
DCA's Comments:				1

				Ар	plicant R	Response	DCA USE
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use	Only)		section reviews pertain only to the corre		und and have		
7 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	•	6 6 6 6	oquoni on raitare rainanig realita econing i		Pass?		
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable F Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Sect Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (Wh apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.	tion 504 of the Rehabilitation two or more accessibility	on Act of 1973, Ge	orgia Fair Housing Law an	d Georgia	A1).	Yes	
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 access construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 20 federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes This means that all projects, including those financed with tax ex projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the project.	O17 Qualified Allocation Place a higher standard of acc e cempt bonds which receive	an, regardless of wessibility than what an allocation of 4%	whether or not the project we to may be required under fector tax credits and 9% tax cr	rill receive leral laws. edits -only	2)	Yes	
Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statut support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tal. Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility require.	bs Checklist.		•	w? If so,	3)	No	
 Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility require a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than 	ements detailed in the 2016	Nbr of Units	Minimum Rec	uired:	4)	Yes	
one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled,		Equipped:		rcentage			
including wheelchair restricted residents?	1) a. Mobility Impaired	3	3	5%	B1)a.	Yes	
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	1) b. Roll-In Showers	2	2	40%	b.	Yes	
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2) Sight / Hearing Impair	ed 2	2	2%	2)	Yes	
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project		who will not be a m	nember of the proposed Proj	ect Team	C.	Yes	
The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following:	Name of Accessibility Co		ert & Associates		=		•
 A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution appear to meet all accessibility requirements. 	on documents submitted to	DCA. At a minim	num, the report will include	the initial	C1).	Yes	
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcont			_		2).	Yes	
 An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the 					3).	Yes	
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.					4).	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant							
he proposed development will comply with all accessibility requirements.							

DCA's Comments:

	Ар	plicant R	Response	DCA USE
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	TION (DCA Use Only) <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding ro	und and have		
8 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUALI		Pass?		
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA inc		1 433 .	Voc	
• •	ndards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?		Yes Yes	
• •	tion Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by this p	roject?	162	
	for funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures,	A.	Yes	
• •	uildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.	7.	165	
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects	99	В.		
Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
T) Exterior Wall Filliones (select one)	Exceller wall ladde will have all excellent of steller of steller wall earliest	'/	103	
 Major Bldg Component Materials & Upgrades (select one) 	Fiber cement siding or other 30 year warranty product installed on all exterior wall surfaces not already required to be brick	2)	Yes	
C. Additional Design Options - not listed above	/e, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and	_	,	-
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, an		C.		
1)		1)		
2)		2)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application. A copy of the DCA approved Architectural Waiver is included in Tab 18.			
DCA's Comments:				<u> </u>
QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT T	EAM (PERFORMANCE)	Pass?		
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience	e requirement in 2016?	A.	No	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pro	oject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	В.	Yes	
C. Has there been any change in the Project Te	eam since the initial pre-application submission?	C.	No	
D. Did the project team request a waiver or wai	ver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?	D.	No	
E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Project	ct's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):	rtifying GP.	/Developer	
F. DCA Final Determination	F. <mark><<</mark>	Select Des	signation >>	>
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
copy of the DCA Qualification Determination is incl	uded in Tab 19.			
DCA's Comments:				
COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY		Pass?		
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this Det	ermination at the Pre-Application Stage?	A.	Yes	
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the st	atus of any project included in the CHS form?	В.	No	
, ,	t team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for	C.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
ere has been no change in the Compliance History	y Summary since Pre-application.			
DCA's Comments:				

	Applicant R	esponse	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding furning from the properties of the prope	nding round and have		
21 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
A. Name of Qualified non-profit: A. National Church Residences	l e		
B. Non-profit's Website: B. www.nationalchurchresidences.org			
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?	C.	Yes	
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.	Yes	
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.	Yes	
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during the period such corporation is in existence?	F.	Yes	
 G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest? 1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity? 	G.	Yes	
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount included in the application?	I H.	Yes	
Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued.	I.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant National Church Residences is the sole member of the managing General Partner. Documents to this effect are included in Tab 21 and Tab 34, including an attorn			
DCA's Comments:			
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP:			
B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?	B.		
C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	C.		
D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant DCA HOME Consent amount: 0	D.		
This section is not applicable			
DCA's Comments:			
23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	Pass?		
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	A.	No	
B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	B.	No	
C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	C.	Yes	
D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]	D.	No	
E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
The non-profit required legal opinion is included in tab 21.			
DCA's Comments:	<u> </u>		

	Applicant F	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ng round and have		
4 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS	Pass?		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	Α.	No	
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	B1)		
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	. г		
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements?	3)		
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	C.		
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:			
1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies			
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews 3) Written Notifications			
2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
he proposed development is new construciton and there are no current residents. Therefore, this section is not applicable.			
DCA's Comments:			
5 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH)	Pass?		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	_		
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is	A.	Agree	
located?			
B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	B.	Agree	
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	C.	Agree	
D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	D.	Agree	
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	E.	Agree	
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	F.	Agree	
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	G.	Agree	
H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	Н.	Agree	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
pplicant will provide the AFHMP upon the start of lease-up			
DCA's Comments:			
6 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES	Pass?		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
lational Church Residences at Ash Branch assumes 11,071 in federal tax credits per unit or a total of 664,310. Federal LIHTC represents only 61.6% of total source naximum allowed credit allocation of 850,000 per project for the Rural Pool.	es and is signi	ficantly below	the
DCA's Comments:			
DOTO COMMON.			

