2024 Georgia BoS CoC Project Performance & CoC Priority Scoring Criteria Renewal Projects Only (Non-YHDP)

Applicant Agency			
HUD Project Name			
HUD Project Type:	Permanent Supportive Housing	Rapid Re-Housing	

For APR data requested within the application, agencies should use data (covering the period of 7/1/2023-6/30/2024) from the HUD APR from SAGE and the HUD Data Quality Report from HMIS (submission of both reports is required). For the APR, Applicants will need to pull the data from HMIS and then upload the CSV-APR into Sage using the Test Run function in order to create the required report. Please note that renewal projects that consolidated one or more grants under the 2023 competition should run an APR in HMIS for the combined projects, so that when the CSV-APR is uploaded into Sage, it will produce an APR for the combined data. Newly awarded 2022 or 2023 projects operational for less than a year will automatically receive the median points awarded for like projects on performance where applicable, and bonus points will be scored regularly. Those points will then be averaged with that project's original application score.

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score	Reviewer Notes
Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement	Pass/Fail		
the project proposed. Eligible renewing projects are considered to have met			
threshold unless other information is available to the contrary.			

Performance Criteria	Standard /	Points	Scoring	Data Source	Revie
	Goal	Available			w
					Score
1. ALL Projects - Spending all grant	Spending	5	95% or > spent = 5	Application,	
funds awarded for last grant award	100% of		90-94% spent = 4	LOCCS	
period.	Award or		85-89% spent = 3	report, or	
[Total of all funds expended/total	Proposed		80-84% spent =2	HUD Qrtrly	
funds awarded by HUD for most	Project		<80% = 0*	Spending	
recently completed operating year]	Implementation		*may be subject to	Report	
			reduction		
1-a. ALL Projects – Quarterly Draw	Funds drawn	3	90 days or less = 3	Provider	
Downs from LOCCS.	down every 90			report from	
[Funds are drawn down from LOCCS	days or less.			LOCCS	
every 90 days or less once the					
contract was executed]					
2. ALL Projects – Project Utilization	Unit/Client	5	95% or > = 5	Review	
Units/Clients	Utilization 100%		90-94% = 4	Application	
[% of unit utilization for housing	Or		85-89% = 3	or APR Q07b	
programs]	Capacity to fully		80-84% =2	& Q08b	
	utilize program		51-79% = 0		
			Projects at 50% or less		
			= -20 (and may be		
			subject to reduction or		
			reallocation)		

3. Cost Effectiveness	TBD	N/A	N/A		
Annual number of households		,	,		
served/Annual Grant Amount					
Subtotal Grant / Utilization		13			
Program Performance Criteria	Standard /	Points	Scoring	Data Source	Revie
-	Goal	Available			w
					Score
4. PH Programs: Housing Stability	85%	20	85% or > = 20	APR	
for clients served in the reporting			80-84% = 15	PSH: Q05a &	
period of the last APR submitted to			75-79% = 5	Q23c / Q05a	
HUD. [% PH persons who remained			Below 75% = 0	exits	
in the PH program as of end of year				or RRH:	
OR exited to PH during year]				Q23c / Q05	
				exits	
5. Length of Time between Project	30 days	15	30 or < = 15	APR Q22c or	
Start Date & Move-in Date: On			60 or < = 7	HMIS data	
average, days participants spend			90 or < = 3		
from project entry to residential			91 or more = 0		
move-in during 7/1/2023-6/30/2024.					
Clients should be entered into			Records where move in		
project in HMIS when services begin.			and start date is the		
C. Determente llerrelerences	150/	45	same will be excluded		
6. <u>Returns to Homelessness</u> :	15% or less	15	15% or less = 15 16%-20% = 7	DCA (HMIS	
Percentage of participants who			16% - 20% = 7 21% or more = 0	data)	
exited 7/1/2021-6/30/2022 to a permanent destination that			21% or more = 0		
returned to homelessness.					
Subtotal Housing Stability		50			
7. ALL Programs: Program has	100%	5	100% = 10	Application/	
dedicated beds/units for serving	10076		70-99% = 7.5	HIC &	
persons who are chronically			50-69% = 5	verified	
homeless. Current Projects will be			30-49% = 2.5	w/APR/Data	
scored on dedicated chronic beds			Less than 30% = 0	Quality	
and DedicatedPLUS.				Report (HMIS	
				data)	
7a. ALL Programs: Program serves	100%	10	100% = 10	APR/Data	
persons who are chronically			50-99% = 7.5	Quality	
homeless. Current Projects will be			30-49% = 4.5	Report	
scored on chronically homeless			10-29% = 2.5	(HMIS)	
served.			Less than 10% = 0	. ,	
8. ALL Programs: Program	100%	5	100% = 10	Applica., &	
targets/serves Veterans.	HHs w/adult		70-99% = 7.5	verified	
<u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on	Veteran		50-69% = 5	w/APR	
adults being served by the project.			25-49% = 2.5		
			Less than 25% = 0	<u> </u>	
9. ALL Programs: Program	100%	5	100% = 10	Applica., &	
targets/serves Youth-headed	Youth-headed		70-99% = 7.5	verified	
Households. Current Projects will be	HHs		50-69% = 5	w/APR (HMIS	
scored on percentage of youth-			25-49% = 2.5	Data)	

