

**2025 Georgia BoS CoC Project Performance & CoC Priority Scoring Criteria
Renewal Projects, Transition Grants, YHDP Replacement Projects Only**

Applicant Agency _____

HUD Project Name _____

HUD Project Type: PSH RRH Joint TH-RRH SSO SSO-CE TH

For APR data requested within the application, agencies should use data (covering the period of 9/1/2024-8/31/2025) from the HUD APR from SAGE and the HUD Data Quality Report from HMIS (submission of both reports is required). For the APR, Applicants will need to pull the data from HMIS and then upload the CSV-APR into Sage using the Test Run function in order to create the required report. Please note that renewal projects that consolidated one or more grants under the 2023 or 2024 competition should run an APR in HMIS for the combined projects, so that when the CSV-APR is uploaded into Sage, it will produce an APR for the combined data.

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score	Reviewer Notes
Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement the project proposed. Eligible renewing projects are considered to have met threshold unless other information is available to the contrary.	Pass/Fail		

Performance Criteria	Standard / Goal	Points Available	Scoring	Data Source	Review Score
1. ALL Projects - Spending all grant funds awarded for last grant award period. [Total of all funds expended/total funds awarded by HUD for most recently completed operating year]	Spending 100% of Award or Proposed Project Implementation	5	95% or > spent = 5 90-94% spent = 4 85-89% spent = 3 80-84% spent = 2 <80% = 0* *may be subject to reduction	Application, LOCCS report, or HUD Qtrly Spending Report	
1-a. ALL Projects – Quarterly Draw Downs from LOCCS. [Funds are drawn down from LOCCS every 90 days or less once the contract was executed]	Funds drawn down every 90 days or less.	3	90 days or less = 3	Provider report from LOCCS	
2. ALL Projects – Project Utilization Units/Clients [% of unit utilization for housing programs]	Unit/Client Utilization 100% Or Capacity to fully utilize program	5	95% or > = 5 90-94% = 4 85-89% = 3 80-84% = 2 51-79% = 0 Projects at 50% or less = -20 (and may be subject to reduction or reallocation)	Review Application or APR Q07b & Q08b	

3. Cost Effectiveness Annual number of households served/Annual Grant Amount	TBD	N/A	N/A		
Subtotal Grant / Utilization		13			
Program Performance Criteria	Standard / Goal	Points Available	Scoring	Data Source	Review Score
4. PH Programs: Housing Stability for clients served in the reporting period of the last APR submitted to HUD. [% PH persons who remained in the PH program as of end of year OR exited to PH during year]	85%	10	85% or > = 10 80-84% = 7.5 75-79% = 2.5 Below 75% = 0	APR PSH: Q05a & Q23c / Q05a exits or RRH: Q23c / Q05 exits	
5. Length of Time between Project Start Date & Move-in Date: On average, days participants spend from project entry to residential move-in during 9/1/2024 – 8/31/2025. Clients should be entered into project in HMIS when services begin.	30 days	5	30 or < = 5 60 or < =2 90 or < = 31 91 or more = 0 Records where move in and start date is the same will be excluded	APR Q22c or HMIS data	
6. Returns to Homelessness: Percentage of participants who exited 9/1/2022-8/31/2023 to a permanent destination that returned to homelessness. Evaluate and score at 6 months, 12 months. And 24 months	15% or less	30	15% or less = 10 16%-20% = 5 21% or more = 0 For each interval	DCA (HMIS data)	
Subtotal Housing Stability		45			
7. ALL Programs: Program has dedicated beds/units for serving persons experiencing homelessness who are elderly or disabled.	100%	5	100% =5	Application/ HIC & verified w/APR/Data Quality Report (HMIS data)	
7a. ALL Programs: Project application is a Transition Grant or YHDP Replacement Project	yes or no	5	Yes=5 No=0		
8. ALL Programs: Program dedicated to serve Veterans. <u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on adults being served by the project.	100% HHs w/adult Veteran	5	100% =5	Applica., & verified w/APR	
9. ALL Programs: Program dedicated to serve Youth-headed Households. <u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on	100% Youth-headed HHs	5	100% = 5	Applica., & verified w/APR (HMIS Data)	

