2022 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects Scoring Criteria – Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO

Reviewer Name:	Date:
Project Name:	
Application/Funding Type Requested: Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	
Rural Homelessness Set Aside	
HUD Project Type: 🗌 PSH; 🔲 RRH; 🗌 Joint TH-RRH; 🗌 SSO Street Ou	utreach;
☐ Standalone SSO; ☐ SSO Coordinated Entry; ☐ PSH w/Deve	lopment Activities (Rural Only)
Requested Amount (General Information Question 6):	
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve (Total number of households, Question 4b, second chart):	

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary just slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Information

Threshold Statements	Yes/No	Score
1. Agencies submitting new projects had 13	All the requirements checked and/or	
requirements to address that may impact	addressed = Yes	
eligibility to be considered for this funding (Joint	One or more of the requirements not	
TH-RRH must also meet HUD minimum	checked or addressed = No may impact	
standards**).	eligibility for this funding	

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score
Applicant meets HUD's eligibility and threshold criteria.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail	
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 1 of 14

Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards**	Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail	
Threshold Statements Comments		

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are	
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no	
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*	
proposed.	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any	
(20 possible points)	concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good	
New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 5 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately demonstrated.	
full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate capacity to carry out	Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns from HUD, DCA, or any other governmental or foundation funder, that doesn't demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action may lose additional points or be determined not to meet threshold.	
project may be		
rejected by the review		
team.		
	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	
Agency Capacity Comme	ents	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 2 of 14

Proposed Project Information

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
2. PSH: Homeless and	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20	
Permanent Supportive Housing Experience	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing Experience	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0	
Supportive Services: Standalone SSO, SSO Street Outreach, or SSO Coordinated Entry: Homeless and related SSO Experience		
(Question 1a, 1b, & 1c)		
(20 possible points)		
Weighed heavily due to the importance of the experience		
3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Experience (and	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5	
Development as applicable)	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3	
(Questions 1d & 1e)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2	
(5 possible points)	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	
TOTAL		
(25 points maximum)		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **3** of **14**

Agency Experience Comments		
<u> </u>		

4. Monitoring	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns, and	
Findings or Concerns	there are no outstanding Federal debts = 4	
(Questions 1f & 1g)	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but	
	a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
(4 possible points)		
	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or	
	findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature	
	that causes capacity concerns = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(4 points maximum)	
Program Monitoring	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

General Description	Possible Points	Score
5. Program Description	Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need	
	for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1	
(Question 2a and 3b)	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1	
(Question 2a and 3b)	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support	
(8 possible points)	service needs of the participants = 1	
(o possible politis)	Response has clear proposed outcomes, <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem	
(Each checked	reasonable = 1	
applicable box = 1	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
point)	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely	
	manner = 1	
	Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people with	
	higher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 4 of 14

6. Severe Service Needs	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of how project will target and prioritize individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe services needs = 5	
(Question 2b)	Response gives an adequate description of how project will target and prioritize individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe services needs = 3	
(5 possible points)	Response is not targeting persons with severe service needs, is unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
	Response does not describe how project will target and prioritize individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe services needs = 0	
7 1	Leaves size Heaving Beauty and DCH on BBH made at the total will atilize heaving	
7. Leveraging Housing Resources	<u>Leveraging Housing Resources</u> : PSH or RRH project that will utilize housing subsidies or subsidized housing units not funded through ESG or CoC.	
(Question 2c)	For PSH or RRH housing projects at least 50% of the units included in project are subsidized by Non-CoC, Non-ESG source.	
(5 possible points)	Applicant demonstrates partnerships exist at or above 50% = 5 Applicant demonstrates partnerships exist, but 50% not demonstrated = 3 No partnerships exist = 0	
8. Leveraging	Leveraging Healthcare Resources: PSH or RRH projects that will utilize	
Healthcare	healthcare resources to help participants. Value of Healthcare services provided	
Resources	is equal to at least 50% of proposed funding requested.	
(Question 2d)	Applicant demonstrates partnerships exist at or above 50% = 5 Applicant demonstrates partnerships exist, but 50% not demonstrated = 3 No partnerships exist = 0	
(5 possible points)		
9. Estimated Schedule	Applicant provided a complete timeline showing when the project implementation milestones will occur, and they seem reasonable = 3	
(Question 2e) *Development projects include additional	Applicant provided a timeline showing when project implementation milestones will occur, but is missing 1 or 2 milestones or some of the milestones seem unrealistic = 2	
criteria	Implementation timeline is unclear or project has some feasibility concerns = 0	
(3 possible points)	*PSH applicants requesting capital costs (Only PSH under Rural Set Aside) were also requested to describe the estimated schedule for proposed activities related to development, the management plan, and the method for assuring effective and timely completion of all development.	
	For PSH projects requesting funds for development activities:	
	Applicant provided a complete timeline showing when the project implementation milestones will occur, management plan, and method for assuring effective timely completion of all development activities, and they seem reasonable = 3	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **5** of **14**