headed households served by the			Less than 25% = 0		
project. 10. <u>ALL Programs</u> : Program serves persons who have special needs or high barriers to housing. (disabilities, victims of domestic violence, and youth households (adults 18-24)	100%	10	100% = 10 70-99% = 7 40-69% = 5 Less than 40% = 0	Applica. & verified w/APR (Q13, Q14 or Q27)	
11. <u>ALL Programs</u> : At least one Adult per household w/previous residence that indicates literal homelessness (project eligibility). Enrollments between 7/1/2023- 6/30/2024.	100%	8	100% = 8 Projects 75% or less -8 (and may be subject to lower ranking or reallocation)	APR Data/HMIS Data [Q15]	
Subtotal Homeless & Special Needs		43			
12. <u>ALL Programs</u> : Increase in Earned Income for Adults [adults who gained or increased 'earned income' from Start to Annual Assessment or Exit/ adults	8%	10	8% or > = 10 4-7% = 4 Less than 3% = 0	APR [Q19a2]	
 12a. <u>ALL Programs</u>: Increased Income from All Sources [% of persons age 18 or older who gained or increased total income from Start to Annual Assessment/Exit] 	54%	10	54% or > = 10 49-53% = 8 40-48% = 6 35-39% = 3 Below 35% = 0	APR [Q19a2]	
 12b. <u>ALL Programs</u>: Participants with Non-Cash Mainstream Benefit Sources RRH Projects – % leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits' at exit/total leaving adults] PSH Projects – % of stayers <u>and</u> leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits' at latest assessment 	75% or >	8	75% or > = 10 60-74% = 7.5 45-59% = 4 44% or below = 0	APR [RRH - Q20b & PSH - Q20b]	
13. <u>Renewal Programs</u> : Leavers who exit housing or program to homelessness or unknown [leavers exiting to ", ", 'literally homeless', 'temporary destinations', or 'don't know/refused'/all leavers]	10% or less	11	10% or < = 11 11-14% = 7 15-20% = 5 Over 20% = 0	APR [Q23c]	
14. Coordinated Entry Participation:	Non- Implementation	10	Yes for 100% = 10	DCA HMIS Data	