percentage of youth-headed households served by the project.					
10. ALL Programs: SSO Program serves communities with integrated supportive housing projects (as listed in the BoS CoC Competition Policy document)	project is located in an integrated supportive housing county	5	Yes=5	Applica. & verified	
11. ALL Programs: At least one Adult per household w/previous residence that indicates literal homelessness (project eligibility). Enrollments between 9/1/2024-8/31/2025	100%	5	100% =5 Projects 75% or less -5 (and may be subject to lower ranking or reallocation)	APR Data/HMIS Data [Q15]	
Subtotal Homeless & Special Needs		30			
12. ALL Programs: Increase in Earned Income for Adults [adults who gained or increased 'earned income' from Start to Annual Assessment or Exit/ adults	8%	10	8% or > = 10 4-7% = 4 Less than 3% = 0	APR [Q19a2]	
12a. ALL Programs: Increased Income from All Sources [% of persons age 18 or older who gained or increased total income from Start to Annual Assessment/Exit]	54%	8	54% or > =8 49-53% = 6 40-48% = 4 35-39% = 1 Below 35% = 0	APR [Q19a2]	
12b. ALL Programs: Participants with Non-Cash Mainstream Benefit Sources RRH, Joint TH-RRH, & SSO Projects – % leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits' at exit/total leaving adults] PSH Projects – % of stayers <u>and</u> leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits' at latest assessment	75% or >	8	75% or > = 8 60-74% = 6 45-59% = 2 44% or below = 0	APR [RRH - Q20b & PSH - Q20b]	
13. Renewal Programs: Leavers who exit housing or program to homelessness or unknown [leavers exiting to "", "", 'literally homeless', 'temporary destinations', or 'don't know/refused'/all leavers]	10% or less	11	10% or < = 11 11-14% = 7 15-20% = 5 Over 20% = 0	APR [Q23c]	

14. Coordinated Entry Participation: Projects in non-Implementation Sites: HMIS shows participants are being assessed using the VI-SPDAT for prioritization Projects in Implementation Sites: HMIS shows Projects are participating and accepting referrals from the Lead Agency	Non-Implementation Sites: VI-SPDAT for 100% Enrollments	5	Yes for 100% = 5	DCA HMIS Data	
	Implementation Sites: 100% Participation AND 95% Referral Acceptance Rate	5	100% of project enrollments referred from CES = 5 points AND 95% or more CES referral acceptance rate = 2.5 points		
15. Project applicant in a Faith-based Organization		5	Yes=5	Application	
Subtotal Performance		47			
17. Service Participation Requirements: Projects do or will require service participation for program participants.	100%	5	Yes (with supporting docs) = 5 No = 0	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents Addendum	
18. On-Site Treatment: Project will have on site treatment for substance abuse or recovery beds as evidenced by number of beds and narrative in application	Full points for projects that have onsite treatment and at least 4 recovery beds	5	Yes to both onsite treatment and recovery beds (with supporting docs) = 5 On site treatment but no recovery beds= 2	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents Addendum	
Subtotal Services & Treatment		10			
20. Non-GHFA Project Applicants - Monitoring – HUD Findings or	No Unresolved Findings or Concerns	4	See table below	HUD Monitoring Report and Responses	
20a. GHFA PSH Project Applicants - Monitoring – DCA Findings	No Unresolved Findings or Concerns	4	See table below	/DCA Monitoring Report and responses	
Subtotal Compliance		4			
21. CoC Participation: Attended BoS CoC Statewide Meeting (December 2024, March 2025,), Attended DCA’s 2025 Housing Symposium, Participates in BoS CoC Governance (Board member or Committee member participation), or as 2023 Point in Time Coordinator.	Yes or No	5	Yes = 5	DCA list	