	Applicant provided a timeline showing when project implementation	
	milestones will occur, management plan, and method for assuring effective	
	timely completion of all development activities, but is missing milestones or	
	some of the milestones seem unrealistic = 0	
	Implementation timeline, management plan, and development activities are	
	unclear or project has some feasibility concerns = 0 (project may be rejected)	
10. Capital Costs	Applicants requesting Capital Costs were asked to describe (e1.a)	
Development Projects	proposed development activities, site control and the 15-year use	
(PSH Only/Rural Set	period, unit location, property details; (e1.b) estimated total cost for	
1 -		
Aside Only)	each proposed development activity, a justification for the per unit	
(Questions 2e.1 (a-c) &	cost, and if applicable for new construction, the builder's estimate for	
	the total cost with demonstration these costs would be less than those	
2b)	associated with rehabilitation or a lack of available units; and (e1.c)	
	how project will comply with Section 3.	
(3 possible points)	Applicant provided complete detail of proposed activities, site control, property	
(a possible points)	details, total activity/per unit costs, justifications, and compliance with Section	
	3, and they seem reasonable = 3	
	Applicant provided some detail of proposed activities, site control, property	
	details, total activity/per unit costs, justifications, and compliance with Section	
	3, but some narratives are incomplete, or responses seem unrealistic = 0	
	Proposed development activities, site control, property, total activity/per unit	
	costs, justifications, or compliance with Section 3 are unclear or project has	
	some feasibility concerns = 0 (project may be rejected)	
11. Housing First	Description of how the project will incorporate a Housing First model	
Model	of housing assistance that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization	
	in permanent housing that does not have service participation	
(Question 2f)	requirements or preconditions is thorough and leaves no unanswered	
	questions = 3 points	
(3 possible points)	questions openits	
	Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point	
	Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points	
12. Housing First	Agencies were required to check each criteria that there would NOT be a	
	policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than	
(Question 2g)	state/federal-mandated exceptions) and to provide an explanation to	
	support other requirements based on housing readiness. Projects that	
(8 possible points)	did not check one or more of the four barriers that would not prohibit	
	participants from being enrolled in the project were required to	
	provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives indicate	
	barrier is not one mandated by an outside authority, award zero points	
	for related criteria.	
	Tor related criteria.	
	Award two points for each criteria that was selected for not being a barrier to	
	project entry.	
	Having to little or little income: check = 2	
	 Active or history of substance abuse: check = 2 	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 6 of 14

	 Having a criminal record with exceptions for state/federal mandated restrictions: check = 2 History of victimization (e.g. domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse): check = 2 None of the above: check = 0 Other requirements based on "housing readiness": if satisfactory explanation can award 1 point for relevant barrier if 2 points weren't awarded 	
	Applicants that did not select any of the above policies/practices as not being a barrier for accepting a client into the project should describe the rules that would prevent entry into a project.	
13. Housing First	Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there would NOT be a policy or practice that would cause a client to be	
(Question 2h)	terminated from the project. Projects that did not check one or more	
(8 possible points)	of the four items, that would not be a cause for termination, were required to provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.	
	 Award one point for each factor that was_selected and not a cause for termination. Failure to participate in supportive services: check = 2 Failure to make progress on a service plan: check = 2 Loss of income or failure to improve income: check = 2 Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found for unassisted persons in the project's geographic area: check = 2 None of the above (see below): check = 0 	
	Applicants that did NOT select any of the above policies/practices for termination should describe rule violations that would cause a client to be terminated from the project and any corrective measures taken prior to termination.	
14. Determinations by Project Type PSH: Prioritization of	PSH projects: Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that will be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6	
RRH and Joint TH-RRH: Leasing and Rental	Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter, but leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
Assistance Procedure SSO-SO, Standalone SSO, or SSO-CE:	Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process, and leaves unanswered questions = 1	
Strategy for services to	Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0	
those with highest needs	RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects: Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6	
(Question 2I)	Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves unanswered questions= 3	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **7** of **14**