 Projects in non-Implementation Sites: HMIS shows participants are being assessed using the VI-SPDAT for prioritization Projects in Implementation Sites: HMIS shows Projects are participating and accepting referrals from the Lead Agency 15. Acceptance of Participants 	Sites: VI-SPDAT for 100% Enrollments Implementation Sites: 100% Participation AND 95% Referral Acceptance Rate 70% or less have	OR 10	100% of project enrollments referred from CES = 5 points AND 95% or more CES referral acceptance rate = 5 points 70% or < = 5	DCA HMIS	
Without Income % of adults 18 or older entering project without earned income, SSI, or SSDI	income at entry		Over 70% = 0	Data [APR Q18]	
16. Variance in Length of Stay Variance in length of stay for Leavers to reflect Written Standards requirement that project responsive to client needs and not a predetermined length of stay for all participants	Variance in Length of time for Leaver HHs	5	Yes = 5 None or Minimal = 0	Length of Stay, Avg & Median Length of Stay / APR / Policies	
Subtotal Performance		59			
 17. Housing First: Projects must utilize the Housing First model. Projects must prioritize rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and have no service participation requirements or preconditions. 18. Low Barrier Projects: Project 	100%	5	Yes (with supporting docs) = 5 No = 0 Project previously committed to 100% where applicant indicates it will not continue as Housing First will not receive these points and may be placed at the bottom of Tier 2. Yes (with supporting	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents Addendum	
18. Low Barrier Projects: Project applications must be low barrier projects, meaning project allows entry to program participants that includes: low or no income, current or past substance use, criminal records—with the exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state or local law or ordinance, and history of domestic violence.	100%	5	Yes (with supporting docs) = 5 No = 0 Project previously committed to 100% where applicant indicates it will not continue as Housing First will not receive these points and may be placed at the bottom of Tier 2.	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents Addendum	

19. Policies and Procedures: Rapid Placement in Housing without preconditions. – Policies have been updated to support placement into permanent housing without preconditions for income, work effort, sobriety, or any other factor (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions).	Yes or No	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	Policies & Procedures	
Subtotal Housing First		20			
20. <u>Non-GHFA Project Applicants</u> - Monitoring – HUD Findings or 20a. GHFA PSH <u>Project Applicants</u> - Monitoring – DCA Findings	No Unresolved Findings or Concerns No Unresolved Findings or	4 or 4	See table below See table below	HUD Monitoring Report and Responses /DCA Monitoring	
	Concerns			Report and responses	
Subtotal Compliance		4		responses	
 21. CoC Participation: Attended BoS CoC Statewide Meeting (December 2023, March 2024, June 2024), Attended DCA's 2024 Housing Symposium, Participates in BoS CoC Governance (Board member or Committee member participation), or as 2024 Point in Time Coordinator. 22. HMIS Data Quality: [Q2 – Q7 less than 5% per question] 	Yes or No <5%	10	Yes = 10 5% or less = 2 > 5% = 0	DCA list HMIS HUD Data Quality	
(Applicants that are not a current CoC grantee & not currently using HMIS may submit data from a comparable HMIS system.)			each question	Report or APR [Q06a- 06f]	
22a. Timeliness of Data Entry & Annual Assessments: [Q6 & Q4 less than 5% per question] Timely data entry for participant starts, exits, & annual assessments	100%	10	80% or more 3 days or less Start and Exit = 3 points each 50% or more 4-10 days Start and Exit = 1 point each 5% or less Annual Assessment errors = 3 points Additional point (1) if 3 days or less Start and Exit AND 5% or less annual assess errors	HMIS HUD Data Quality Report [Q6 & Q4] or APR [Q06e & Q06c]	