PART NINE - SCOR	RING CRIT	ERIA - 2017-001 National Church Resi	dences at As	h Branch, Pembroke, Bryan C	ounty		
		icants must include comments in sections where points ar		-	Score	Self	DCA
		n only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect or will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" de		re funding round scoring decisions.	Value		Score
1.6	anure to uo so	will result in a one (1) boilt. Abblication Combleteness. G	eduction.	TOTALS:	92	54	20
1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS		(Applicants start with 10 pts Ap	v noints entered	will be subtracted from score value)	10	10	10
A. Missing or Incomplete Documents	Number:		•			A.	0
Organization	Number:				1 '	Λ.	0
B. Financial and Other Adjustments				(1) pt deducted for each add'l adjustment.		В.	Ö
DCA's Comments:		Enter "1" for each	item listed belov				
A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or	Nbr	7 NOONDIETE D	Nbr	1			Nbr
Application Not Organized Correctly	0	INCOMPLETE Documents:	0 n/a	B. Financial adjustments/rev	isions:		0
I			n/a			,	n/a
2		2		2			
3		3	included in	3		includ	ded in 2
			2				
4		4		4		includ	ded in 2
5		5	included in	5			
			4				
4		4		4			
D		0		O			
7		7	included in	7			
			6				
8		8		8			
9		9	included in	9			
10		10	8	10			
10		10		10			
111		11	included in	11			
			10				
12		12		12			

Seorgia Department of Community Affairs	2017 Fur	nding Application)		Housing Finan	ce and D	evelopm	ent Division
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 201	7-001 National	Church Resid	ences at A	sh Branch, Per	nbroke, Bryan Co	ounty		
REMINDER: Applicants must included by Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding to the corresponding by Failure to do so will result in a one	ponding funding round	and have no effect on s	ubsequent or futi	ure funding round scorin	ng decisions.	Score Value	Se Sco	If DCA re Score
					TOTALS:	92	54	20
2. DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS		Choose A or B.				3	2	0
A. Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions		Total Residential Units	60					
Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or	Per Applicant	Per DCA		Actual Percent	of Residential Units:			
below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:	Nbr of Restricte	ed Residential Units	:	Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	A. 2	0
 15% of total residential units 	0			0.00%	0.00%	1	1. 0	0
or 2. 20% of total residential units	12			20.00%	0.00%	2	2. 2	0
B. Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA Contracts	Nbr of PBRA	Residential Units:				3	B. 0	0
1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs:	: 0			0.00%	0.00%	2	1. 0	0
2. Application receives at least 3 points under Section VII. Sta	ble Communities.	Points awarded i	n Sect VII:	2	0	1	2. 0	0
DCA's Comments:								
3. DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS		See QA	P Scoring for rec	quirements.		13	13	0
Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification for	m included in the a	ppropriate application	on tab, in both	the original Excel	version and signed PD	F?	Yes	
A. Desirable Activities	(1 or 2 pts each - s	see QAP)	Complete this	s section using result	s from completed current	12	A. 12	
B. Bonus Desirable	(1 pt - see QAP)				cation form. Submit this	1	В. 1	
C. Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/Characteristics	(1 pt subtracted ea	ich)	completed		nd signed PDF, where	various	C. 0	
Scoring Justification per Applicant				indicated in Tabs C				
There are multiple desirable activites/characteristics located within a 2-mile walk There are 3 desirable activities/characteristics also noted on the certification form					Indesireable Certificati	ion Form in	cluded in T	ab 26.
DCA's Comments:								
DO 10 COMMONO.								
4. COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS		See s	coring criteria	for further requiren	nents and information	6	2	0
Evaluation Criteria	Competitive	Pool chosen:	Rural	ror rararor roquiron	romo ana miomianon	·	Applica	
All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Pav	•		Nulai				Agree Ye :	
DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site er		•	d Pedestrian	Walkways			res	•
Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance v				anmayo.			Yes	s
Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission.			•	Applicant has subr	nitted documents		Yes	
showing a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and approval from	om ownership entit	y of the land on whi	ch the Walkwa	ay will be built.				
5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to claim points	on the site map s	ubmitted for this sec	tion.				Yes	
6. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public.							Yes	ŝ