	Response unclear or incomplete = 0		
(6 possible points)	SSO-SO, Standalone SSO projects, or SSO Coordinated Entry: Response clearly describes a strategy for providing supportive services to those with the highest service needs, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services = 6		
	Response describes a strategy for providing supportive services to those with the highest service needs, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services, but leaves some unanswered questions = 4		
	Response describes a minimal strategy for providing supportive services to those with the highest service needs, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services, and leaves unanswered questions = 1		
	Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0		
15. SSO Coordinated Entry (Only) (Question 2l last	a) Geographic area to be covered = 1 point if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		
determination by project type) (10 possible points)	b) How the coordinated entry (CE) process will be affirmatively marketed and easily accessible by program participants seeking assistance = 2 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		
(20 possione points)	c) The advertisement strategy for the CE process and how it is designed to reach those with the highest barriers to accessing assistance = 2 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		
	d) If the CE process will use a comprehensive, standardized assessment tool, describe the standardized assessment and referral process that directs individuals and families to appropriate services and housing = 2 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		
	e) If the CE process includes differences in access, entry, assessment, or referral for certain subpopulations, are those differences limited to only the following five groups (adults without children, adults with children, unaccompanied youth, households fleeing DV, and persons at risk of homelessness) = 1 point if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		
	f) Will the CE project refer program participants to projects that specifically coordinates and integrates mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which they may be eligible = 2 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 8 of 14

16. Coordinated Entry	Agencies were required to explain and discuss:	
Participation	a) plans to assess clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participate in a	
(Question 2m)	local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
Assessment, Prioritization, & Eligibility Requirements	b) how the project will work to ensure they are prioritizing people with the highest needs or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to prioritization of clients and project acceptance of clients through the referral process) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
(9 possible points)	c) participant eligibility requirements around homelessness and disability (as applicable for PSH) for homeless persons to access and be accepted into this program = 3 points if fully met and demonstrates requirement will be met	
	Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C). Projects determined <i>not</i> willing to participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry System or <i>not</i> targeted for eligible populations may not meet threshold.	
	Award three points for each criteria that fully addresses and clearly demonstrates each requirement will be met. (9 possible total points)	
	TOTAL	
	(73 points maximum)	
General Description Co	mments	

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
17. Educational Liaison (job title, responsibilities, and services)	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison, describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5 Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3 Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
(Question 3a)		
(5 possible points)		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **9** of **14**

18. Permanent Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the **Housing Stability** target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response should also clearly demonstrate proposed housing type (Question 3b) meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance, and support to be provided to participants, and how project will (5 possible points) work to help participants set goals. = 5 Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response adequately demonstrates proposed housing type meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance and support to be provided to participants, and how project will work to help participants set goals = 4 Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3 Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2 Response unclear or incomplete = 0 Projects proposed to exclusively serve victims of domestic violence should also describe safety planning to address the needs of participants. 19. Increase in Income Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management (Question 3c & 3c-1) and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the (10 possible service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10 points) Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the

Response unclear or incomplete = 0

Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.

service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 10 of 14

20. Supportive	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for	
Services	the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and	
	description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered questions = 5	
(Question 3d & 3e)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for	
(5 possible points)	the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the	
	participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the	
	participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1	
	Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the	
	participants = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(25 points maximum)	
Supportive Services Co	mments	

Project Participants	Possible Points	Score	
21. Racial & Ethnic	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to		
Equity (Identification	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to		
of barriers to	eliminate identified barriers = 10		
participation)			
	Response is clear and concise and adequately describes specific plan to		
(Question 4c)	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to		
(10 possible points)	eliminate identified barriers = 7		
	Response includes a plan to identify barriers to participation and steps to		
	eliminate barriers, but leaves unanswered questions = 3		
	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0		
	TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)			
Housing Type and Loca	tion and Project Participants Comments		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 11 of 14