22. HMIS Data Quality: [Q2 – Q7 less than 5% per question] (Applicants that are not a current CoC grantee & not currently using HMIS may submit data from a comparable HMIS system.)	<5%	5	5% or less =1 > 5% = 0 each question	HMIS HUD Data Quality Report or APR [Q06a- 06f]	
22a. Timeliness of Data Entry & Annual Assessments: [Q6 & Q4 less than 5% per question] Timely data entry for participant starts, exits, & annual assessments	100%	5	80% or more 3 days or less Start and Exit = 1 points each 50% or more 4-10 days Start and Exit = .5 point each 5% or less Annual Assessment errors =1 points Additional 2 points if 3 days or less Start and Exit AND 5% or less annual assess errors	HMIS HUD Data Quality Report [Q6 & Q4] or APR [Q06e & Q06c]	
Subtotal: CoC Participation & HMIS Data Quality		15			
23. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources) With the exception of leasing, all agencies must demonstrate match resources equal to at least 25% of the total requested HUD funding.		5	Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3 Unacceptable = 0	Applica.	
24. Leverage Housing and HealthCare		5	Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3 Unacceptable = 0	Applica.	
Subtotal: Match		10			
Total Points Available for Performance Criteria (listed on this form)		191			
Total Points Available for Review Team Outcome Criteria (Addendum criteria scoresheet)		9			
Total Possible Points**		200			
25. Bonus Points: Housing project dedicated to serving people experiencing homelessness that are elderly or disabled.	100%	5	Yes = 5	Application Project Type	

26. Bonus Points: Point in Time Count Coordinator – Bonus points available to project applications submitted by an agency that served as a Homeless Count Coordinator for the 2024 BoS Homeless Point in Time Count held in January 2024.	100%	5	Yes = 10 No = 0	DCA	
27. Bonus Points: Coordinated Entry Implementation – Project is critical and currently managing the assessment, prioritization, and referral process for a Coordinated Entry implementation.	Yes or No	5	Yes = 20 No = 0	DCA	
** Timeliness of Application Submission – Late submission of the review application, second submission package, or supporting documents will lose points. The rate will be <5% of the total SCORED points available in the competition for each working day that the application is late.	<5% of total points each working day the application is late	Depends on Submission Date	1 Day Late = <5% total points available 2 Days Late = <10% total points available 3 Days Late = <15% and application may not be sent to review team for ranking (required for funding)	Based on date received at DCA.	
Total Points Received					

HUD/DCA Monitoring of CoC Program (point scale)	
Score	Findings
4	No Unresolved Monitoring Findings
2	Unresolved Monitoring Findings, but there is a clear and feasible corrective plan
0	Unresolved Findings with no plan or unclear plan

Notes:

1. Applicants must provide sufficient information to compete with other applicants under criteria, regardless of whether or not this information is required for the final application being submitted electronically to HUD.
2. In the event of a tie, decisions to be based upon funds requested/number served at capacity/serving a CoC priority population (unsheltered, Veterans, youth).
3. Review Team reserves discretion to award points between high and low ranges on Capacity, Outcome, and Match criteria.
4. Note that this criteria reflects CoC priorities as well as HUD priorities outlined in the FY 2025 NOFO. As a matter of information to applicants, the Balance of State CoC will be judged competitively on CoC Coordination and Engagement (81 points), Project Ranking, Review and Capacity (9 points), and System Performance (40 points) – 130 total points possible.

5. The Balance of State CoC project renewal applications for GHFA CoC-wide HMIS and GHFA Coordinated Assessment have no impact upon the HUD score for the CoC application. Because of their importance to the CoC effort across the State, they will not be scored by the review panel and will be placed on the priority ranking within Tier 1. The CoC Planning grant is not part of the Tier ranking and does not impact the funding available to projects.
6. Project Applicants that believe they were not allowed to participate in a fair and open process and were rejected by the CoC have the right to appeal the rejection directly to HUD as outlined in the NOFO.
7. Competition information for the 2025 competition can be found in the HUD NOFO and at: <https://www.hud.gov/hud-partners/community-coc> and <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/>.