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National	Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan Co	unty		
кеміниня: Applicants must include comments in secti	·	Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round		Value		e Score
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application				-
	TOTALS:	92	54	20
Flexible Pool Choose <u>A or B.</u>				
A. Transit-Oriented Development Choose either option 1 or 2 under A.		6	A. 0	0
1. Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategically targeted by agency to	For ALL options under this scoring criterion, <u>regardless</u> of	5	1.	
create housing with on site or adjacent access to public transportation	Competitive Pool chosen, provide the information below for the			
OR 2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub	transit agency/service:	4	2.	
Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy.	Coastal Regional Coaches of Georgia (866) 543-6744	1	3.	
B. Access to Public Transportation Choose only one option in B.		3	B. 0	0
1. Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public transportation stop	http://www.bryancountyga.org/government/departments-h-z/transit	3	1.	
OR 2. Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public transportation stop		2	2.	
OR 3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established public transportation stop	http://www.bryancountyga.org/government/departments-h-z/transit	1	3.	
Rural Pool				
4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established transit service (including on-ca	Ill service onsite or fixed-route service within 1/2 mile of site entrance*)	2	4. 2	
*As measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedestrians and connected by side	,	_		
Scoring Justification per Applicant	,			
Coastal Regional Coaches of Georgia provides on-call transit services Monday through Friday, 7an	n-5pm. The service is available for any destination within the designated co	unties and	can be acc	essed on-
site at the development. Documentation detailing the on-call service is included in Tab 27.				
DCA's Comments:				
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)	See scoring criteria for further requirements and information	2	0	
A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines:		_		
B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or Lin	nitation of Liability Itr		Yes/N	o Yes/No
C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?	industrial Elability in		C.	0 100/110
DCA's Comments:			O	
DCA'S COMMENS.				
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS		3	2	0
Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.	EnergyStar v3			
Competitive Pool chosen:	Rural			
DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of Course 3/3/17	Sarah Branch National Church Residences			
Course - Participation Certificate obtained? Date of Course	< <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">> <<enter 's="" company="" here="" name="" participant="">></enter></enter>			
An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance w	// minimum score required under program selected, is included in application	1?	N/a	
For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?	Date of Audit Date of Report	1		
•		2	A. Yes/N	o Voc/No
A. Sustainable Communities Certification	2	2		o Yes/No
Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen above	!		No	
EarthCraft Communities Pate that ForthCraft Communities Mamazandum of Participation was executed for the decimal patents. Output Description:	valenment where the preject is leasted:	1		
Date that EarthCraft Communities Memorandum of Participation was executed for the de		j		
 Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design for Neighborhood Development (L a) Date of project's Feasibility Study prepared by a nonrelated third party LEED AP: 	.EED-ND V4)	1		
ar pale of project a reasipility olucy prepared by a nonlegated tillupatty leed AP.		1		

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan	County		
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.	Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Value	Score	Score
TOTALS:	92	54	20
b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study: <-Enter LEED AP's Name here>> <-Enter LEED AP 's Company Name here>>			
Commitments for <i>Building</i> Certification:		Yes/No	Yes/No
1. Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are prepared for permit review?		1. Yes	
2. Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability?		2. Yes	
3. Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point requirements of the respective programs?		3. Yes	
B. Sustainable Building Certification Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certification from the program chosen above?	1	B. Yes	
C. Exceptional Sustainable Building Certification	3	C. Yes/No	Yes/No
1. Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstrating that project achieved highest level of certification chosen above?		1. No	
D. High Performance Building Design The proposed building design demonstrates: A warnt area USBS Index that is at least 45% laws than the ENERGY STAR Toront Index?	1	D. 1	0
 A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index? A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be established following the Performance Rating Method outlined in 		1. Yes 2.	
ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily High-Rise Simulation Guidelines.		Yes	
3. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption ≥ 30%, documented by a RESNET-approved HERS Rating software	ire	3. Vaa	
or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be modeled using existing conditions.		Yes	
Scoring Justification per Applicant			
he Proposed development is committed to obtaining Envergy Star V3 and High Performance Building Design. The Energy Star V3 Home Report is included in Tab 29, which gives the Energy Star score. Mod	el and HERS rati	ing.	
DCA's Comments:			
7. STABLE COMMUNITIES (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2016)	7	2	0
A Census Tract Demographics	3	1	
Competitive Pool chosen: Rural		Yes/No	Yes/No
1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/):		Yes	
2. Less than 20% below Poverty level (see Income) Actual Percent 16.46%		•	
3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) Designation: Middle			
4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report			
(www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but <i>IS</i> located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".) C. Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Per DCA	2		<u> </u>
C. Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most recent GDPH data hosted on the DCA "Multi-Family Affordable C2	–	<u> </u>	U
Housing Properties" map:			
D. Mixed-Income Developments in Stable Communities Market units: 0 Total Units: 60 Mkt Pct of Total: 0.00%	2	0	0
DCA's Comments:	<u> </u>		

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke,	Bryan County	
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Score Value	Self DCA Score Score
	ТОТ	ALS: 92	54 20
_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10	0 0
	Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 Scoring Section 7 Stable Communities, regardless of whether the points are requested?		Yes
	If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certification included in the appropriate tab of the application If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed DCA Community Transformation Plan Certificate included in the appropriate tab of the application		
	Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation		
	Revitalization Plan Yes/No Yes/No	Tran: Yes/No	sformation Plan Yes/No
	a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not	1 65/110	165/110
	encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county?		

Project is in a QCT?

9201.02

Eligible Basis Adjustment:

Census Tract Number:

<<Select>>

		PART NINE	- SCORING CRIT	ERIA - 2017	'-001 National (Church Reside	ences at As	h Branch, Pembroke,	Bryan Co	unty			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	A Threshold and Sco	ring section reviews pertain	n only to the correspo	e comments in section onding funding round ar (1) point "Application	nd have no effect on so	ubsequent or future	e funding round scoring decisions.		Score Value	Se Scc		DCA Score
								ТОТ	ALS:	92	54		20
R													
B. Co	mmunity Trans	formation Pla	n							6	B.		
			ing Community Revita	ılization Plan mee	eting DCA standard	s?							
1.	Community-Bas	sed Team								2	1.		
Co	mmunity-Based De	eveloper (CBD)		Select at least t	wo out of the three	options (i, ii and iii) in "a" below, o	or "b").	CBD	1			
	Entity Name					Website	·	,					
	Contact Name			Direct Line		Email					Yes	No Y	res/No
a)								a around the development (pr	oposed or	1	•		
	_	re) in the last two	o years and can docur	nent that these p	artnerships have m		d community o	r resident outcomes.					
	CBO 1 Name	la la conta el conta e con en	orte a call San a communit			Purpose:						er of Si include	upport
	Community/neigi	nborna wnere pa	artnership occurred	Direct Line		Website Email						nciude	u?
	CBO 2 Name			Direct Line		Purpose:					Lott	er of Su	unnort
		hhorhd where na	artnership occurred			Website						nclude	
	Contact Name	niconia unicio pe	aranoromp cocarroa	Direct Line		Email							
ii		years, the CBD h	nas participated or led		ivities benefitting ei		Neighborhood	or 2) a targeted area surrour	nding their		ii.		
			community. Use com										
iii	The CBD has be	en selected as a	result of a community	v-driven initiative	by the Local Gover	nment in a Regues	et for Proposal	or similar public bid process.			iii.		
or b)			ME consent for the pr				st for r roposar	or similar public bid process.			b)		
- /	-		WE concorn for the pr		_	a ao a 01150.			COD	1	"		_
	mmunity Quarterba	` ,	organization or public	See QAP for re	•	ard of coming the F	ofined Neighbo	orhood, as delineated by the	CQB	Enter pag	10		
,			residents' access to I						Continuinty	nbr(s) her			
ii								where indicated by Tabs Che	cklist?	(0)	-		
	CQB Name		pararoromp marrings		. 40 0 42 10 11101440	Website		oroa.oa.oa oy . aoo oo					
	Contact Name			Direct Line		Email							
2.	Quality Transfo	rmation Plan		-	-					4	2.		
	Transformation T	Team has compl	eted Community Enga	gement and Outi	reach prior to Applic	cation Submission	?						
a)	Public and Privat	te Engagement				Tenancy:	HFOP						
	Family Applicant				artner types, while S	Senior Applicants r		least one. Applicant agree					
i	. Transformation F	Partner 1	Select Transformation	n Partner type>				Meeting 1 between Partners					
	Org Name							lication of meeting notice					
	Website			In:			Publication(s)						
	Contact Name			Direct Line			Social Media						
	Email Role						Mtg Locatn Which Partner	rs were present at Public Mto	1 hetween l	Partners?			

		Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan Co	unty		
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in section ion reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round an Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application"	nd have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
	Tallule to do 30 will result in a one (1) boilt. Abbitation	TOTALS:	92	54	20
ii. Transformation Partner 2 <select< td=""><td>Transformation Prtnr type></td><td>If "Other" Type, Date of Public Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select<>	Transformation Prtnr type>	If "Other" Type, Date of Public Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs			
Org Name	71	specify below: Date(s) of publication of meeting notice			
Website		Publication(s)			
Contact Name	Direct Line	Social Media			
Email		Mtg Locatn			
Role		Which Partners were present at Public Mtg 2 between	Partners?		
b) Citizen Outreach Choose	either "I" or "ii" below for (b).			Yes/No	Yes/No
	blank survey and itemized summary of results inclu	uded in corresponding tab in application binder?	i.		
	Respondents				
ii. Public Meetings			ji.		
Meeting 1 Date		Dates: Mtg 2 Mtg Notice Publication			
Date(s) of publication of Meeting 1 notice	Э	Public Mtg 2 ramt met by req'd public mtg between Tra	nsformatn Pai	tners?	
Publication(s)		Publication(s)			
Social Media		Social Media			
Meeting Location		Mtg Locatn			
Copy(-ies) of published notices provided		Copy(-ies) of published notices provided in application		<u> </u>	
	ioint format below the top 5 challenges preventing to g goals and solutions for the Transformation Team a	his community from accessing local resources (according to feedback from	n the low inco	ne popula	ition to
i. Local Population Challenge 1	goals and solutions for the Transformation Team a	and Faithers to address.			
Goal for increasing residents' access					
Solution and Who Implements					
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access					
Solution and Who Implements					
ii. Local Population Challenge 2					
Goal for increasing residents' access					
Solution and Who Implements					
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access					
Solution and Who Implements					
iii. Local Population Challenge 3					
Goal for increasing residents' access					
Solution and Who Implements					
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access					
Solution and Who Implements					
iv. Local Population Challenge 4					
Goal for increasing residents' access					
Solution and Who Implements					
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access					
Solution and Who Implements					
v. Local Population Challenge 5					
Goal for increasing residents' access					
Solution and Who Implements					
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access					

