## 2024 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring Renewal Projects ONLY (Non-YHDP)

Organization Name:		
Project Name:	HUD Project Type:	
General Information		
General Information	Possible Points	Score
Balance of State CoC Priority:	Permanent Supportive Housing = 10	
Serving people with the highest needs and	Rapid Re-Housing = 5	

Date:

## Project Overview and Priority Alignment

longest histories of homelessness for existing new and renewal PSH is a priority for funding.

Reviewer Name:

As specified in the Governance Charter, the BoS CoC has aligned itself with the HUD Strategic Plan, as well as with the USICH Plan. While much of the scored information will be taken from agency and project HMIS APR data, agencies were asked to respond to questions, addressing the various objectives of the CoC.

TOTAL (10 points maximum)

Project Overview and Priority Alignment	Possible Points	Score
Project Summary	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the project = 3	
(3 possible total points)	Response gives an adequate description of project, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 1	
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about purpose of project = 0	
Objective 1-A: Increase Progress towards Ending Chronic Homelessness	Agencies were required to answer "Yes" or "No" to each response and to provide an explanation to support <b>EACH</b> answer. Points are not automatic; if explanation is not provided and/or does not back up answer award zero points. Checklist-	
Assessment, Prioritization, & Acceptance into	A-C: Yes (and response fully addresses and clearly demonstrates requirement is being met) = 3 points	
project of people with highest needs.	No (or response does not fully address or does not demonstrate requirement is being met) = 0 points	
(9 possible points)	Agencies were required to explain and discuss: <b>a)</b> process of assessing clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participation in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment), <b>b)</b> how the project prioritizes clients as outlined in the CoC Written Standards and Coordinated Entry Written Standards Policies and Procedures, or participation in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to prioritization of clients <b>and</b> project acceptance of clients through the referral process) for this	

	program, and c) program entry requirements and restrictions for homeless	
	persons to access and be accepted into this program. Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C).  (9 possible total points)	
Objective 1-B: Serving People with the Highest Barriers to Housing (&/or Special Needs)	Applicants were asked to identify a) which of the listed criteria does NOT have a bearing on whether a client was or was not accepted into their project and b) which of the listed criteria does NOT have a bearing on whether a client was or was not terminated from their project:	Part 1 score (A)
HUD is looking for projects that serve those people with most need and who would be most resistant to service. Projects are expected to reduce and remove barriers to accessing services, program acceptance and entry and continued program participation, in an effort to serve that population.  (10 possible points)	<ul> <li>a. Which criteria was selected as NOT having a bearing on whether a client is accepted into project?</li> <li>Having too little income = checked or not checked (barrier)</li> <li>Active or history of substance abuse = checked or not checked (barrier)</li> <li>Having a criminal record (with exception of state/federal mandated restrictions) = checked or not checked (barrier)</li> <li>History of victimization (domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse) = checked or not checked (barrier)</li> <li>None of the above = All are a barrier to enter project</li> <li>No barriers = 5 points</li> <li>1 or 2 barriers = 2 points</li> <li>3 or more barriers = 0 points</li> <li>(5 possible total points for A)</li> <li>b. Which criteria does NOT have a bearing on whether a client is terminated from project?</li> <li>Failure to participate in supportive services = checked or not checked (barrier)</li> <li>Failure to make progress on a service plan = checked or not checked (barrier)</li> <li>Loss of income or failure to improve income = checked or not checked (barrier)</li> <li>Any other activity not covered in a typical lease agreement = checked or not checked (may be possible barrier)</li> <li>None of the above = All are cause for termination</li> <li>No barriers (outside of state/federal mandated restriction) = 5 points</li> <li>1 or 2 barriers = 2 points</li> <li>3 or more barriers = 0 points</li> <li>(5 possible total points for B)</li> <li>Explanation-Agencies were required to explain responses for both "a" and "b" for criteria that will prohibit clients form being accepted into this project, or be cause for termination from, this project. Agencies that indicate barriers that are not mandated may not receive full points (A or B).</li> </ul>	Part 2 score (B)

Objective 2: Increase	Performance	
Housing Stability	85% or above meets the standard	
	84% or below does not meet the standard	
Standard Baseline: 85%	Explanation (6 possible points)	
<b>PSH</b> Application answer for E	Project is meeting or exceeding the standard and response on how they will continue to maintain or exceed is clear and concise = 6	
[(c+d)/a x 100 = %]	Project performance is 79%-84%, and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 4	
RRH Application answer for D (c/b x 100 = %)	Project performance is 78% or lower, and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 2	
(6 possible points)	Project is not meeting the standard, and response doesn't address how they will work to improve performance = 0	
(o bossible bollits)	The training of the periodical control of	