		20			
Subtotal: CoC Participation & HMIS		30			
Data Quality			Moltale Constants	A	
23. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)		5	Well defined = 5	Applica.	
With the exception of leasing, all			Acceptable = 3		
agencies must demonstrate match			Unacceptable = 0		
resources equal to at least 25% of					
the total requested HUD funding.					
Subtotal: Match		5			
Total Points Available for		224		I	
Performance Criteria (listed on this					
form)					
Total Points Available for Review		81			
Team Outcome Criteria (Addendum		_			
criteria scoresheet)					
Total Possible Points**		305			
25. Bonus Points: Continuum of Care	100%	30	Current PSH program =	Application	
Permanent Supportive Housing	100/0		30	Project Type	
Priority. Bonus points available for				i lojece i ype	
permanent supportive housing					
programs that provide housing to					
people who are homeless with a					
disability.					
26. Bonus Points: Point in Time	100%	10	Yes = 10	DCA	
Count Coordinator – Bonus points					
available to project applications			No = 0		
submitted by an agency that served					
as a Homeless Count Coordinator for					
the 2024 BoS Homeless Point in Time					
Count held in January 2024.					
27. Bonus Points: Coordinated Entry	Yes or No	20	Yes = 20	DCA	
Implementation – Project is critical					
and currently managing the			No = 0		
assessment, prioritization, and					
referral process for a Coordinated					
Entry implementation.					
** Timeliness of Application	<5% of total	Depends	1 Day Late = <5% total	Based on	
Submission – Late submission of the	points each	on	points available	date received	
review application, second	working day the	Submissi	2 Days Late = <10%	at DCA.	
submission package, or supporting	application is	on Date	total points available		
documents will lose points. The rate	late		3 Days Late = <15% and		
will be <5% of the total SCORED	late		application may not be		
points available in the competition			sent to review team for		
for each working day that the			ranking (required for		
application is late.			funding)		
Total Points Received			iunung)		
Total Politis Received					

HUD/DCA Monitoring of CoC Program (point scale)				
Score	Findings			
4	No Unresolved Monitoring Findings			
2	Unresolved Monitoring Findings, but there is a clear and feasible corrective plan			
0	Unresolved Findings with no plan or unclear plan			

Notes:

- 1. Applicants must provide sufficient information to compete with other applicants under criteria, regardless of whether or not this information is required for the final application being submitted electronically to HUD.
- 2. In the event of a tie, decisions to be based upon funds requested/number served at capacity/serving a CoC priority population (chronic, Veterans, youth).
- 3. Review Team reserves discretion to award points between high and low ranges on Capacity, Outcome, and Match criteria.
- 4. Note that this criteria reflects CoC priorities as well as HUD priorities outlined in the FY 2024 NOFO. As a matter of information to applicants, the Balance of State CoC will be judged competitively on CoC Coordination and Engagement (84 points), Project Ranking, Review and Capacity (28 points), Homeless Management Information System (9 points), Point-In-Time Count (5 points), System Performance (60 points), and Coordination with Housing and Healthcare (14 points) 200 total points possible.
- 5. The Balance of State CoC project renewal applications for HMIS and Coordinated Assessment have no impact upon the HUD score for the CoC application. Because of their importance to the CoC effort across the State, they will not be scored by the review panel and will be placed on the priority ranking within Tier 1. The CoC Planning grant is not part of the Tier ranking and does not impact the funding available to projects.
- 6. Project Applicants that believe they were not allowed to participate in a fair and open process and were rejected by the CoC have the right to appeal the rejection directly to HUD as outlined in the NOFO.
- More information on Housing First can be found on the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness web site at: <u>https://usich.gov/guidance-reports-data/federal-guidance-</u> <u>resources/housing-first-checklist-assessing-projects-and</u>. It was also was sent out by HUD through the SNAPS Weekly Focus series at: <u>https://www.hudexchange.info/sites/onecpd/assets/File/SNAPS-In-</u> <u>Focus-Why-Housing-First.pdf</u> and a Housing Brief at: <u>https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/Housing-First-Permanent-Supportive-Housing-Brief.pdf</u>.
- Competition information for the 2024 competition can be found in the HUD NOFO and at: <u>https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition,</u> <u>https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/</u>, and <u>https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc</u>.
- 9. The Final Rule on Defining "Chronic Homeless" published in December 2015, can be found at: <u>https://www.hudexchange.info/homelessness-assistance/resources-for-chronic-homelessness/</u>.