PART NINI	E - SCORING CRITE	RIA - 2017-001	National C	hurch Reside	ences at Ash Branch, Pe	mbroke, Bryan C	ounty		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and S	coring section reviews pertain or	nts must include comm nly to the corresponding f I result in a one (1) poir	funding round and	d have no effect on su	bsequent or future funding round scor	· ·	Score Value		DCA Score
						TOTALS:	92	54	20
Solution and Who Implements									
C. Community Investment							4		
Community Improvement Full Source	nd Amount / Balan	ce		Bank Name	HFOP		1	1.	
Contact		Pirect Line		Account Name				lease use "Pt I	
Email				Bank Website			provided.	mprovmt Narr"	tab
Bank Contact		Pirect Line		Contact Email			provided.		
Description of Use of Funds									
OSC OFF UNIOS									
Narrative of									
how the									
secured funds									
support the Community									
Revitalization									
Plan or									
Community									
Transformation									
Plan.									
2. Long-term Ground Lease							1	2.	
a) Projects receives a long-term g	round lease (no less than	45-year) for nominal	consideration	and no other land	I costs for the entire property?				
b) No funds other than what is dis	closed in the Application h	ave been or will be p	aid for the lea	se either directly o	or indirectly?				
3. Third-Party Capital Investmen	nt				Competitive Pool chosen:	Rural	2	3.	
Unrelated Third-Party Name									1
Unrelated Third-Party Type					<select 3rd="" party="" td="" typ<="" unrelated=""><td>e></td><td>Improveme</td><td>ent Completi</td><td>ion Date</td></select>	e>	Improveme	ent Completi	ion Date
Is 3rd party investment commu Distance from proposed project				3 yrs prior to App	miles				
Description of Investment or	t site iii fillies, founded up	to the next tenth of a	TIME		illies				
Funding Mechanism									
Description of Investment's									
Furtherance of Plan									
Description of how the									
investment will serve the									
tenant base for the proposed									
development									
Full Cost of Improvement					Total Development Costs (TDC	<u>;)</u> :			

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017	-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan (County		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspondent	e comments in sections where points are claimed. Inding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. In point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
	TOTALS:	92	54	20
D. Community Designations	(Choose only one.)	10	D.	
HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant		.0	1.	
2. Purpose Built Communities			2.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant				
This section does not apply.				
DCA's Comments:				
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS	(choose A or B)	4	0	0
	Competitive Pool chosen: Rural			
	Phased Development? No Phased Development in which one or more phases received an allocation of 9% tax credits are may receive these points) and at least one phase has commenced construction per that a second process of the second phase has commenced construction per that a second phase has commenced p		A. 1. N/a	
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number: Name			•
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number: Name			
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with differe	nt phases?		2. N/a	
3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current for	unding round?		3. N/a	
4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when	the initial phase was closed?		4. N/a	
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool)	(choose 1 or 2)	3	B. 0	0
The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Ge	orgia Housing Credit development that has received an award in the last			
1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles		3	1.	
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles		2	2.	
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)	4	C. 0	0
The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound	lary which has not received an award of 9% Credits:			
1. Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles		3	1.	
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)	1	2.	
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles		2	3.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant				
2014-04 Sawmill Landing was funded in 2014.				
DCA's Comments:				

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at As	h Branch, Pembroke, B	ryan Coun	ty		
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	e funding round scoring decisions.		core alue	Self Score	DCA Score
		TOTA	LS:	92	54	20
10.	10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS			2	2	0
	For DCA determination:				Yes/No	Yes/No
Α.	A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent base as the proposed project?	and which compete for the sam	ne tenant	A.	No	
В.	B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term proposed tenant population?	n viability of the proposed project	ct and the	B.	No	
C.	c. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker that	n projected?		C.	No	
D.	D. Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom type and market segment over 55%?			D.	No	
	Scoring Justification per Applicant					
The	The overall occupancy rate is above 90%. The Market Study has been completed in accordance with DCA guidelines and confirms a	strong demand for senior prope	erties.			
	DCA's Comments:					
11.	11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COMMITMENT (choose only one)			1	1	0
	A. Waiver of Qualified Contract Right			1 A.	1	
	Applicant agrees to forego cancellation option for at least 5 yrs after close of Compliance period?				Yes	
В.	B. Tenant Ownership			1 B.		
	Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance period (only applies to single family units).				N/a	
	DCA's Comments:					
12.	12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT National Church Residences			3		
	Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Information tab: Yes				Yes/No	Yes/No
	Is the applicant claiming these points for this project?				Yes	. 55,115
	Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?				No	
	Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?				Yes	
	DCA's Comments:					
13.	13. RURAL PRIORITY Competitive Pool: Rural	Urban or Rural: Rural		2	2	
	Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which ir Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.	volves 80 or fewer units. Failur	re by the Uni	t Total	60	
MGP		Residences 0.0000	0% Ma	thew D. Rule		
OGP1				thew D. Rule		
OGP2	OGP2 0 0.0000% 0 Co-Developer 1 0	0.000	0% 0			
OwnC	OwnCons 0 0.0000% 0 Co-Developer 2 0	0.0000	0% 0			
	Fed LP NHT Equity LLC 98.9900% Lore Little Developmt Consult 0	0.0000	0% 0			
State L	State LP Sugar Creek Capital 1.0000% Chris Hite					
	Scoring Justification per Applicant DCA's Comm	ents:				
	The proposed development is located in a Rural designation area and is applying under the Rural Pool. The proposed					
uev	development will contain 60 units and will meet the Rural Priority.					