Proposed Performance Measures	Possible Points	Score
22. Housing Stability	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic that will help at least 85% of participants reach housing stability = 4	
(Question 5a) (4 possible points) Standard Baseline = 85%	Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to help 85% of participants reach housing stability = 2 Response does address or adequately describe how project will help participants reach housing stability, or narrative notes an anticipated rate	
of households	less than 85% of households = 0	
23. Income (4 possible points) Increase in Total Income (Question 5b)	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic that will help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 4 Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 2 Response does not address or adequately describe how project will help participant households increase income = 0	
Standard Baseline = 54% of households	TOTAL (8 points maximum)	
Proposed Performance M	leasures Comments	

Budget	Possible Points	Score
24. Budget	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate, and realistic, and leave no questions = 10	
(Question 6) (10 possible points)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts complete, accurate, and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7	
(10 possible points)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, complete, accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many unanswered questions = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(10 points maximum)	
Budget Comments		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page 12 of 14

administrative costs. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match (specifically dedicated to this project). 26. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the grant amount (above and beyond the grant amo	defined = 5 table = 3 teptable = 0 mitments required) aging (outside of match): or more = 9 % = 6	
least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and administrative costs. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match (specifically dedicated to this project). 26. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Committee The CoC goal for all leveraged resources outside of the match (2004) **New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Committee The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Committee The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (2004) **Lever The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amou	table = 3 ceptable = 0 mitments required) aging (outside of match): or more = 9 % = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
administrative costs. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match (specifically dedicated to this project). 26. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new Rural construction) **Lever Commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project).	table = 3 ceptable = 0 mitments required) aging (outside of match): or more = 9 % = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match (specifically dedicated to this project). 26. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources) The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Leverage (specifically dedicated to this project).	reptable = 0 mitments required) aging (outside of match): or more = 9 % = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
(come 26. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) (come 26. Lever 27. Come 27. Come 27. Come 28. Come 29.	nitments required) aging (outside of match): or more = 9 % = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
26. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)* The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Leverage (specifically deveraged resources) **Leveraged resources)	aging (outside of match): or more = 9 % = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount (above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Leverage (specifically dedicated to this project).	or more = 9 % = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
(above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Rural funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding the funding that funding the funding that funding that funding that funding that funding the funding that funding that funding the funding that funding th	% = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication. *New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Lev Rural funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction)	% = 6 % = 3 han 40% = 0	
**New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) 40-49 Less to (complete the complete that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) 40-49 Less to (complete the complete that includes that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction)	% = 3 han 40% = 0	
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for leverage (specifically dedicated to this project). **New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Rural funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding that funding that funding that funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that fun	han 40% = 0	
**New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Rural funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that funding that includes capital costs for acquisition acquisition activity that includes capital costs for acquisition activity that include		
**New PSH project applicants requesting Rural Set Aside funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) **Lev Rural funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new funding that includes capital costs for acquisition costs for acquisition includes capital costs for acquisition costs for acq	nitments required)	,
includes capital costs for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction) Rural fundi activi 100% 75-99		
construction) fundi activi 100% 75-99	eraging criteria for PSH	
activi 100% 75-99	projects requesting	
100% 75-99	ng for development	
75-99	ies	
	or more = 9	
	% = 4	
Less t	han 75% = 0	
(com	nitments required)	
TOTAL		
(14 points maximum)		
Match and Leveraging Comments		

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus	Yes = 10	
points available to project applications		
submitted by an agency that served as a	No = 0	
homeless count coordinator for the		
Annual Point in Time Count conducted		
in February 2022.		

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **13** of **14**

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (189 maximum points):

Structurally Disadvantaged Area –	Yes = 10	
Bonus points for Rural Set Aside projects		
that demonstrate they will be located in	No = 0	
geographic areas that have high levels of		
homelessness, housing distress, or		
poverty, and are located where CoC		
services have until now been entirely		
unavailable.		
Coordinated Entry Implementation –	Yes = 20	
Project proposed is critical and proposed		
by applicant currently managing the	No = 0	
assessment, prioritization, and referral		
process for a Coordinated Entry		
implementation area.		
(20 possible bonus points)		

by applicant currently managing the assessment, prioritization, and referral process for a Coordinated Entry mplementation area. 20 possible bonus points)	No = 0	
TC	OTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (40 maximun	n points):
то	TAL APPLICATION POINTS (189 maxir	mum)
	TOTAL BONUS POINTS (40 maxim	
	TOTAL POINTS (229) maximum)	
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recommendations	

Approved August 9, 2022 Page **14** of **14**