Objective 3: Increase	Applicants were asked to report on Performance for a) Farned Income and	Part 1 score
Project Participant	, ,	
Income	b) increased income	(A)
income	Part 1 (A):	
	A. Total Earned Income is at 20% or above meets the standard	
Standard Baselines:	Total Earned Income is less than 20% does not meet the standard	Part 2 score
Total Earned Income is	Total Earned Income is less than 20% does not meet the standard	(B)
20% and	20% or higher = 4 points	(5)
Total Increased Income	15%-19% = 2 points	
is 54%	14% or less = 0 points	
13 5 470	(4 possible total points for A [Earned Income])	Part 3 score
Earned Income (ALL)		(C)
Application answer for	Part 2 (B):	(5)
E	B. Total Increased Income is at 54% or above meets the standard	
	Total Increased Income is less than 54% does not meet the	
Increased Income		
Stayers (ALL)	standard	
Application answer for	E40/ an high an Amainta	
H	54% or higher = 4 points	
	49%-53% = 3 points	
Increased Income	35%-48% = 2 points 34% or less = 0 points	
Leavers (ALL)	•	
Application answer for	(4 possible total points for B [Increased Income stayers])	
K	Part 3 (C):	
	C. Total Increased Income is at 54% or above meets the standard	
(12 possible points		
total/4 each)	Total Increased Income is less than 54% does not meet the	
	standard	
	F40/ an high an = 4 mainta	
	54% or higher = 4 points	
	49%-53% = 3 points	
	35%-48% = 2 points	
	34% or less = 0 points  (4 possible total points for C [Increased Income Leavers])	
	(4 possible total points for C [Increased Income Leavers])	

	Explanation-Agencies were required to explain responses for "a," "b," and "c" as to the steps that agency has in place to assist participants in increasing income. Projects with zero client turnover during the reporting period should describe client progress in meeting the objective to maintain or improve income for participants. Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A, B, or C).	
Objective 4: Increase	Performance	
the Number of	80% or above meets the standard	
Participants Obtaining	Below 80% does not meet the standard	
Mainstream Benefits	Explanation (6 possible points)	
	Project is meeting the standard and response on how they will continue to	
RRH and PSH Standard	maintain or exceed is clear and concise = 6	
Baseline: 80%	Project performance is 50-79% and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 4	
Application answer for	Draiget performance is 100/ and lower and response on how thou will work	
C (A/B X 100 = %)	Project performance is 49% and lower and response on how they will work to meet it is clear and concise = 2	
(6 possible points)		
(o possible politis)	Project is not meeting the standard, and response does not address how	
Destal O File de Fee de	they will work to improve performance = 0	
Racial & Ethnic Equity	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan	
(Identification of	to identify barriers to participation in this project and steps taken (or steps	
barriers to	that will be taken) to eliminate identified barriers = 15	
participation)	Response is clear and concise and adequately describes specific plan to	
(Question 10 a	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps taken (or steps	
(Question 10-a,		
Financial & Project	that will be taken) to eliminate identified barriers = 10	
Information section)	Response includes a plan to identify barriers to participation and steps to	
(15 possible points)	eliminate barriers, but leaves unanswered questions = 5	
(== pecenare penne)		
	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
	(15 possible points)	
Racial & Ethnic Equity	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of key	
(Tracking progress and	performance indicators to evaluate and track progress = 5	
evaluating)		
2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Response is clear and concise and provides some method of tracking and	
(Question 10-b,	evaluating progress = 3	
Financial & Project		
Information section)	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
(5 possible points)	(5 possible points)	
Partnering with	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of how the	
		0.4 of E

people with lived	project will partner with people with lived experience/expertise in the	
Experience/experti	design, implementation, and evaluation of the project = 5	
se		
	Response gives an adequate description how the project will partner	
(Question 11)	with people with lived experience/expertise in the design,	
	implementation, and evaluation of the project = 3	
(5 possible points)		
	Response gives an incomplete description how the project will partner	
	with people with lived experience/expertise in the design,	
	implementation, and evaluation of the project = 1	
	Response does not describe how the project will partner with people with	
	lived experience/expertise in the design, implementation, and evaluation	
	of the project = 0	
TOTAL		
(81 points maximum)		

General Information Point Total (10 possible points):	
	+
Project Overview and Priority Alignment (71 possible points):	
	=
TOTAL POINTS (81 maximum points):	