PART NINE - SCORII	NG CRITERIA - 2017	7-001 National Church	Residences at Ash Branch	Pembroke, Bryan C	ounty		
	***	e comments in sections where po			Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section re		onding funding round and have no e (1) point "Application Completene		scoring decisions.	Value	Score	Score
	are to do so will result in a one	The second secon	action.	TOTALS:	92	54	20
14. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES					2	2	0
A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GI	CH)				1	1	
Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Comr	•	early:			•		Yes/No
Identifies the project as located within their 0	, ,		Pembroke			1. Yes	
Is indicative of the community's affordable h	•					2. Yes	
3. Identifies that the project meets one of the o						3. Yes	
4. Is executed by the GICH community's prima	•	record w/ University of Georgi	a Housing and Demographic Resea	arch Center as of 5/1/17?		4. Yes	
5. Has not received a tax credit award in the la	•		3			5. Yes	
NOTE: If more than one letter is issued I	-	no project in that commu	inity shall be awarded this po	int.			
B. Designated Military Zones		te.ga.us/economic/DevelopmentToo			1	1	
Project site is located within the census tract of a	DCA-designated Military Z	one (MZ).				B. Yes	
City: Pembroke Co	ounty: Bryan	QCT? No	Census Trad	ct #: 9201.02			
Scoring Justification per Applicant			DCA's Comments:				
The required GICH supporting documentation is inclu-							
ract 9201.02, which is a designated military zone. A	copy of the DCA designated	d military zone lists is included	l in Tab				
35.							
15. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURC	ES	Compe	titive Pool chosen:	Rural	4	0	0
Indicate that the following criteria are met:		-			-	Yes/No	
a) Funding or assistance provided below is bind	ding and unconditional exce	ept as set forth in this section.				a) N/a	
b) Resources will be utilized if the project is sel	ected for funding by DCA.					b) N/a	
c) Loans are for both construction and perman-	ent financing phases.					c) N/a	
d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years				SDA 538 loans must reflec	t interest	d) N/a	
rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted			•				
e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans				S.		e) N/a	
f) If 538 loans are being considered for points i			ptember 30, 2017. Amount			f) N/a Amount	
 Qualifying Sources - New loans or new gra a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housin 	-	ources.	a)		a)	Amount	
b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or othe			b)		0)		
c) HOME Funds	THOS THI Idila		c)		c)		
d) Beltline Grant/Loan			d)		d)		
e) Historic tax credit proceeds			e)		e)		
f) Community Development Block Grant (CDB	G) program funds		f)		f)		
g) National Housing Trust Fund	, ,		g)	9	g)		
h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed thro	ough a Qualified CDFI revol	ving loan fund	h)		n)		
i) Foundation grants, or loans based from gran	nt proceeds per QAP		i)		i)		
j) Federal Government grant funds or loans			j)		j)		
Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):			0			0	
2. Point Scale	Total Developm	nent Costs (TDC):	9,167,578	<u> </u>			
Scoring Justification per Applicant	TQS as a Perc	, ,	0.0000%			0.0000%	
This section does not apply.				•			

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. TOTALS:							
	DCA's Comments:						
16.	INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT			3			
	Is the applicant claiming these points?				No		
	Selection Criteria		Ranking Pts Value Ran	<u>ige</u>	Ranking Pts		
	1. Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application.		0 - 10		1.		
	2. Uniqueness of innovation.		0 - 10		2.		
	3. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.		0 - 5		3.		
	4. Leveraged operating funding		0 - 5		4.		
	5. Measureable benefit to tenants		0 - 5		5.		
	6. Collaborative solutions proposed and <u>evidence</u> of subject matter experts' <u>direct</u> involvement in the strategic	c concept development.	0 - 5 0 - 40	_	6. Total: 0		
	DCA's Comments:		0 - 40		Total: 0		
17	INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING			3	2 0		
	Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	6	7 2	A. 2 0		
,	Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	60	∀ ′	1. Agree		
	purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD),	Min 1 BR LI Units required	6		n. Agree		
	and is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?		50	-			
	2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, in	1 BR LI Units Proposed			2. Yes		
	 Applicant understands the requirements of Hob's Section 611 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, if At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units? 	iciduming the 50-year use restriction	in for all FRA utilis?		3. Yes		
	4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?				4. Yes		
В.	Target Population Preference			3	B. 0 0		
	 Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Author preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agree 		tenant selection		1.		
	Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:					
	2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2.		
	Scoring Justification per Applicant						
٩рр	licant is willing to accept Section 811 Rental Assistance.						
	DCA's Comments:						
40	LUCTORIO PRECERVATION						
18.	HISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)	Turana On the Euro		2	0 0		
	The property is: < <select applicable="" status="">></select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0	4			
A.	Historic <u>and</u> Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	2	A.		
	The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	60				
	certified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%				
	<< Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >>						
_	Listavia	Nhr Historia unita:		7 4	B. B.		
Ь.	Historic The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Nbr Historic units: Total Units	0 60	1	U.		
	The property is a definited historic structure per War of is declined historic via a deolyta divin-TPD approved	i otai Otiito	1 00	1			

Forgia Department of Community Arians	using i mance a	ilia Develo	pinent	DIVISI
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke	e, Bryan Count	ty		
кеміниек: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.	Sc	core	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decision	ns		core	
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.				
	OTALS:	92	54	20
	0.00%			
DCA's Comments:				
		_		
19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES (choose A or B or C)		3	3	0
Pre-requisites:		Αţ	ree or Y/N A	Agree or Y/N
1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a proposed property:			Agree	
a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA)			Yes	
b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website: http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georgia			Yes	
c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention – Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website			Yes	
The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local community needs?			Agree	
3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative proposed in this section.			.9.00	
Bryan counties premature death ranking is 45 of 159 with 7,900 premature deaths according to County Health Rankings & Roadmaps in 2017; this is higher	r than the national	number (5.20	00) and	
A. Describes Health Consequence Mellosse Describes for Desidents		0	0	
 A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program for Residents 1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive health screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project? 		3	3	0
b) The services will be provided at least monthly and be offered at minimal or no cost to the residents?		a) b)	Agree Yes	
c) The preventive health initiative includes wellness and preventive health care education and information for the residents?		c)	Yes	
2. Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)	Occurrence	٠,٢	cost to R	esident
a) Blood Pressure Checks	Weekly		Nor	
b) Diabetes Monitoring	Weekly		Nor	ne
c) Chronic Health Education	Weekly		Nor	ne
d) Hospital to Home Care Transition	As often as neede	ed	Nor	ne
B. Healthy Eating Initiative		2	0	0
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project?		Di	sagree	
1. The community garden and edible landscape will: a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?		a)		
b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?		b)		
c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?		c)		
d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof construction? Application of the second of		d)		
e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manual – Amenities Guidebook?	<i>?</i>	e)		
2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided free of charge to the residents and will feature related events?		2.		
Description of Monthly Healthy Eating Programs Description of Related Ev	ont			

	PART NINE - SCORING CRIT	ERIA - 2017-	001 National C	hurch Resid	ences at As	sh Branch, Per	nbroke, Bryan C	ounty		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC	CA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	only to the correspor	comments in sections ding funding round and horizontal point "Application C	have no effect on s	ubsequent or futur	re funding round scorin	g decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
							TOTALS:	92	54	20
b)										
c)										
d)										
C. Healthy Activity I								2	0	0
	provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as d			•		enter type of Health	y Activity Initiative her	'e >>	Disagree	•
a) Be well illumina	multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile	or longer that pro	motes walking, jogg مرح	ging, or biking wil	1:	f) Provide trash re	acontaclos?	f)		
,	halt or concrete surface?		b)				ional criteria outlined i	n DCA's q)		
	es or sitting areas throughout course of tr	rail?	c)				ual – Amenities Guide			
d) Provide distance	ce signage?		d)							-
e) Provide 1 piece	e of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile	of trail?	e)			Length of Trail				miles
	ducational information will be provided fre	ee of charge to the	residents on relate	ed events?				2.		
Scoring Justification	n per Applicant d with A Hand To Hold to provide the se	mices noted in ac-	otion A 2 shave on	d in Innatad in Ta	h 40 Further	a aammunitu aard	an is designed in the	acono of work o	a that a m	onthly
healthy eating program c	an de established.									
DCA's Comments:										
20. QUALITY EDUC								3	1	0
	s a property located in the attendance zo			chools as determ	ined by the sta	ate CCRPI?		_	Yes	
NOTE: 2013-2016	District / School System	n - from state CCF								
CCRPI Data Must Be Used	Tenancy	doos it boye o do		HFOP	aa zana that in	aludaa tha mranarti	, cito ?		N/-	l I
De Osea	If Charter school used,	does it have a de	signated (not distric					\neg	N/a	
Cabaallaval	Cahaal Nama (f	Grades Served	Charter Cabaal?			om School Years E		_ Average CCRPI Score		RPI >
School Level a) Primary/Elementary	School Name (from state CCRPI website) Bryan County Elementary	Grades Served	Charter School?	2013 77.40	74.80	2015 75.30	2016	75.83	State A Yes	verage? 1
b) Middle/Junior High	Bryan County Liementary			77.40	74.60	75.50		73.83	165	
c) High										
d) Primary/Elementary	Bryan County Elementary									
e) Middle/Junior High										
f) High									-	
i) nigii										
Scoring Justification			-		•				J	
Scoring Justification	n per Applicant y school three year average for 2013-20	15 is 75.83, which	makes the project	eligible for 1 poi	nt as quality ec	ducation areas are	a stong predicator of	a strong commu	nity.	

or Community F	Affairs	2017 Fundi	ng Application		Housing Finar	ice and De	veiopmen	IT DIVISI
PART NINE -	SCORING CRITERIA - 20	17-001 National C	hurch Residence	es at Ash Branch, Per	nbroke, Bryan C	ounty		
CA Threshold and Scor	ing section reviews pertain only to the corre	esponding funding round and	I have no effect on subseq	uent or future funding round scorir		Score Value 92		DCA Score
							0	
		,	·	•	acca ii araiiacio,	_		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		dius travel over 10 miles	to their place of work			2		
City of		A	Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, C	Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas,	Fayette, Fulton, Gwir	nnett, Henry and Rockdale c	ounties)	MSA	Area	_
20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
0.00%			0.00%			0.00%	0.00%	
		Per Applicant	Per DCA	Project City	Pembroke			
n Jobs Threshold (f	from chart above) Nbr of Jobs:			Project County	Bryan			
				HUD SA	Savannah			
Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile radius w/ workers who travel > 10 miles to work:				MSA / Non-MSA	MSA			
w/in the 2-mile rac	dius w/ workers travelling over 10 m	iles 0.00%	0.00%	Urban or Rural	Rural			
n per Applicant								
r Workforce Housii	ng scoring points							
/ PERFORMAI	NCE					10	10	10
							10	10
NAHT is included in	n Tab 43 confirming National Churc	h Residences is in good	standing and has be	en involved in more than 20	developments.			
TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE EXCEPTIONAL NONPROFIT POINTS						92	54	20
								0
			INNOVATIVE PRO	JECT CONCEPT POINT	S			0
		NET BOSCIDI	E COORE WITH	OUT DOA EVIDA DOI	NTC			20
	PART NINE CA Threshold and Scor HOUSING NEI threshold met and nimum jobs threshol City of Atlanta 20,000 0.00% In Jobs Threshold (in I/in the 2-mile radiu Ille radius w/ workers is w/in the 2-mile radiu Ille radius w/ workers In per Applicant In PERFORMAI In per Applicant	REMINDER: Applicants must inc CA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the comparison of the comparison	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National C REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections CA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application C HOUSING NEED (choose A or B) (Must use 2014 da threshold met and 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles nimum jobs threshold by 50% City of Atlanta (Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, 20,000 0.00% The per Applicant Threshold (from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: win the 2-mile radius: le radius w/ workers who travel > 10 miles to work: wwin the 2-mile radius w/ workers travelling over 10 miles 0.00% The per Applicant we Workforce Housing scoring points TOTAL POS: TOTAL POS:	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residence REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claims CA Threshold and Scoring section reviews perfain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subset Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction HOUSING NEED (choose A or B) (Must use 2014 data from "OnTheMap" It threshold met and 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work nimum jobs threshold by 50%. City of Atlanta Metro Atlanta (Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Fulton, Gwin 20,000 15,000 0.00% Atlanta Per DCA 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 0.00% Per Applicant Threshold (from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: Win the 2-mile radius: Workforce Housing scoring points Per Applicant Threshold (from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: Win the 2-mile radius wow workers who travel > 10 miles to work: Win the 2-mile radius wow workers who travel > 10 miles to work: Win the 2-mile radius wow workers who travel > 10 miles to work: Win the 2-mile radius wow workers who travel > 10 miles to work: Win the 2-mile radius wow workers who travel > 10 miles to work: Win the 2-mile radius wow workers who travel > 10 miles to work: Win the 2-mile radius wow workers travelling over 10 miles 0.00% 0.00% 1	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Per Kemmuer: Applicant must include comments in sections where points are claimed. CA Threshold and Scoring section reviews perlain only to the corresponding funding round about feature to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. HOUSING NEED (choose A or B) (Must use 2014 data from "OnTheMap" tool, but 2015 data may be threshold met and 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work nimum jobs threshold by 50% City of Atlanta Metro Atlanta (Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Fulton, Gwinnett, Henry and Rockdale or 20,000 15,0	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan C KEMINUE: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. CA Threshold and Scoring section reviews perlain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent of future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. TOTALS: HOUSING NEED (choose A or B) (Must use 2014 data from "OnTheMap" tool, but 2015 data may be used if available) threshold met and 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work nimum jobs threshold by 50% City of Atlanta Metro Atlanta Metro Atlanta Metro Atlanta Metro Atlanta Metro Atlanta Metro 15,000 15,000 10,00% 10,00% 10,00% Per Applicant Per DCA Project City Pembroke Bryan Bryan HUD SA Savannah MSA / Non-MSA MSA / Non-MSA MSA / Non-MSA Rural PERFORMANCE TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County **Exhibitive County Failure in do so will result in a one (1) noint "Analication Conneleteness" defaultion. **TOTALS:** 92 **HOUSING NEED** (choose A or B)** (Must use 2014 data from "OnTheMap" tool, but 2015 data may be used if available). 2 chreshold met and 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work 2 chreshold met and 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work 2 chryson of the characteristic of	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County Value Can Threshold and Soding section reviews perfect only to the curreposing funding unad and have se takeful or subsequent or future funding tound scoring decisions. TOTALS: 92 54 HOUSING NEED (choose A or B) (Must use 2014 data from "On TheMap" tool, but 2015 data may be used if available) 2 - threshold met and 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work City of Atlanta Metro Atlanta (Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Fulton, Gwinnett, Henry and Rockdale counties) MSA Area 2 20,000 15,000 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 10

NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-001 National Church Residences at Ash Branch, Pembroke, Bryan County

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews perfain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92

TOTALS:

Self DCA Score Score

has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Including to within this area along with any applicable comments.	de the section/(s) you are

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

National Church Residences at Ash Branch Pembroke, Bryan County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

National Church Residences at Ash Branch Pembroke, Bryan County



Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

National Church Residences at Ash Branch Pembroke, Bryan County

National Church Residences at Ash Branch is located within the GIHC community for the City of Pembroke. The City of Pembroke was admitted in 2013 and they are currently moving forward with their action plan.

The plan identifies four major issues with housing in their community and National Chuch Residences at Ash Branch will help to address two of these four major issues. One of the issues is the inadequate supply of rental housing for the citizens of Pembroke. As the plan states, the majority of existing rental housing is not well maintained and poorly managed and provides poor living conditions. National Church Residences at Ash Branch will bring 60 units of new construction for persons 55+ and will be managed by National Church Residences.

Another major issue addressed in the plan is the the need for multi-family housing. The City of Pembroke draws many retirees from Ft. Stewart and Hunter Army Airfield Base. With the tenancy at National Church Residences at Ash Branch being 55+, the development will help fill the need for many retirees.

Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

National Church Residences at Ash Branch Pembroke, Bryan County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER	
Printed Name	Title
Signature	Date
Signature	[SEAL]

A DDL IO A NIT/OVA/NIED